Discussion on the Strategy of Exploiting Weaknesses to Overcome Strengths in a Multi-Agent System

You Li

Department of Mathematics, University of Connecticut, Connecticut, CT 06269, USA
you.li@uconn.edu

Abstract. In the realm of present multi-agent research, the presumption is often that two competing groups possess equivalent strength. This article, however, ventures into unexplored territory by contemplating the strategy a significantly weaker group should adopt in its struggle for survival when faced with a more powerful adversary. Furthermore, it ponders how this underpowered group could potentially subvert the prevailing power dynamics and achieve either partial or total victory. This exploration challenges the conventional assumptions held in the domain of multi-agent systems, urging a reconsideration of strategies when power asymmetry is in play. The focus isn't merely on survival tactics for the weaker entity, but also on understanding how such an entity can strategically leverage its weakness to turn the tables, shifting the balance of power in its favor. The underlying premise is that a significant disparity in power does not inevitably lead to the defeat of the weaker group. Instead, with strategic planning and execution, a weaker group could exploit the strengths and weaknesses of the stronger group, offering novel insights for multi-agent strategies. Through this investigation, the study seeks to provide a comprehensive framework for survival and success in unequal power dynamics within multi-agent systems. It aims to stimulate further research in this critical yet underexplored area, encouraging the development of more nuanced and realistic models that account for power disparities and the potential for their reversal.

Keywords: Multi-Agent Systems, Power Asymmetry, Survival Strategies, Strength Reversal

1 Introduction

Multi-agent systems have become a prominent research area due to their ability to model complex systems that comprise interacting agents. While numerous studies have delved into diverse aspects of these systems, there remains an often overlooked assumption: the strength equivalence between competing groups. However, the reality of many multi-agent scenarios is one marked by power asymmetry, whereby one group might hold substantial dominance over another. This paper sets out to challenge the traditional presumption and navigate the less-traveled path of exploring how a notably weaker group can not only persist but also potentially triumph over a stronger

P. Kar et al. (eds.), *Proceedings of the 2023 International Conference on Image, Algorithms and Artificial Intelligence (ICIAAI 2023)*, Advances in Computer Science Research 108,
https://doi.org/10.2991/978-94-6463-300-9_39

adversary in a multi-agent setting. This endeavor adds a new dimension to the existing corpus of multi-agent research, seeking to extend its applicability to situations of significant power disparities. Our discussion will first analyze the unique challenges and possibilities that present themselves when a group is significantly weaker than its opponent. I seek to outline a strategic blueprint for survival, as merely persisting can often be a triumph in such uneven scenarios. From this baseline of survival, the study then moves towards an even more ambitious goal: the inversion of the prevailing power dynamics. By strategically utilizing their own weaknesses and exploiting the vulnerabilities of the stronger group, the weaker group might not only endure but effect a power reversal. This intriguing concept upends the classic notion that the stronger side is invariably the victor, suggesting that strength can also be a double-edged sword, and that weakness can sometimes be a catalyst for innovative strategies and unexpected victories.

This paper aims to stimulate further dialogue and research on this underexplored yet crucial aspect of multi-agent systems. In doing so, I hope to facilitate a more nuanced understanding of power dynamics and their potential reversals, ultimately contributing to more robust, realistic models and strategies in the field of multi-agent systems.

2 The Survival of Weak Party

2.1 Evading Danger for Weak Party

When a weaker party covers a larger, more dispersed area, the intelligent agents should converge to avoid easy defeat by stronger adversaries. This tactic gathers the strength of the weaker party, preserving its capabilities. The rewards for weaker intelligent agents should be appropriately adjusted to reflect this strategy [1]. Although the ultimate goal is to overcome the opponent, direct confrontation with a formidable adversary may lead to swift defeat. Thus, a balance must be maintained between short-term and long-term objectives. If a segment of intelligent agents is pursued or encircled by the enemy and escape proves difficult, a strategic division of the weak party into separate parts is recommended. This allows unencumbered agents to reach safety swiftly [2]. Agents entrapped by the adversary can provide a buffer, slowing the enemy's advance and ensuring the safety of most agents.

2.2 Ensuring Survival of the Weaker Party

Agents that temporarily evade the enemy should seek locations rich in survival resources to strengthen their capabilities and prepare for potential counterattacks [3]. These locations should ideally be remote and away from major transportation routes to minimize detection. Multiple locations should be selected, and these should be spread out to maintain options for relocation. This approach is crucial because detection by a formidable opponent could lead to peril [4].

