



# Research on the Standardization of Power Grid Safety Production Cost Management

Jun Yu<sup>1,a</sup>, Hui Zhou<sup>1,b</sup>, Yang Cao<sup>2,c</sup>, Kai Zhang<sup>3,d</sup>, Zhongshan Fang<sup>4,e</sup>

<sup>1</sup> State Grid Zhejiang Electric Power Co., Ltd. Zhejiang Hangzhou China 310008

<sup>2</sup> Zhejiang Huayun Information Technology Co., Ltd. Zhejiang Hangzhou China 310000

<sup>3</sup> Economic and Technological Research Institute of State Grid Zhejiang Electric Power Co., Ltd. Zhejiang Hangzhou China 310000

<sup>4</sup> State Grid Zhejiang Electric Power Co., Ltd. Ningbo Power Supply Company. Zhejiang Ningbo China 315000

Email: 24972757@qq.com<sup>a</sup>; 13805715596@139.com<sup>b</sup>;  
19688957@qq.com<sup>c</sup>; ghj1990225@163.com<sup>d</sup>; hyy9791@cjlu.edu.cn<sup>e</sup>

**Abstract.** The annual expenditure on power grid safety production costs is substantial. In order to enhance cost management levels and improve investment efficiency, this paper standardizes the process of power grid safety production cost management and proposes a method for calculating standardized safety production costs in the power grid. Finally, using safety equipment testing as an example, the paper conducts cost standardization calculation and analysis. The results indicate that by establishing relevant standards for power grid safety production cost management, the standardization, scientificity, and accuracy of safety production cost management have been improved.

**Keywords:** Power Grid Safety Production Costs; Standard Work Item Repository; Expert Experience Method; Designated Average Method

## 1 Introduction

Power grid safety production involves various tasks related to safety equipment and facilities, improvement of firefighting and security measures, emergency construction, hazard identification and control, risk management, safety inspections, supervision and evaluation, safety promotion, education and training, labor protection, and occupational health. The expenses incurred from these activities are considered as power grid safety production costs.

In recent years, with the continuous improvement of safety production management requirements in power grid companies, the corresponding safety production costs have also increased year by year. Currently, there are issues with non-uniform standards and missing standards for safety production costs. To enhance the safety production cost management level, it is necessary to standardize the use of funds and establish standardized management processes.

## **2 Standardized Process for Power Grid Safety Production Cost Management**

### **2.1 Establishing a Standard Work Item Repository for Safety Production Costs**

According to statistics, in the annual safety expenditure for the year 2023 in a certain province, the total investment for five categories of items - security services, security maintenance, safety evaluations, production of safety education videos, and safety equipment testing - accounts for a significant portion, amounting to 65.30% of the total initial safety expenditure. Considering this, these five categories are prioritized for compiling cost standards. The safety production costs related to these five categories are classified from professional categories to multi-level project divisions and then further subdivided into specific work items. The process is determined based on specific tasks for each work item, establishing a standardized work item repository. The standardized work item repository provides a clear outline of each work item's steps, content, and requirements to ensure proper execution and completion of the tasks<sup>[1-3]</sup>.

### **2.2 Development of Safety Production Cost Standards and Calculation Regulations**

In reference to the pricing basis used for power grid infrastructure construction, technological upgrades, major maintenance, marketing, and informatization projects, we conduct research and develop the “Safety Production Cost Standards and Calculation Regulations.” This primarily includes project categorization, cost composition, cost calculation rate standards, other expenses, and standards for cost allocation<sup>[4-5]</sup>.

### **2.3 Preparation of Safety Production Cost Quotas and Corresponding Subcategory Quota Table**

Based on the investigation, fundraising, and analysis of actual projects, calculations, and preparations are carried out using methods such as field measurements and analogical analysis. The corresponding subcategory quota table for safety production costs is developed based on the earlier compiled standard work item repository, quotas, and other achievements. It includes safety production work content and corresponding subcategory quota numbers. The table is mainly used to guide personnel involved in safety production projects on how to use safety production quotas, apply them appropriately, and improve the accuracy and efficiency of preparation<sup>[6-9]</sup>.

