

Peer-Review Statements

Pamungkas A. Dewanto¹, Kinanti R. Sabilla², Tri S. Nurkholiq³

¹University of Mataram, Mataram, Indonesia

² University of Mataram, Mataram, Indonesia

³ University of Mataram, Mataram, Indonesia

*Editor-in-Chief of the Southeast Asia Conference on Migration and Development. Email: seacmd@unram.ac.id

All of the articles in this proceedings volume have been presented at the Southeast Asia Conference on Migration and Development during 19th to 21st September in Lombok Astoria Hotel, Mataram, West Nusa Tenggara, Indonesia. These articles have been peer reviewed by the members of the Board of Reviewers and approved by the Editor-in-Chief, who affirms that this document is a truthful description of the conference's review process.

1. REVIEW PROCEDURE

The submissions were first screened for generic quality and suitableness. After the initial screening, they were sent for peer review by matching each paper's topic with the reviewers' expertise, taking into account any competing interests. A paper could only be considered for acceptance if it had received favourable recommendations from the reviewers.

Authors of a rejected submission were given the opportunity to revise and resubmit after addressing the reviewers' comments. The acceptance or rejection of a revised manuscript was final.

To avoid subjective reviews, we hide all the name's authors, institution or affiliation, email, etc. before we send it to the reviewers. The reviewers will only receive the blind papers without any information of the author included.

2. QUALITY CRITERIA

Reviewers were instructed to assess the quality of submissions solely based on the academic merit of their content along the following dimensions

[©] The Author(s) 2023

- 2 P. A. Dewanto et al.
 - 1. Pertinence of the article's content to the scope and themes of the conference;
 - 2. Clear demonstration of originality, novelty, and timeliness of the research;
 - 3. Soundness of the methods, analyses, and results;
 - 4. Adherence to the ethical standards and codes of conduct relevant to the research field;
 - 5. Clarity, cohesion, and accuracy in language and other modes of expression, including figures and tables.

In addition, all of the articles have been checked for textual overlap in an effort to detect possible signs of plagiarism by the publisher.

3. KEY METRICS

Total submissions	51
Number of articles sent for peer review	51
Number of accepted articles	23
Acceptance rate	45.1%
Number of reviewers	15
	•

The articles that been presented in the conference was 51 titles in total. 25 out of 51 articles is accepting to join our publication plan and 23 articles has been accepted after some reviews by our reviewers.

4. COMPETING INTERESTS

Neither the Editor-in-Chief nor any member of the Scientific Committee declares any competing interest.

Open Access This chapter is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits any noncommercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if changes were made.

The images or other third party material in this chapter are included in the chapter's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the chapter's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.

