

Peer-Review Statements

Yussy Afriani Dewi^{1,*} Hamsu Khadriyan², Sinta Sari Ratunanda³, Mohamad Razif Mohamad⁴, Sandeep Uppal⁵, Pongsakorn Tantilipikorn⁶

¹ Department of Otorhinolaryngology Head Neck Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Padjadjaran, Bandung, Indonesia

² Department of Otorhinolaryngology Head Neck Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Mataram, Mataram, Indonesia

³ Department of Otorhinolaryngology Head Neck Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Padjadjaran, Bandung, Indonesia

⁴ Department of Otorhinolaryngology Head Neck Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Kebangsaan Malaysia Selangor, Malaysia

⁵ Department of Otorhinolaryngology Head Neck Surgery, Khoo Teck Puat Hospital, Yishun Central, Singapore ⁶ Department of Otorhinolaryngology Head Neck Surgery, Faculty of Medicine Siriraj Hospital, Bangkok, Thailand *Editosr-in-Chief of the 19th PERHATI KL National Congress. Email: <u>yussyad@yahoo.com</u>

All of the articles in this proceedings volume have been presented at the *19th PERHATI KL National Congress* during 27-29 *October 2022* in Indonesia. These articles have been peer reviewed by the members of the *19th PERHATI KL National Congress* and approved by the Editor-in-Chief, who affirms that this document is a truthful description of the conference's review process.

1. REVIEW PROCEDURE

The reviews were *double-blind*. where the identities of both authors and reviewers are concealed from each other during the evaluation process. This anonymization ensures that the assessment is solely based on the quality of the research, independent of the authors' reputation or affiliations. Each submission was examined by 2 reviewer(s) independently.

The conference submission management system was Open Journal System

The submissions were first screened for generic quality and suitableness. After the initial screening, they were sent for peer review by matching each paper's topic with the reviewers' expertise, taking into account any competing interests. A paper could only be considered for acceptance if it had received favourable recommendations from the two reviewers.

Authors of a rejected submission were given the opportunity to revise and resubmit after addressing the reviewers' comments.

In the pursuit of enhancing the peer review process, several crucial efforts have been implemented to ensure

© The Author(s) 2023

its fairness and credibility. One significant aspect involves the careful handling of papers by reviewers who might have close relations with the authors. To maintain objectivity, such reviewers are promptly recused from the evaluation process to eliminate any potential biases.

Moreover, conscious steps have been taken to minimize unconscious bias during the peer review. The 19th PERHATI KL National Congress emphasizes the importance of impartiality and diversity among its reviewers. Reviewers are encouraged to approach each article solely based on its scientific merit and originality, free from any personal or affiliative prejudices.

These collective efforts reinforce the integrity of the peer review process at the 19th PERHATI KL National Congress. It guarantees that the articles published in the proceedings volume are of the highest standard, subjected to rigorous scrutiny by a fair and diverse group of experts, committed to maintaining the credibility and advancement of scientific knowledge.

2. QUALITY CRITERIA

Reviewers were instructed to assess the quality of submissions solely based on the academic merit of their content along the following dimensions:

- 1. Clear demonstration of originality, novelty, and timeliness of the research;
- 2. Soundness of the methods, analyses, and results;
- 3. Pertinence of the article's content to the scope and themes of the conference;

- 2 Y. A. Dewi et al.
 - 4. Adherence to the ethical standards and codes of conduct relevant to the research field;
 - 5. Clarity, cohesion, and accuracy in language and other modes of expression, including figures and tables.

In addition to the measures mentioned earlier, to further uphold the integrity of the peer review process and ensure the originality of the submitted articles, the 19th PERHATI KL National Congress employs robust plagiarism detection techniques. All articles undergo thorough scrutiny using advanced plagiarism detection software to identify any potential instances of textual overlap or plagiarism.

By adopting these rigorous plagiarism detection measures, the 19th PERHATI KL National Congress ensures that only original and novel contributions are included in the proceedings volume. This commitment to upholding academic honesty and preventing plagiarism contributes to the credibility and reputation of the conference as a reputable platform for disseminating valuable research findings

3. KEY METRICS

Total submissions	82
Number of articles sent for peer	58
review	
Number of accepted articles	58
Acceptance rate	70.73%
Number of reviewers	18

4. COMPETING INTERESTS

Neither the Editor-in-Chief nor any member of the Scientific Committee declares any competing interest.

Open Access This chapter is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits any noncommercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if changes were made.

The images or other third party material in this chapter are included in the chapter's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the chapter's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.

