



Peer-Review Statements

Abdul Kadir Jaelani^{1,*} Irwansyah Irwansyah² Fokke Fernhout³ Agus Raharjo⁴ Mohd Rizal Palil⁵ Hilaire Tegan⁶ Okid Parama Astirin⁷ Sutarno Sutarno⁸ Venty Suryanti⁹ Pranoto Pranoto¹⁰ Robbi Rahim¹¹

¹ Faculty of Law, Universitas Sebelas Maret, Surakarta, Indonesia

² Faculty of Law, Universitas Hasanudin, Makasar, Indonesia.

³ Maastricht University, Nederland.

⁴ Jenderal Soedirman University, Indonesia.

⁵ Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Malaysia.

⁶ Sarbonne University, France.

⁷ Universitas Sebelas Maret, Surakarta, Indonesia

⁸ Universitas Sebelas Maret, Surakarta, Indonesia.

⁹ Universitas Sebelas Maret, Surakarta, Indonesia

¹⁰ Universitas Sebelas Maret, Surakarta, Indonesia.

¹¹ Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Manajemen Sukma, Indonesia.

*Editor-in-Chief of the [Conference abbreviation]. Email: jaelaniabdulkadir@staff.uns.ac.id

All of the articles in this proceedings volume have been presented at the *International Conference On Law, Economic & Good Governance* during 9-10 November 2023” in Surakarta, Indonesia. These articles have been peer reviewed by the members of the *Editors and Scientific Committee* and approved by the Editor-in-Chief, who affirms that this document is a truthful description of the conference’s review process.

1. REVIEW PROCEDURE

The reviews were *double-blind*. Each submission was examined by 2 reviewers independently.

The conference submission management system was Easychair. Authors must submit their papers through easy-chair. Please note that we don’t accept submission by email

The submissions were first screened for generic quality and suitability. After the initial screening, they were sent for peer review by matching each paper’s topic with the reviewers’ expertise, taking into account any competing interests. A paper could

only be considered for acceptance if it had received favourable recommendations from the two reviewers.

Authors of a rejected submission were given the opportunity to revise and resubmit after addressing the reviewers' comments. The acceptance or rejection of a revised manuscript was final.

2. QUALITY CRITERIA

Reviewers were instructed to assess the quality of submissions solely based on the academic merit of their content along the following dimensions Pertinence of the article's content to the scope and themes of the conference;

1. Pertinence of the article's content to the scope and themes of the conference;
2. Clear demonstration of originality, novelty, and timeliness of the research;
3. Soundness of the methods, analyses, and results;
4. Adherence to the ethical standards and codes of conduct relevant to the research field;
5. Clarity, cohesion, and accuracy in language and other modes of expression, including figures and tables.

In addition, all of the articles have been checked for textual overlap in an effort to detect possible signs of plagiarism by the publisher. We apply plagiarism scanning with Turnitin and Google Scholar before the article is subjected to a substantial review process.

3. KEY METRICS

<i>Total submissions</i>	250
<i>Number of articles sent for peer review</i>	200
<i>Number of accepted articles</i>	113
<i>Acceptance rate</i>	55%
<i>Number of reviewers</i>	34

4. COMPETING INTERESTS

Neither the Editor-in-Chief nor any member of the Scientific Committee declares any competing interest.

Open Access This chapter is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits any noncommercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if changes were made.

The images or other third party material in this chapter are included in the chapter's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the chapter's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.