### 3 Methodology for Calculating Power Grid Safety Production Cost Standards

The cost standards for the above five categories of projects are determined based on expert experience and relevant specification documents as references. Subsequently, calculations are conducted using historical engineering data and vendor quotations. The main methods employed include three-point estimation, statistical analysis, expert experience, benchmarking, and designated average method to comprehensively estimate the relevant cost standards.

**Three-point estimation:** It involves taking the weighted average of the maximum, minimum, and most likely values for a project. This method helps to mitigate the impact of biases from a single estimate on the overall project prediction. By adjusting the weights assigned to different estimated values, the overall accuracy of the prediction can be improved. The common formula for three-point estimation is as follows:

$$[\text{Expected Cost}] = (\text{Optimistic Estimate} + 4 * \text{Most Likely Estimate} + \text{Pessimistic Estimate}) / 6 \quad (1)$$

In this formula, the Optimistic Estimate represents the lowest probable cost, the Most Likely Estimate represents the most probable cost, and the Pessimistic Estimate represents the highest probable cost.

**Statistical Analysis Method:** It is the most fundamental and commonly used approach for engineering quotas and cost standard estimation. It involves studying and analyzing the correlation and regularity of quantities related to the target object's scope, scale, and extent. It aims to understand and reveal the interrelationships, variability, and development trends of the subject matter. Generally, this method employs mathematical models to statistically analyze the sample data and information obtained from surveys, generating quantitative conclusions. The statistical analysis method is suitable for analyzing large sample sizes.

**Expert Experience Method:** By analyzing the actual work carried out in the current project and the actual incurred expenses from historical projects, and combining expert opinions, the calculation of project estimation data is conducted.

**Reference and Benchmarking Method:** By referring to similar projects and the actual execution of historical projects, a reasonable analysis of differences is performed to determine the data for calculation.

**Designated Average Method:** Averaging is the concept of evenly calculating a total number into parts, which includes simple averages and designated condition averages. The simple average is usually obtained by dividing the total by the number of parts. The designated condition average involves removing specified parts before averaging, which can include eliminating the highest or lowest values or removing a specified percentage. The variance minimization average involves setting the estimated value as

$$X_m, \text{ and constructing the equation } \sigma^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{i=m} (X_{i1} - X_\alpha)^2}{N} .$$

Then there exists a number  $X_\alpha$ , which is averaged minimized variance, located between the value of  $\min ( X_{11}, X_{21}, X_{31} \dots, X_{m1} )$  and the value of  $\max$

$(X_{11}, X_{21}, X_{31} \dots, X_{m1})$ , such that the value of  $\sigma^2$  is minimized. The designated average method is suitable for both large and small sample size analyses<sup>[10-13]</sup>.

### 4 Calculation and Analysis of Power Grid Safety Production Cost Standards

In the five categories related to power grid safety production, this paper takes safety equipment testing as an example to conduct cost standard calculation and analysis.

The cost standard calculation method for safety equipment testing mainly employs the Expert Experience Method and the Designated Average Method. Multiple rounds of fundraising and historical engineering data are carefully screened to remove obvious outliers, and the details are comprehensively analyzed and statistically summarized. Firstly, based on the expertise of experts in various cities and the actual implementation of testing centers in each city, the safety equipment testing data is comprehensively adjusted. Secondly, considering the current data from various testing centers, the cost standards are calculated using the Designated Average Method.  $X_{ij}$  denotes the associated costs caused by each testing center, where  $i = 1, 2 \dots m$ , represents  $m$  testing centers, and  $j = 1, 2 \dots n$ , denotes  $n$  associated costs. Taking the first cost as an example, the data from each testing center are as follows:  $X_{11}, X_{21}, X_{31} \dots, X_{m1}$ . We construct the equation using the method of designated variance minimization average  $\sigma^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^m (X_{i1} - X_{\alpha 1})^2}{N}$ . Let the value of  $X_{\alpha 1}$  in the range of the min  $(X_{11}, X_{21}, X_{31} \dots, X_{m1})$  and the max  $(X_{11}, X_{21}, X_{31} \dots, X_{m1})$ , we assume that there exists a minimized value of  $\sigma^2$ , the step size is 0.01 RMBs. We denote the minimized value of  $\sigma^2$  as  $X_{\alpha 1}$ .

