



Peer-Review Statements

Myrza Rahmanita¹(✉), Rina Suprina², and Willy Arafah³

¹ Trisakti Institute of Tourism, Jakarta, Indonesia
myrzarahmanita@iptrisakti.ac.id

² Trisakti Institute of Tourism, Jakarta, Indonesia

³ Trisakti University, Jakarta, Indonesia

All articles in this proceedings volume have been presented at the Tourism, Gastronomy, and Tourist Destination International Conference (TGDIC 2023) from 16 – 18 October 2023 in Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. These articles have been peer-reviewed by the members of reviewers and approved by the Editor-in-Chief, who affirms that this document is a truthful description of the conference's review process.

1 REVIEW PROCEDURE

The reviews were *double-blind*. Each submission was examined by two reviewers independently.

The conference submission system was through the link provided on the conference website.

The review procedure:

1. The articles were first screened to check their suitability with the scope and compliance with the proceeding template. In this process, the editor communicated with the author so that the manuscript deserved to be sent to the reviewer or declined.
2. Manuscripts that passed stage 1 are then sent to 2 reviewers to be reviewed using the double-blind peer review method.
3. The review process considers similarity check, novelty, objectivity, methods, scientific impact, conclusions, and references.
4. Reviewers suggest improvements and or accept/reject articles.
5. Authors of a rejected submission were allowed to revise and resubmit after addressing the reviewers' comments. The acceptance or rejection of a revised manuscript was final.
6. Articles that get reviewer feedback are sent to the author to be revised and resubmitted.
7. The revised articles are proofread to check readability to minimize punctuation, spelling, and grammatical errors.
8. The final article will be laid out, and metadata will be prepared based on the final articles.

M. Rahmanita—Editor-in-Chief of the 4th Tourism Gastronomy and Destination International Conference (TGDIC 2023).

2 QUALITY CRITERIA

Reviewers were instructed to assess the quality of submissions solely based on the academic merit of their content along the following dimensions:

1. Pertinence of the article's content to the scope and themes of the conference;
2. Clear demonstration of originality, novelty, and timeliness of the research;
3. Soundness of the methods, analyses, and results;
4. Adherence to the ethical standards and codes of conduct relevant to the research field;
5. Clarity, cohesion, and accuracy in language and other modes of expression, including figures and tables.

In addition, all of the articles have been checked for textual overlap to detect possible signs of plagiarism by the publisher. All the articles should pass the Turnitin Plagiarism Check.

3 KEY METRICS

<i>Total submissions</i>	80
<i>Number of articles sent for peer review</i>	69
<i>Number of accepted articles</i>	52
<i>Acceptance rate</i>	65%
<i>Number of reviewers</i>	9

4 COMPETING INTERESTS

Neither the Editor-in-Chief nor any member of the Scientific Committee declares any competing interest.

Open Access This chapter is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits any noncommercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if changes were made.

The images or other third party material in this chapter are included in the chapter's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the chapter's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.