3 Avoiding Hasty Large-scale Counterattacks While Ensuring Survival for the Weaker Party

3.1 Proceed with Caution

Once a weaker party has amassed some strength in the survival area, a hasty counterattack is not advised. This caution is necessary because stronger adversaries have likely increased their strength and organizational abilities. In a state of uncertainty, a weaker party should aim to understand the stronger party's basic structure. As a general rule, stronger parties occupy large, contiguous territories, making it challenging for them to search for the scattered, smaller units of the weaker party [5].

3.2 Forming a Situation Where the Weak Party is Stronger than the Strong Party in Local Areas

However, as time goes by, the strong party needs to expand its boundaries outward due to the depletion of local resources, and the Lebensraum of the weak party will continue to be compressed. Therefore, when the Lebensraum of the weak party is reduced to a certain limit, they should organize small-scale counterattacks in different places. The purpose of counterattack is twofold. The first is to use offense as a means of defense. If one blindly defends, it will gradually lead to failure. A planned attack can appropriately expand the territory of the weak party, winning more space for survival and transfer for the weak party; Secondly, by organizing small-scale counterattacks, intelligent agents can gradually learn their opponents' attack patterns and tactics, which can prepare for future victories. Generally speaking, if the weak party wants to reverse the current situation, the risks and costs of a tough confrontation are relatively huge [6]. By changing the environment and enticing the strong party to attack the weak party's imagined location, the weak party can concentrate their advantageous forces to attack the strong party's resource sources. In the case of the strong party dominating the overall situation, a situation where the weak party is strong and the strong party is weak is formed in local areas, Thus, the strength of the strong party is substantially weakened in some local areas, and when this weakening reaches a certain cumulative level, the overall situation will change [7]. This requires the weak party to conduct exploratory attacks on the opponent during survival, familiarize themselves with the opponent's attack mode and thinking, and then deliberately influence the environmental signals to mobilize the opponent, as the environment is shared by both parties.

4 Capturing the Weaknesses of the Strong Party and Seeking to Turn the Situation Around by the Weak Party

Due to the fact that the strong party is superior to the weak party in many dimensions, the weakness of the strong party in one dimension will be compensated for by the advantages in many other dimensions. Therefore, for the weak party, finding the weaknesses of the strong party becomes both important and difficult. The theoretically optimal strategy maximizes the reduction of the damage caused by the optimal dimension of the strong party to the weak party [8]. This requires the weak party to create a certain environment in an appropriate way, so that the most advantageous unit of the strong party loses its effectiveness. If the most advantageous unit relies on a certain resource, cutting off the source of that resource will become the most effective means of constraining the unit. If the most advantageous unit relies on a certain environment for its effectiveness, then the weak party lures the advantageous unit of the strong party into an area where it cannot effectively perform by changing the environmental signal, and seeks suitable opportunities to defeat it. In this way, the situation of confrontation between the two parties may have a substantial reversal.

5 Considering the Strong Party's Decision When Making Decisions by the Weaker Party

In the dynamics of conflict and competition, the strategy of the weaker party necessitates the integration of the stronger party's decisions within its decision-making process [9]. This multifaceted process demands the weaker party not only to predict the actions of the stronger adversary but also to account for the possibility that the stronger party is making parallel estimations and strategic moves. This reciprocal interplay of decisions underscores the complexity of the strategic landscape, highlighting the iterative and adaptive nature of decision-making within competitive dynamics. The decisions and actions of both parties, while deeply interconnected, are inherently asymmetric in function and consequence. This asymmetry primarily arises from the disparities in power and resource availability between the parties. Consequently, the weaker party bears a greater risk of substantial losses arising from incorrect decisions or missteps. This potential for significant loss injects a heightened degree of caution into the decision-making process of the weaker party, underlining the need for a meticulous evaluation of choices and their potential consequences [10].
This asymmetry extends beyond the immediate consequences of individual decisions, permeating the strategic orientation of both parties. For the stronger party, the robustness of resources and power allows a broader margin for error in their decisions. Minor mistakes or miscalculations may not necessarily inflict substantial harm on the stronger party. On the other hand, the weaker party, with its limited resources and power, has a much smaller margin for error. Missteps can quickly

escalate into major setbacks, underscoring the need for careful, calculated decisions. Thus, the weaker party's decision-making process must accommodate the predictions and expectations of the stronger party, while also accounting for its own vulnerabilities and the asymmetric consequences of strategic decisions. The need for such delicate balancing acts defines the complex challenge faced by the weaker party, highlighting the criticality of strategic foresight, meticulous planning, and adaptability in decision-making processes.