Safety equipment testing mainly involves three major categories of objects: personal protective equipment, insulated safety equipment, and climbing equipment. The calculation is based on data from 16 testing centers, as shown in Table 1, Table 2, and Table 3. Among them:

$$\text{Cost typical price} = \text{labor cost} + \text{material cost} + \text{equipment cost} \tag{2}$$

$$\text{Comprehensive unit price} = \text{cost standard base price} + \text{measures cost} + \text{indirect cost (overhead, management fee, profit)} + \text{taxes} \tag{3}$$

**Table 1.** Individual Protective Equipment Cost Standard Calculation

| Testing Items | Cost Typical Price (RMB) | Comprehensive Unit Price (RMB) | Average Unit Price for Each Testing Center (RMB) | Deviation |
|---------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|--|-----------|
| Safety Helmet | 163.21                   | 291.81                         | 291.67   | 0.05%     |
| Safety Belt   | 149.20                   | 290.85                         | 289.06   | 0.62%     |
| Safety Rope   | 81.82                    | 161.51                         | 155.66   | 3.76%     |
| Connector     | 114.28                   | 195.71                         | 200.00   | -2.14%    |

|  |        |         |         |        |
|--|--------|---------|---------|--------|
| Speed Difference<br>Self-Controller    | 112.66 | 237.83  | 236.36  | 0.62%  |
| Guide Rail Self-<br>Locking Device     | 112.79 | 206.25  | 217.44  | -5.15% |
| Buffer                                 | 96.59  | 170.15  | 156.43  | 8.77%  |
| Static Protective<br>Clothing          | 731.46 | 1493.89 | 1500.00 | -0.41% |
| Conductive Shoes<br>(Antistatic Shoes) | 104.99 | 200.19  | 200.00  | 0.10%  |
| Personal Safety Line                   | 75.85  | 159.24  | 152.01  | 4.76%  |

Table 2 is the cost standard calculation for insulated safety equipment. Due to space limitations, only the relevant expenses for 220 kV are listed in Table 2, while expenses for all other voltage levels, such as 10kV and below, 20kV, 35kV, 110kV, and 500kV, are not included.

**Table 2.** Insulated Safety Equipment Cost Standard Calculation

| Testing Items  | Cost Typical<br>Price (RMB) | Comprehen-<br>sive Unit Price<br>(RMB) | Average Unit Price<br>for Each Testing<br>Center (RMB) | Devia-<br>tion  |
|--|-----------------------------|--|--|-----------------|
| 220kV Capacitive<br>Voltage Detector                   | 294.20                      | 468.36                                 | 455.73   | 2.77%           |
| 220kV Portable<br>Short-Circuit<br>Grounding Line      | 323.37                      | 513.39                                 | 505.67   | 1.53%           |
| 220kV Insulation<br>Pole                               | 321.84                      | 514.65                                 | 496.73   | 3.61%           |
| Insulation Pole<br>Static Bending                      | 234.18                      | 401.86                                 | 400.00   | 0.46%           |
| Wired and Wireless<br>Phase Comparator                 | 353.62、<br>231.59           | 569.8、374.44                           | 543.50、350.00  | 4.84%、<br>6.98% |
| Insulation Shield                                      | 135.17                      | 257.66                                 | 239.04   | 7.79%           |
| Insulation Barrier                                     | 177.46                      | 306.05                                 | 296.63   | 3.18%           |
| Insulation Rope  | 122.23                      | 226.25                                 | 219.46   | 3.09%           |
| Insulation Clamp                                       | 104.35                      | 200.42                                 | 200.00   | 0.21%           |
| Insulated Safety<br>Helmet for Live<br>Work            | 165.30                      | 332.93                                 | 308.33   | 7.98%           |
| Insulated Clothing                                     | 193.66                      | 342.15                                 | 350.89   | -2.49%          |
| Shielding Clothing                                     | 599.26                      | 1055.18                                | 1081.56  | -2.44%          |
| Insulated Gloves<br>and Boots (Shoes)<br>for Live Work | 107.40                      | 216.37                                 | 200.00   | 8.19%           |

