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All of the articles in this proceedings volume have been presented at the 9th Padang International Conference on Education, Economics, Business, and Accounting or the 9th PICEEBA on the 21st Mei 2022 in Padang. These articles have been peer reviewed by the members of the Scientific Board and approved by the Editor-in-Chief, who affirms that this document is a truthful description of the conference’s review process.

1 Review Procedure

The reviews were double-blind peer reviews. Each submission was examined by 2 reviewer(s) independently.

The conference submission management system was http://piceeba.fe.unp.ac.id/index.php/piceeba9/piceeba9/login.

The submitted manuscripts would be checked whether it complies PICEEBA author guidelines and template for submission, also whether the manuscript matches the focus and scope. If appropriate, the next process will be carried out. The editor will notify immediately via email. The manuscripts would be checked with Turnitin (similarity check). We really concern about plagiarism issues. The manuscripts would be reviewed by an assigned editor to find out whether it contributes sufficiently to the development of knowledge. The manuscripts that qualify at this stage would be proceeded to the review stage by two peer reviewers. The manuscript that is accepted with revisions (minor or major), it would contain comments from peer reviewers and would be returned to the author for revision. The author is given the time to revise the manuscript no later than one month. The acceptance or rejection of a revised manuscript was final.

2 Quality Criteria

Reviewers were instructed to assess the quality of submissions solely based on the academic merit of their content along the following dimensions:

1. Pertinence of the article’s content to the scope and themes of the conference;
2. Clear demonstration of originality, novelty, and timeliness of the research;
3. Soundness of the methods, analyses, and results;
4. Adherence to the ethical standards and codes of conduct relevant to the research field;

P. Susanto—Editor-in-Chief of the 9th PICEEBA.

© The Author(s) 2023
P. Susanto et al. (Eds.): PICEEBA 2022, AEBMR 250, pp. 1–2, 2023.
https://doi.org/10.2991/978-94-6463-158-6_1
5. Clarity, cohesion, and accuracy in language and other modes of expression, including figures and tables.

In addition, all of the articles have been checked for textual overlap in an effort to detect possible signs of plagiarism by the publisher.

3 Key Metrics

Total submissions 73
Number of articles sent for peer review 68
Number of accepted articles 58
Acceptance rate 79.45%
Number of reviewers 24
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