

Peer-Review Statements

Ramlan Mahmud^{1*}, Abdul Rahman², Hotimah³, Muhammad Amran⁴, Rahmawati Patta⁵, Musfirah⁶, Afdhal Fatawuri Syamsuddin⁷, and Bhakti Prima Hindiga Hermuttaqien⁸,

1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8 Universitas Negeri Makassar, Makassar, Indonesia

*Editor-in-Chief of the ICSTEE 2023. Email: ramlan.mm@unm.ac.id

All of the articles in this proceedings volume have been presented at the 2^{nd} International Conference of Science and Technology in Elementary Education (ICSTEE 2023) during 9 November 2023 in Makassar. These articles have been peer reviewed by the members of the *Reviewer Committee* and approved by the Editor-in-Chief, who affirms that this document is a truthful description of the conference's review process.

1. REVIEW PROCEDURE

The reviews were *double-blind*. Each submission was examined by 2 reviewers independently.

The conference submission management system was conference management system (CMS) can be access at http://icstee.conf.unm.ac.id/

The submissions were first screened for generic quality and suitableness. After the initial screening, they were sent for peer review by matching each paper's topic with the reviewers' expertise, taking into account any competing interests. A paper could only be considered for acceptance if it had received favourable recommendations from the two reviewers.

Authors of a rejected submission were given the opportunity to revise and resubmit after addressing the reviewers' comments. The acceptance or rejection of a revised manuscript was final.

2. QUALITY CRITERIA

Reviewers were instructed to assess the quality of submissions solely based on the academic merit of their content along the following dimensions.

The original version of the chapter has been revised. A correction to this chapter can be found at https://doi.org/10.2991/978-2-38476-210-1_29

[©] The Author(s) 2024, corrected publication 2024

R. Mahmud et al. (eds.), Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference of Science and Technology in Elementary Education (ICSTEE 2023), Advances in Social Science, Education and Humanities Research 826, https://doi.org/10.2991/978-2-38476-210-1_1

2 R. Mahmud et al.

- 1. Pertinence of the article's content to the scope and themes of the conference;
- 2. Clear demonstration of originality, novelty, and timeliness of the research;
- 3. Soundness of the methods, analyses, and results;
- 4. Adherence to the ethical standards and codes of conduct relevant to the research field;
- 5. Clarity, cohesion, and accuracy in language and other modes of expression, including figures and tables.

In addition, all of the articles have been checked for textual overlap in an effort to detect possible signs of plagiarism by the publisher. Editorial team encouraged authors to use Turnitin's originality checking software as the tool in detecting similarities of texts in article manuscripts and the final version articles ready for publication. A maximum of 20% of similarities is allowed for the submitted papers. Should we find more than 20% of the similarity index, the article will be returned to the author for correction and re-submission.

3. KEY METRICS

Total submissions	35
Number of articles sent for peer review	35
Number of accepted articles	27
Acceptance rate	77.1%
Number of reviewers	15

4. COMPETING INTERESTS

Neither the Editor-in-Chief nor any member of the Scientific Committee declares any competing interest.

Open Access This chapter is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits any noncommercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if changes were made.

The images or other third party material in this chapter are included in the chapter's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the chapter's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.

\odot	•	\$
	BY	NC