6 The Requirements for Multi-agent Systems Based on What Discussed Above

6.1 Collaboration Mechanism Should Be Further Improved

Both parties need effective collaboration mechanisms, especially the weak party when transferring or engaging in small-scale attacks. How to set appropriate incentive functions and how the weak party can react to real-time environments require further investigation.

6.2 Enhanced Ability to Predict the Opponent's Actions

A stronger ability to predict the opponent's actions will allow the weak party to better avoid risks. Information for these predictions can be gleaned from small-scale exploratory attacks, during which the behaviors of the strong party can be better understood.

7 Conclusion

This article embarks on an exploration of survival and progression strategies from the vantage point of a weaker party, treating the stronger party as an intelligent agent that follows relatively patterned behavior during a development stage. However, it must be acknowledged that in real-world scenarios, strong multi-agent systems often enjoy multi-dimensional advantages. These systems possess a larger development space, more available resources, and diverse transformation modes, rendering them more powerful and versatile than their weaker counterparts. Such complexities create a conundrum for the weaker group, as they must strategize within this landscape of uneven advantages. This article posits that a critical step in resolving this issue lies in understanding how to effectively incorporate the dynamic space of the stronger party into the decision-making process of the weaker one. By doing so, it can provide the latter with insights to navigate, survive, and potentially thrive within an asymmetric power environment.

Ultimately, this exploration seeks to shine a light on how a weaker entity can traverse the challenging landscape of a multi-agent system. It propounds the necessity to reevaluate traditional strategies, necessitating a shift from a static and homogenous view of strength towards a more fluid understanding. This fluid understanding acknowledges the capacity for power dynamics to be subverted, creating room for the

weak to exploit vulnerabilities in the strong. Such a perspective opens up a new vista of possibilities for survival and success in multi-agent systems, especially for those that start from a position of disadvantage.

References

1. Chang J, Hu L, Pang S P, et al. Iodine-containing furazan compounds: a promising approach to high performance energetic biocidal agents[J]. Journal of Materials Chemistry A, 2023.
2. Wu X, Guo W, Wei H, et al. Adversarial policy training against deep reinforcement learning[C]//30th USENIX Security Symposium (USENIX Security 21). 2021: 1883-1900.Duan, C. Y. (2020). A survey on HHL algorithm: From theory to application in quantum machine learning. Physics Letters, A, 384(24).
3. Mukhopadhyay S, Schoenitz M, Dreizin E L. Vapor-phase decomposition of dimethyl methylphosphonate (DMMP), a sarin surrogate, in presence of metal oxides[J]. Defence Technology, 2021, 17(4): 1095-1114.
4. Zhao X, Zhu W. Recent advances in studying the nonnegligible role of noncovalent interactions in various types of energetic molecular crystals[J]. CrystEngComm, 2022, 24(35): 6119-6136.
5. Reverberi A P, Meshalkin V P, Butusov O B, et al. Organic and inorganic biocidal energetic materials for agent defeat weapons: An overview and research perspectives[J]. Energies, 2023, 16(2): 675.
6. Zhang J, Hooper J P, Zhang J, et al. Well-balanced energetic cocrystals of H5IO6/HIO3 achieved by a small acid-base gap[J]. Chemical Engineering Journal, 2021, 405: 126623.
7. Zhang C, Huang J, Bu R. Energetic Molecules and Energetic Single-Component Molecular Crystals[M]//Intrinsic Structures and Properties of Energetic Materials. Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore, 2023: 115-156.
8. Bennion J C, Matzger A J. Development and evolution of energetic cocrystals[J]. Accounts of Chemical Research, 2021, 54(7): 1699-1710.
9. Yu Z H, Liu D X, Ling Y Y, et al. Periodate-based molecular perovskites as promising energetic biocidal agents[J]. Science China Materials, 2023, 66(4): 1641-1648.
10. Gârdan I P, Micu A, Paștiu C A, et al. Consumers' attitude towards renewable energy in the context of the energy crisis[J]. Energies, 2023, 16(2): 676.