



Peer-Review Statements

Ulziibayar Vandandoo^{1,*}, Namnan Tumurpurev⁵, Ochirbat Baatar⁵,
Ankhubayar Gelegbadam², Ayurzana Badarch³, Batdemberel Ganbat¹,
Baljinnyam Tsangia⁶, Battsetseg Gereltbyamba¹, Kita Naoyasu⁴,
Ilchgerel Dash¹, Munkhsaikhan Gonchigsuren¹,
Narantsetseg Magsarjav¹, Tsedenbayar Dashdondog¹,
Tsermaa Galya¹, and Saran Galdansambuu¹

¹ School of Applied Sciences, Mongolian University of Science and Technology, Mongolia

² School of Engineering and Applied Sciences, National University of Mongolia, Ulaanbaatar, Mongolia

³ School Civil Engineering and Architecture, Mongolian University of Science and Technology, Ulaanbaatar, Mongolia

⁴ Faculty of Advanced Science and Technology, Kumamoto University, Japan

⁵ Mongolian University of Science and Technology, Ulaanbaatar, Mongolia

⁶ Department of Mathematics, School of Applied Sciences, Mongolian University of Science and Technology, Ulaanbaatar, Mongolia

*Editor-in-Chief of the [ICASE2023]. Email: y_ulzii@must.edu.mn

All of the articles in this proceedings volume have been presented at the 3rd International Conference on Applied Science and Engineering (ICASE2023) during June 16–17, 2023 in Ulaanbaatar, Mongolia. These articles have been peer reviewed by the members of the editors, international committee and approved by the Editor-in-Chief, who affirms that this document is a truthful description of the conference's review process.

1. REVIEW PROCEDURE

The reviews were double blind. Each submission was examined by at least 2 reviewer(s) independently.

The conference submission management system was EquinOCS.

Step 1. To keep scientific integrity, conference secretary will run Plagscan by Turnitin on each new submission to see if problem of possible plagiarism has. Papers not passing plagiarism check will be desk rejected immediately.

Step 2. Then the publication chairs will have an initial check on new submission to ensure if it's within scope of the conference, and decide if it merits further review. If the new manuscript passed the initial check, it will be assigned to reviewers for double-blind peer review.

Step 3. Each of selected paper will be reviewed by at least two independent experts with related research background mainly on originality, validity, quality and readability.

Step 4. Review Reports received from the experts will be judged by one of the editors with international scientific standards.

Step 5. If logical, then Review Reports will be sent to authors to modify the manuscript accordingly. If not logical, then editor can assign new reviewer or can also judge at his/her own.

Step 6. Authors will be required to revise their papers according to the points raised.

Step 7. Revised version will then be evaluated by the editor whether the points raised by the reviewers have been addressed or not.

Step 8. Then the editor will send the revised manuscript to the reviewers again for re-evaluation.

Step 9. If the reviewers approve the revise version of the manuscript, then the Editor-inChief will make final decision for the publication.

2. QUALITY CRITERIA

Reviewers were instructed to assess the quality of submissions solely based on the academic merit of their content along the following dimensions

1. Pertinence of the article's content to the scope and themes of the conference;
2. Clear demonstration of originality, novelty, and timeliness of the research;
3. Soundness of the methods, analyses, and results;
4. Adherence to the ethical standards and codes of conduct relevant to the research field;
5. Clarity, cohesion, and accuracy in language and other modes of expression, including figures and tables.

In addition, all of the articles have been checked for textual overlap in an effort to detect possible signs of plagiarism by the publisher.

The original version of the chapter has been revised. Additional authors have been included. A correction to this chapter can be found at https://doi.org/10.2991/978-94-6463-330-6_24

© The Author(s) 2023, corrected publication 2024

U. Vandandoo et al. (eds.), *Proceedings of the International Conference on Applied Sciences and Engineering (ICASE 2023)*,

Atlantis Highlights in Engineering 22,

https://doi.org/10.2991/978-94-6463-330-6_1

3. KEY METRICS

<i>Total submissions</i>	138
<i>Number of articles sent for peer review</i>	73
<i>Number of accepted articles</i>	22
<i>Acceptance rate</i>	30.1%
<i>Number of reviewers</i>	15

4. COMPETING INTERESTS

Neither the Editor-in-Chief nor any member of the Scientific Committee declares any competing interest.

Open Access This chapter is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits any noncommercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if changes were made.

The images or other third party material in this chapter are included in the chapter's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the chapter's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.

