Peer-Review Statements

Aditya Nugroho Widiadi[1(✉)], Sharina Osman[2], Idris Idris[3], and Azmil Mohd Tayeb[4]

[1] Universitas Negeri Malang, Malang, Indonesia
aditya_widiadi@um.ac.id
[2] Universiti Kuala Lumpur, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia
[3] Universitas Negeri Malang, Malang, Indonesia
[4] Universiti Sains Malaysia, Gelugor, Malaysia

All of the articles in this proceedings volume have been presented at the *3rd International Conference On Social Knowledge Sciences and Education (ICSKSE)* during *26 – 27 July 2023* in *Malang*. These articles have been peer reviewed by the members of the scientific committees and approved by the Editor-in-Chief, who affirms that this document is a truthful description of the conference's review process.

1   Review Procedure

Peer review is a process in which a scientific paper is evaluated by a group of experts in the same field to make sure it meets the necessary standards for acceptance and publication. ICSKSE Conference's Program Chair will appoint a Publication Committee. The Publication Committee collaborates with reviewers to evaluate and discuss submitted papers. Review occurs during a fixed window of time. All authors are notified of the decision on their paper at the next stage. The publication committee is ultimately responsible for the selection of every accepted paper. The most common types of peer review are single-anonymous and double-anonymous review. In single-anonymous, the names of the reviewers are not shared with the author, but the reviewers are aware of the author's identity. In double-anonymous, neither the author nor the reviewers are aware of each other's identity. Both models ensure that the reviewer can give an honest and impartial evaluation of the paper. Most ICSKSE publications use the single-anonymous review format.

Conference peer review occurs within a fixed window of time. All authors are notified of the peer review decision on their paper at the same time. You may receive one of three possible decisions. Accept: Paper will be published without edits. Author may be asked to upload final camera-ready files or to sign a copyright form. Accept with revision: Paper will be accepted after you implement edits suggested by the reviewers. Author will be asked to provide a revised version. Reject: Paper will not be presented at the conference or published in the conference proceedings. Author may submit your paper to another ICSKSE publication. Due to a limited peer review period, ICSKSE, sometimes, do not offer a revision option. Instead, they will either accept or reject the initial submission.

2   Quality Criteria

During the peer review process, reviewers look for the following issues; Scope: Is the paper appropriate for the scope of this conference?;

Novelty: Is this original material distinct from previous publications?;
Validity: Is the study well designed and executed?;
Data: Are the data reported, analysed, and interpreted correctly?;
Clarity: Are the ideas expressed clearly, concisely, and logically?;
Compliance: Are all ethical and publication requirements met?;
Advancement: Is this a significant contribution to the field?

3   Key Metrics

Total submissions	101
Number of articles sent for peer review	89
Number of accepted articles	34
Acceptance rate	38.20%
Number of reviewers	14

4   Competing Interests

Authors must identify and declare any personal circumstances or interests that may be perceived as inappropriately influencing the representation or interpretation of reported research results. In the interests of transparency and to help readers form their own judgments of potential bias, ICSKSE 2023 requires authors to declare any competing financial and/or non-financial interests in relation to the article.

When deciding if you have a competing interest, it might be helpful to consider the following examples, but note that this is not an exhaustive list:

Financial competing interests

In the past five years have you received reimbursements, fees, funding, or salary from an organization that may in any way gain or loses financially from the online listing of this work, either now or in the future? Is such an organization financing the work presented in this article or its presentation at a conference? If so, please specify.

Do you hold any stocks or shares in an organization that may in any way gain or loses financially from the online listing of this work, either now or in the future? If so, please specify.

Do you hold or are you currently applying for any patents relating to the content of the work? Have you received reimbursements, fees, funding, or salary from an organization that holds or has applied for patents relating to the content of the work? If so, please specify.

Do you have any other financial competing interests? If so, please specify.

Non-financial competing interests

Are you a current collaborator with any of the authors of the paper in question, or have you been in the past 3 years?

Have you co-authored a paper with any of the authors of the paper in question in the past 3 years?

Are there any other non-financial competing interests (political, personal, religious, ideological, academic, intellectual, commercial or any other) to declare in relation to your assessment of this work? If so, please specify.