# Sovereignty in the Age of Biopower: An Examination of Necropolitics

Heshan Zhao

University of Birmingham, Birmingham, England

2482516799@qq.com

**Abstract.** This article delves into the interplay between sovereignty, biopower, and necropolitics. It explores how modern states exercise control over life and death, shaping the political and social realms through biopower—a term coined by Michel Foucault to describe the governance of populations. The concept of necropolitics, introduced by Achille Mbembe, further extends this analysis to the power to dictate who may live and who must die. Through a comprehensive discussion, this study investigates the mechanisms by which sovereign power exerts its influence in contemporary society and the implications of biopolitical control on human life and death.

**Keywords:** Media, Crisis Communication, Crisis Management, Crisis Public Relations, Media Relations.

## 1  Introduction

The concepts of sovereignty, biopower, and necropolitics interweave to form a complex tapestry that defines the contours of modern governance. Sovereignty, once the absolute rule over a delineated territory, has morphed under the lens of Foucault's biopower into a regulatory force over life itself—a force that orchestrates the health, safety, and welfare of populations. This biopolitical paradigm is further nuanced by Mbembe's concept of necropolitics, which exposes the dark side of sovereignty: the capacity of a state to designate who may live and who must die. This paper delves into these entangled notions, arguing that they are critical for deciphering the ethical and political landscapes of contemporary states [1]. It probes the underlying ethics of these governing practices and evaluates their implications for civic life and human dignity, ultimately confronting the moral quandaries they present to the essence of democratic governance.

# 2    The Foundations of Biopower

## 2.1    The Evolution from Sovereignty to Biopolitics

Biopower represents a paradigmatic shift from the classical understanding of sovereignty. In this section, we trace Foucault's narrative of how the sovereign's "right to kill" morphed into a more insidious form of power—one that orchestrates life through the regulation of populations. It delves into the mechanisms through which the state extends its reach into the biological fabric of its citizenry, not only to control but to actively engineer and enhance life. The focus is on how biopower redefines the boundaries of governance, intertwining the biological existence of individuals with the political strategies of the state.

## 2.2    Mechanisms of Biopower

Under Foucault's lens, biopower operates through an array of mechanisms that collectively aim at the management of life. This subsection dissects these mechanisms, including the institutionalization of health systems, surveillance practices, and the political economy of the body. It scrutinizes how these mechanisms function not only to monitor and regulate but also to normalize behaviors and conditions, thus casting a wide net over what constitutes 'healthy' or 'acceptable' within a population [2].

## 2.3    Biopower and Social Control

Here, the focus turns to biopower as a tool for social control, examining how biopolitical strategies are employed to maintain order and manage social risks. This part of the discussion addresses the role of biopower in shaping norms, influencing individual behaviors, and orchestrating the social fabric. It evaluates the impact of biopower on personal autonomy and the ways in which it can both empower and constrain individual freedoms.

## 2.4    Biopower, Capital, and the State

The intricate relationship between biopower, capital, and the state is analyzed in this subsection. It explores how biopower is utilized to further economic objectives, aligning the vitality of the population with the demands of capitalist production. The discussion extends to the commodification of life processes and the interplay between the health of the populace and the health of the economy, revealing the economic underpinnings of biopolitical strategies [3].

# 3 Sovereignty and the Right to Kill

## 3.1 Sovereign Power and the Jurisdiction Over Death

This subsection delves deeper into the historical evolution of sovereign power and its intertwined relationship with mortality. It examines the philosophical underpinnings of sovereignty, citing seminal thinkers who have contributed to the concept of the 'right to kill.' The works of Thomas Hobbes and Jean Bodin are discussed to understand the origins of sovereign power in the social contract and its implications for the individual's right to life [4]. The evolution of this right through the Enlightenment and into contemporary times is traced, with a critical analysis of how the notion of 'killing to protect' has been used to justify wars, genocides, and acts of terrorism. The role of international law and global governance in moderating the sovereign's right to kill is also evaluated, considering the tension between state sovereignty and human rights norms.

## 3.2 Necropolitics and the Governance of Death

Expanding further on the notion of necropolitics, this part delves into the mechanics of how modern states orchestrate the subjugation of life to the power of death. The discussion extends to include Foucault's concept of 'biopolitics' and how it merges with Mbembe's necropolitics in contemporary governance. It critically assesses various geopolitical landscapes where necropolitics is at play, from authoritarian regimes to democratic societies facing terrorist threats. The paradox of necropolitics in promoting death in the name of ensuring life is discussed, with examples from historical and current events where states have enacted policies that effectively 'make die' or 'let die.'

