



# Peer-Review Statements

Hamim Zaky Hadibasyir<sup>1</sup>(✉) and Vidya Nadhiyatul Fikriyah<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Universitas Muhammadiyah, Surakarta, Indonesia  
hzh299@ums.ac.id

<sup>2</sup> University of Twente, Enschede, The Netherlands

All of the articles in this proceedings volume have been presented at the *International Conference of Geography and Disaster Management 2022 (ICGDM 2022)* during *5th-7th December 2022* in *Surakarta, Indonesia* using *virtual meeting*. These articles have been peer reviewed by the members of the *Reviewer Board/Scientific Committee* and approved by the Editor-in-Chief, who affirms that this document is a truthful description of the conference's review process.

## 1 Review Procedure

The reviews were *double-blind review*. Each submission was examined by at *least 2* reviewer(s) independently.

*The conference submission management system was REMIT Paper Submission and Review System*

The submitted papers will be evaluated by the committee to check the suitability of the papers with the conference's focus and scopes. Afterward, all papers that have passed the initial review by the committee, will be double-blindly reviewed by two international reviewers before the presentations. The authors are given a chance to submit the revision no later than 10 days after the conference date. Once they pass the presentation, then the articles will be sent to the committee for content review before collected to be submitted to Internationally Indexed Proceeding.

The first step is initial review. The track director evaluates each manuscript in the submission track to determine if its topic and content is suitable for consideration for the conference before being reviewed. Manuscripts that do not meet minimum criteria are returned to the authors. This is in the best interest of the authors who could then decide to either correct the problems or to submit the manuscript to a more appropriate venue, avoiding delays from a lengthy review process that would nonetheless lead to rejection.

Manuscripts that pass the initial review by the Track Directors, will be sent to several referees based on their expertise. Each manuscript is reviewed by at least two referees. The referees are asked to evaluate the manuscript based on its originality, soundness of methodology, impact to design research, and relevance to design practices. To facilitate timely publication, referees are asked to complete their reviews and provide individual critiques within two weeks. After collecting the referees' reports, the Track Director makes a recommendation on the acceptability of the manuscript.

---

H. Z. Hadibasyir—Editor-in-Chief of the ICGDM 2022.

© The Author(s) 2023

H. Z. Hadibasyir and V. N. Fikriyah (Eds.): ICGDM 2022, ASSEHR 755, pp. 1–3, 2023.

[https://doi.org/10.2991/978-2-38476-066-4\\_1](https://doi.org/10.2991/978-2-38476-066-4_1)

Based on the referees' comments, the Track Director makes a final decision on the acceptability of the manuscript and communicates to the authors the decision, along with referees' reports. The status reports to reviewers should identify the reviewers of each paper, the final decision can be "Accept Submission", "Revisions Required", or "Decline Submission." The revised version should be submitted considering the review comments.

## 2 Quality Criteria

Reviewers were instructed to assess the quality of submissions solely based on the academic merit of their content along the following dimensions.

1. Relevance of the article's content to the focus and scope of the conference;
2. Clear demonstration of originality, novelty, soundness of methodology, results, impact to design research, and relevance to design practices of the research;
3. Adherence to the ethical standards and codes of conduct relevant to the research field;
4. Clarity, cohesion, and accuracy in language and other modes of expression, including figures and tables.

In addition, all of the articles have been checked for textual overlap in an effort to detect possible signs of plagiarism by the publisher. The authors should make sure that the manuscript pass a criterion of less than 20 percents similarity to other publications. Plagiarism detection was screened by using Turnitin.

## 3 Key Metrics

Total submissions	63
Number of articles sent for peer review	50
Number of accepted articles	30
Acceptance rate	47.6%
Number of reviewers	18

**Competing Interests.** Neither the Editor-in-Chief nor any member of the Scientific Committee declares any competing interest.

**Open Access** This chapter is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits any noncommercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if changes were made.

The images or other third party material in this chapter are included in the chapter's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the chapter's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.

