

Peer-Review Statements

Tulishree Pradhan¹,^{*} Deepak Kumar Behera², Savarimuthu Gregory³, Pooran Chand Joshi⁴, Madhuri Meelee⁵, Maria Stoicheva⁶

¹ KIIT School of Law, KIIT Deemed University, Bhubaneswar, India

² KISS Deemed University, Bhubaneswar, India

³ Kannur University, Kerala, India

⁴ University of Delhi, New Delhi, India

⁵ KIIT School of Law, KIIT Deemed University, Bhubaneswar, India

⁶ Department of European Studies, Sofia University, Bulgaria

*Editor-in-Chief of the WAC-2023. Email: tulishreepradhan@kls.ac.in

All of the articles in this proceedings volume have been presented at the **World Anthropology Congress-2023, Theme: Indigeneity and Environmental Anthropology during 9th to 14th August 2023 in Bhubaneswar, Odisha, India**. These articles have been peer reviewed by the members of the editorial and approved by the Editor-in-Chief, who affirms that this document is a truthful description of the conference's review process.

1. REVIEW PROCEDURE

The reviews were single-blind. Each submission was examined by 2 reviewer(s) independently.

The submissions were first screened for generic quality and suitableness. After the initial screening, they were sent for peer review by matching each paper's topic with the reviewers' expertise, taking into account any competing interests. A paper could only be considered for acceptance if it had received favourable recommendations from the two reviewers.

Authors of a rejected submission were given the opportunity to revise and resubmit after addressing the reviewers' comments. The acceptance or rejection of a revised manuscript was final.

Reviewers are recused from the handling of papers by closely related authors and adequate steps have been taken to reduce unconscious bias.

2. QUALITY CRITERIA

© The Author(s) 2023

T. Pradhan et al. (eds.), *Proceedings of the World Anthropology Congress 2023 (WAC 2023)*, Advances in Social Science, Education and Humanities Research 821, https://doi.org/10.2991/978-2-38476-192-0 1

2 T. Pradhan et al.

Reviewers were instructed to assess the quality of submissions solely based on the academic merit of their content along the following dimensions:

- 1. Pertinence of the article's content to the scope and themes of the conference;
- 2. Clear demonstration of originality, novelty, and timeliness of the research;
- 3. Soundness of the methods, analyses, and results;
- 4. Adherence to the ethical standards and codes of conduct relevant to the research field;
- 5. Clarity, cohesion, and accuracy in language and other modes of expression, including figures and tables.

In addition, all of the articles have been checked for textual overlap in an effort to detect possible signs of plagiarism by the publisher. All the papers have been checked through Turnitin software.

3. KEY METRICS

Total submissions60Number of articles sent for peer review40Number of accepted articles20Acceptance rate33.33%Number of reviewers6

4. COMPETING INTERESTS

Neither the Editor-in-Chief nor any member of the Scientific Committee declares any competing interest.

Open Access This chapter is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits any noncommercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if changes were made.

The images or other third party material in this chapter are included in the chapter's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the chapter's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.

(\mathbf{x})	•	\$
	BY	NC