



Peer-Review Statements

Nyayu Latifah Husni¹(✉), Wahyu Caesarendra², Martha Aznury¹, Leni Novianti¹,
and Deris Stiawan³

¹ Politeknik Negeri Sriwijaya, Palembang, Indonesia
nyayu_latifah@polsri.ac.id

² Universiti Brunei Darussalam, Bandar Seri Begawan, Brunei

³ Universitas Sriwijaya, Palembang, Indonesia

All of the articles in this proceedings volume have been presented at the [FIRST IC 2022] during [19–20 October 2022] in [Palembang, Indonesia]. These articles have been peer reviewed by the members of the [Scientific Committee] and approved by the Editor-in-Chief, who affirms that this document is a truthful description of the conference’s review process.

1 Review Procedure

The reviews were [double-blind, open.]. Each submission was examined by [at least 2] reviewer(s) independently.

[The conference submission management system was <https://first.polsri.ac.id/2022/2021/11/03/submission/>.]

[Authors submit manuscripts via our online submission platform to the Editorial Office. The Editor-in-Chief first reviews the article; if the manuscript is suitable to the journal’s purpose and scope, the Editor-in-Chief assigns a Section Editor to handle the whole review process and return a recommendation or decision to the Editor-in-Chief. The Editor-in-chief selects based on the reviewers’ comments and the Section Editor’s final decision to: Accept the paper as is with no revisions, Accept after revision, Request that the authors resubmit, or Reject. The reviewers should provide objective, constructive, and expert comments. Detailed comments on article recommendations. One or two sentences are insufficient; at least one paragraph is required.

The author is sent an acceptance letter, and the finished work is delivered to production. Occasionally, writers are asked to revise their article in light of the reviewers’ remarks and submit the revised version to the Editor-in-chief. Depending on the discipline and type of extra evidence, information, or argument requested, the review period can range from six to eight weeks.]

[After review, the manuscript goes to the ‘Copy Editor’ who will correct the manuscript in respect of the correct referencing system in accordance with the journal style and layout. When the ‘Copy Editor’ finishes his/her work, the manuscript will be sent to the ‘Layout editor’.]

N. L. Husni—Editors-in-Chief of the [FIRST IC 2022].

© The Author(s) 2023

N. L. Husni et al. (Eds.): FIRST-ESCSI 2022, AHE 14, pp. 1–3, 2023.

https://doi.org/10.2991/978-94-6463-118-0_1

2 Quality Criteria

Reviewers were instructed to assess the quality of submissions solely based on the academic merit of their content along the following dimensions

1. Pertinence of the article's content to the scope and themes of the conference;
2. Clear demonstration of originality, novelty, and timeliness of the research;
3. Soundness of the methods, analyses, and results;
4. Adherence to the ethical standards and codes of conduct relevant to the research field;
5. Clarity, cohesion, and accuracy in language and other modes of expression, including figures and tables.

In addition, all of the articles have been checked for textual overlap in an effort to detect possible signs of plagiarism by the publisher. *[FIRST IC 2022 has a zero-tolerance policy when it comes to plagiarism. As a result, the following policy has been established, which outlines precise steps that will be taken (penalties) if plagiarism is found in an article submitted for publication in FIRST IC 2022.*

Plagiarism is "the use of the language and thoughts of another author and the presenting of them as one's original work," whether the language and thoughts are used verbatim or closely imitated.

According to the policy, all submissions must be unique, never before published, and in no other stage of the publication process.

The content that has been taken verbatim from another source needs to be indicated as being different from the present original text by (1) indentation, (2) the use of quotation marks, and (3) identification of the source.

Any text of an amount exceeding fair use standards (herein defined as more than two or three sentences or the equivalent thereof) or any graphic material reproduced from another source requires permission from the copyright holder and, if feasible, the original author(s) and also requires identification of the source; e.g., previous publication. Fair use is defined as the amount of text equivalent to more than two or three sentences.

Ithenticate will be used to determine how similar each of the submitted papers is to the database.]

3 Key Metrics

Total submissions	145
Number of articles sent for peer review	83
Number of accepted articles	55
Acceptance rate	37.9%
Number of reviewers	10

4 Competing Interests

Neither the Editor-in-Chief nor any member of the Scientific Committee declares any competing interest.

Open Access This chapter is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits any noncommercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if changes were made.

The images or other third party material in this chapter are included in the chapter's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the chapter's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.