|  |        |        |        |       |
|--|--------|--------|--------|-------|
| Insulated Mat<br>(Blanket) for Live<br>Work    | 107.35 | 203.6  | 200.00 | 1.80% |
| 220kV Insulated<br>Ladder for Live<br>Work     | 373.82 | 621.49 | 600.00 | 3.58% |
| 220kV Insulated<br>Bottle Support              | 584.50 | 919.53 | 900.00 | 2.17% |
| 220kV Insulated<br>Soft Ladder                 | 373.82 | 621.49 | 600.00 | 3.58% |
| Insulated Pulley for<br>Live Work              | 103.36 | 201.52 | 200.00 | 0.76% |
| Auxiliary Type In-<br>sulated Gloves           | 54.72  | 102.15 | 99.52  | 2.65% |
| Auxiliary Type In-<br>sulated Boots<br>(Shoes) | 54.72  | 102.15 | 99.52  | 2.65% |
| Auxiliary Type In-<br>sulated Rubber Mat       | 124.71 | 217.13 | 216.60 | 0.24% |

**Table 3.** High Climbing Equipment Cost Standard Calculation

| Testing Items                     | Cost Typical<br>Price (RMB) | Comprehen-<br>sive Unit Price<br>(RMB) | Average Unit Price<br>for Each Testing<br>Center (RMB) | Deviation |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|--|-----------|
| Foot Buckle                       | 29.76                       | 57.20                                  | 56.67  | 0.94%     |
| Lifting Plate<br>(Climbing Plate) | 43.79                       | 80.02                                  | 77.25  | 3.58%     |
| Ladder (Bamboo,<br>Wood)          | 169.37                      | 300.70                                 | 300.00   | 0.23%     |
| Ladder (Composite<br>Material)    | 385.62                      | 619.50                                 | 600.00   | 3.25%     |
| Soft Ladder                       | 169.37                      | 300.70                                 | 300.00   | 0.23%     |
| Quick-Assembly<br>Scaffolding     | 318.00                      | 520.64                                 | 500.00   | 4.13%     |
| Maintenance<br>Platform           | 1790.40                     | 2736.86                                | 2666.67  | 2.63%     |
| Maintenance<br>Platform           | 3131.65                     | 3546.78                                | 3500.00  | 1.34%     |

To verify the reasonableness of the cost estimation, a comparison was made between the actual contract costs and the calculated costs for 100 units of each type of equipment in 11 city companies, as shown in Table 4. There are several reasons for the discrepancies between the calculated and contract amounts, such as variations in labor costs among different cities, and so on. Overall, the estimation is considered to be relatively accurate.

**Table 4.** Comparison between Estimated Amount and Contract Amount

| Contract Year | Total Contract Amount (in ten thousand RMB) | Total Estimated Amount (in ten thousand RMB) | Cost Deviation | Percentage of Cost Deviation |
|---------------|---|--|----------------|------------------------------|
| 2022          | 1392.27                                     | 1259.22                                      | -133.05        | -9.6%                        |

## 5 Conclusion

Through the research on standardizing power grid safety production cost management, the standardization, scientificity, and accuracy of safety production cost management have been enhanced. Standardizing cost management has promoted the improvement of safety production cost control capabilities and the level of lean management throughout the entire process. It effectively avoids significant cost discrepancies between similar safety items under the same work standards, reduces operating costs, improves feasibility study review efficiency, and mitigates internal control and external audit risks.

