

Peer-Review Statements

Havid Ardi^{1*}, M. Affandi Arianto², Muhammad Adek², Dini Faisal², Uswatul Hakim²

¹ Universitas Negeri Padang

² Universitas Negeri Padang

*Editor-in-Chief of the ICLA-11. Email: <u>havid_a@fbs.unp.ac.id</u>

All of the articles in this proceedings volume have been presented at the International Conference on Languages and Arts (ICLA-11) during 21-22 September 2022 in Padang, Indonesia. These articles have been peer reviewed by the members of the Reviewers of Scientific Committee and approved by the Editor-in-Chief, who affirms that this document is a truthful description of the conference's review process.

1. REVIEW PROCEDURE

The reviews were double-blind. Each submission was examined by 2 reviewers independently.

The conference submission management system was ojs at https://ejournal.unp.ac.id/index.php/isla/.

The submissions were first screened for generic quality and suitableness to the theme of conference. After the initial screening, they were sent for peer review by matching each paper's topic with the reviewers' expertise, taking into account any competing interests. A paper could only be considered for acceptance if it had received favourable recommendations from the two reviewers.

Step 1: The Editorial Committee will check the quality of the Manuscript especially: **Plagiarism**, **Novelty**, **Scope** of the Manuscript with the theme of the Conference. If the manuscript is satisfying, the manuscript will be sent to reviewers.

Step 2: If the manuscript is not satisfying, the manuscript will be notified as either "Revise and Resubmit "or "Rejected"

Step 3: Consolidated papers will be assigned with 2 independent reviewers for each manuscript and will undergo a double-blind review process. Based on the review comments, the organising committee will send the decision to authors (1. Accept 2. Accept with Revision 3. Reject)

Step 4: All the Registered Manuscript authors need to do the presentation (Virtual or In person).

2. QUALITY CRITERIA

Reviewers were instructed to assess the quality of submissions solely based on the academic merit of their content along the following dimensions.

- 1. Pertinence of the article's content to the scope and themes of the conference;
- 2. Clear demonstration of originality, novelty, and timeliness of the research;
- 3. Soundness of the methods, analyses, and results;
- 4. Adherence to the ethical standards and codes of conduct relevant to the research field;
- 5. Clarity, cohesion, and accuracy in language and other modes of expression, including figures and tables.

In addition, all of the articles have been checked by Turnitin app for textual overlap in an effort to detect possible signs of plagiarism by the publisher.

3. KEY METRICS

- Total submissions 60
- Number of articles sent for peer review 60
 - Number of accepted articles 20
 - Acceptance rate 33.3%
 - Number of reviewers 10

4. COMPETING INTERESTS

Neither the Editor-in-Chief nor any member of the Scientific Committee declares any competing interest.

[©] The Author(s) 2023

Open Access This chapter is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits any noncommercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if changes were made.

The images or other third party material in this chapter are included in the chapter's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the chapter's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.

