

Peer-Review Statements

Ramesh Manza¹(Z), Bharti Gawali¹, Pravin Yannawar¹, and Filbert Juwono²

¹ Department of Computer Science and Information Technology, Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar Marathwada University, Aurangabad, Maharashtra, India rrmanza.csit@bamu.ac.in
² Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of Southampton, Iskandar Puteri, Johor, Malavsia

All of the articles in this proceedings volume have been presented at the First biennial International Conference on Advances in Computer Vision and Artificial Intelligence Technologies (ACVAIT 2022) during 1–2 Aug 2022 in Aurangabad (Maharashtra), India. These articles have been peer reviewed by the members of the Technical Program Committee (TPC) and approved by the Editor-in-Chief, who affirms that this document is a truthful description of the conference's review process.

1 Review Procedure

The reviews were double blind. Each submission was examined by two reviewer(s) independently. The conference collection of were developed using *Easychair* where the authors have made their submissions. The conference chair and has assigned manuscript to the member of technical program committee to review manuscript.

The process adopted by the conference team includes following activities. At the first the submitted manuscript was carefully screened for generic quality, suitableness and plagiarism report of each and every submission was obtained from Turnitin software. The copy of the plagiarism report was made available to authors on to the conference accounts of the author. After the initial screening, they were sent for peer review by matching each paper's topic with the reviewers' expertise, taking into account any competing interests. A paper could only be considered for acceptance if it had received favourable recommendations from the two reviewers. Moreover, the authors are encouraged to revise their manuscript in line with the suggestions/comments of reviewer. All accepted and revised manuscripts are considered for the part of conference collection. The double blinded peer review is adopted therefore initially, the manuscript is allocated to two reviewers, based on the collective decision of reviewer the selection of manuscript was taken as:

Review 1	Review 2	Review 3	Decision
Positive	Positive	-	Positive
Negative	Negative	-	Negative

R. Manza-Editor-in-Chief of the [ACVAIT 2022].

(continued)

Review 1	Review 2	Review 3	Decision
Positive	Negative	Assigned to Review	Positive (1 and 3 are positive)
Positive	Negative	Assign to Review	Negative (1 and 3 are negative)
Negative	Positive	Assigned to Review	Positive (2 and 3 are positive)
Negative	Positive	Assigned to Review	Negative (1 and 3 are Negative)

(continued)

Positive Score – Manuscript Considered for oral presentation at conference and part of conference collection for proceedings.

Negative Score – Manuscript will not be considered for conference collection for proceedings.

2 Quality Criteria

Reviewers were instructed to assess the quality of submissions solely based on the scientific merit of manuscript along the following dimensions

- 1. Pertinence of the article's content to the scope and themes of the conference;
- 2. Clear demonstration of *originality*, *novelty*, *relevance* in current time & trend of the research;
- 3. Scientific and Technical Strength of the methods, analyses, and results;
- 4. Adherence to the ethical standards and codes of conduct relevant to the research field;
- 5. *Clarity, Cohesion*, and *Accuracy* in presentation of scientific content, use of terms in language and other modes of expression, including figures and tables.

3 Key Metrics

Total submissions	200
Number of articles sent for peer	190
review	
Number of accepted articles	53
Acceptance rate	27.89%
Number of reviewers	261

Competing Interests. Neither the Editor-in-Chief nor any member of the Scientific Committee declares any competing interest.

Open Access This chapter is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits any noncommercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if changes were made.

The images or other third party material in this chapter are included in the chapter's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the chapter's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.

