

Peer-Review Statements

Markus Hartono^{1(⋈)}, Hudiyo Firmanto¹, and Connie Susilawati²

¹ University of Surabaya, Surabaya, Indonesia markus@staff.ubaya.ac.id
² Queensland University of Technology, Brisbane, Australia

All of the articles in this proceedings volume have been presented at the 4th International Conference on Informatics, Technology and Engineering 2023 (InCITE 2023) during 14-15 September 2023 in Yogyakarta, Indonesia, which was held in hybrid mode. These articles have been peer reviewed by the members of the Scientific Committee and approved by the Editor-in-Chief, who affirms that this document is a truthful description of the conference's review process.

1 REVIEW PROCEDURE

The reviews were double-blind. Each submission was examined by 2 reviewer(s) independently. The conference submission management system was Easychair. Each incoming paper will go through a preliminary review by the internal reviewer board to ensure the completeness of the paper and its suitability with the topics at the InCITE 2023 conference. The paper will then be sent to peer review partners. Based on the collected review results, the decision will be informed to the author(s) either rejected or accepted. A paper could only be considered for acceptance if it had received favourable recommendations from the two reviewers. Accepted papers will be returned to the author(s) for revision by addressing the reviewers' comments.

2 QUALITY CRITERIA

Reviewers were instructed to assess the quality of submissions solely based on the academic merit of their content along the following dimensions:

- 1. Relevance to Conference's Themes.
- 2. Originality and Novelty.
- 3. Soundness of Methodology.
- 4. Results.
- 5. Contribution.
- 6. Ethical Standard.
- 7. Quality of Writing.

M. Hartono—Editor-in-Chief of the InCITE 2023.

[©] The Author(s) 2023

M. Hartono et al. (eds.), Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on Informatics, Technology and Engineering 2023 (InCITE 2023), Atlantis Highlights in Engineering 21, https://doi.org/10.2991/978-94-6463-288-0_1

M. Hartono et al.

The internal reviewer board also performed similarity checking using Turnitin in order to detect any possible signs of plagiarism and self-plagiarism during the preliminary review process and final camera-ready version.

In addition, all of the articles have been checked for textual overlap in an effort to detect possible signs of plagiarism by the publisher.

3 KEY METRICS

Total submissions	67
Number of articles sent for peer	67
review	
Number of accepted articles	53
Acceptance rate	79.1%
Number of reviewers	55

4 COMPETING INTERESTS

Some of the reviewers had direct involvement as authors or co-authors of the manuscripts. To mitigate any potential bias, they were relieved of their manuscript handling duties and instead assigned them to impartial colleagues.

Open Access This chapter is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits any noncommercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if changes were made.

The images or other third party material in this chapter are included in the chapter's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the chapter's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.