## References

1. Tian H.T., Wang K.N., Wang J., and Chen D.Q. (2019) Analysis and Research on Cost Quota for Power Grid Technological Transformation and Maintenance [J]. *Communication Power Technology*, 36(11): 198-199. <https://doi.org/10.19399/j.cnki.tpt.2019.11.083>.
2. Tian H.F., Ren H.R., Hua S.P., Cai H.D.J., and Zhang Q. (2023) Design of Distribution Network Engineering Data Preprocessing Algorithm Based on Improved K-means Clustering [J]. *Price*, 31(12): 137-141. <https://doi.org/10.14022/j.issn1674-6236.2023.12.029>.
3. Chen M., Zhao J., and Wang L. (2022) Lean Management Driving Mechanism & Implementation Path of Power Transmission & Transformation Project's Digital Settlement [J]. *Price: Theory & Practice*, (07): 186-190. <https://doi.org/10.19851/j.cnki.CN11-1010/F.2022.07.507>.
4. Guo W. (2015) Research on the Whole Process Management of Power Grid Engineering Construction Project Based on Standardized Management Mode [J]. *Mechanical and Electrical Information*, (27): 172-173. <https://doi.org/10.19514/j.cnki.cn32-1628/tm.2015.27.092>.
5. Liu Y.N. (2023) Build the Power Grid Investment Standard Timing Control Model to Comprehensively Improve the Level of Project Investment Budget Management [J]. *Money China*, (13): 45-47. <https://doi.org/10.19887/j.cnki.cn11-4098/f.2023.13.006>.
6. Xie C. (2021) Safety Assessment Method of Distribution Network Based on G1 Empowerment Method [J]. *Automated Application*, (12): 150-152. <https://doi.org/10.19769/j.zdhy.2022.12.041>.
7. Tao M. (2021) Application of Operation Standard Cost in the Feasibility Study and Evaluation of Power Grid Equipment Maintenance Project [J]. *China's New Technologies and New Products*, (23): 140-142. <https://doi.org/10.13612/j.cnki.cntp.2021.23.044>.

8. Tang X.Y., Chen M.Y., and Hu M. (2020) Study on the Transportation and Inspection Cost Quota of Power Grid Dispatching Assets Based on the Operation Cost Method [J]. *Enterprise Reform and Management*, (07): 136-137. <https://doi.org/10.13768/j.cnki.cn11-3793/f.2020.0684>.
9. Li Z., and Zhang Y. (2019) Discussion on Strengthening Whole-process Cost Control in Directly Affiliated Electric Power Enterprises [J]. *Modern Trade Industry*, 40(31): 117-118. <https://doi.org/10.19311/j.cnki.1672-3198.2019.31.058>.
10. Tu Q., Zhan Y.B., and Chen D.L. (2023) Research on Data Processing and Analysis Method Based on Q-Learning [J]. *Electronic Design Engineering*, 31(12): 124-127. <https://doi.org/10.14022/j.issn1674-6236.2023.12.026>.
11. Hofmann E., and Bosshard J. (2017) Supply Chain Management and Activity-Based Costing: Current status and directions for the future [J]. *International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management*, 47(8): 712-735. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJPDLM-04-2017-0158>.
12. Pistoni A., and Songini L. (2017) Strategic Managerial Control for the Servitization Strategy[J]. *Studies in Managerial and Financial Accounting*,(32): 111-224. <https://doi.org/10.1108/S1479-351220170000032003>.
13. Dorde Kalicanin., and Knezevic V. (2013) Activity-Based Costing As Information Basis for Efficient Strategic Management Process[J]. *Economic Annals*, (58):95-120. <https://doi.org/10.2298/EKA1397095K>.

**Open Access** This chapter is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits any noncommercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if changes were made.

The images or other third party material in this chapter are included in the chapter's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the chapter's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.