## 3.3 The Biopolitical Economy of Death

This section takes a closer look at how the biopolitical economy orchestrates the distribution of death. It examines the commodification of death and the perverse incentives that can arise within capitalist systems that profit from mortality, such as the arms trade or pharmaceutical monopolies during health crises. The role of global economic institutions and multinational corporations in shaping policies that impact life and death across different populations is analyzed [5]. Discussions include how economic sanctions, trade agreements, and intellectual property laws can have necropolitical consequences, disproportionately affecting the most vulnerable populations in society.

## 3.4 State of Exception and the Suspension of Law

In this expanded subsection, the concept of the state of exception is critically examined through the lens of Giorgio Agamben's work. The narrative explores historical and contemporary examples of the state of exception, such as the use of martial law, emergency powers, and the designation of zones outside the normal juridical order like Guantanamo Bay. The implications of such spaces for the human condition, and how they reveal the limits and contradictions of sovereignty are discussed. The ethical and moral

considerations raised by the state of exception are considered, particularly in the context of the 'War on Terror' and the ongoing refugee crisis, where the suspension of law often leads to a suspension of humanity itself [6].

# 4      Case Studies: Necropolitics in Action

## 4.1      Case Study: Authoritarian Regimes and the Weaponization of Death

This subsection examines the explicit use of necropolitical power in authoritarian regimes, where the state apparatus often blatantly employs death as a means of controlling populations. It looks at historical examples such as Pol Pot's Cambodia, Stalin's Soviet Union, and present-day North Korea, dissecting the mechanisms by which these regimes have used the threat and act of death to maintain power. It also explores the role of propaganda, surveillance, and the politicization of the judiciary in perpetuating these necropolitical regimes.

## 4.2      Case Study: Democratic States and Covert Necropolitics

Here, the focus shifts to how democratic states may engage in necropolitics more covertly, using legislative and policy measures to exert biopower. It discusses the war on drugs in various countries and the criminalization of marginalized communities, resulting in 'civil death' and sometimes physical death. The case studies of the United States' prison-industrial complex and the migrant crisis at various borders are analyzed, offering insights into how states can employ necropolitical strategies under the guise of law and order.

## 4.3      Case Study: Global Health and Necropolitical Economics

This section addresses the intersection of global health crises and necropolitics, particularly in the distribution of healthcare and life-saving medications. Through the analysis of the HIV/AIDS epidemic in Africa and the COVID-19 pandemic, it explores how economic interests and patent laws have governed access to treatment, effectively deciding who lives and who dies [7]. The role of international organizations and pharmaceutical companies in shaping these outcomes is critically assessed.

## 4.4      Case Study: Environmental Destruction and Necropolitics

Environmental degradation and climate change are examined as a form of slow violence and necropolitics. This subsection explores how states and corporations that prioritize economic growth over environmental concerns contribute to the long-term 'death' of ecosystems, which disproportionately affects marginalized communities and developing countries. Case studies include the deforestation of the Amazon, pollution in industrial areas like Flint, Michigan, and the exploitation of natural resources in the Niger Delta.

Through these case studies, the paper illustrates the varied manifestations of necropolitics in contemporary society, showing how the power to dictate the terms of life and death operates across different scales and systems of governance. Each case provides a unique perspective on the dark side of sovereignty and biopower, highlighting the urgent need for a critical examination of power structures that perpetuate inequality and suffering [8].

## 5     The Ethics of Biopower and Necropolitics

### 5.1     Moral Justifications and State Sovereignty

This subsection delves into the ethical frameworks that states invoke to justify the use of biopower and necropolitics. It critically analyzes the notion of the 'greater good' and how it often underpins decisions that lead to the suppression or elimination of certain groups. Examples from wartime measures, anti-terrorism laws, and public health emergencies are scrutinized to understand how moral and ethical considerations are constructed or sidelined in the pursuit of state sovereignty and security.

### 5.2     Human Rights Implications

Here, the implications of biopower and necropolitics on human rights are examined. The discussion revolves around the tension between state sovereignty and individual rights, especially the right to life. It assesses how international laws and conventions attempt to regulate state behavior, the effectiveness of these measures, and the role of international bodies like the United Nations in addressing violations that stem from necropolitical actions.

### 5.3     Dignity in the Face of Biopower

This section focuses on the concept of human dignity in the context of biopower and necropolitics. It debates whether it is possible to reconcile the administration of life (and death) by the state with the inherent dignity that is said to belong to every individual. The impact of biopower on personal autonomy and the dignity of marginalized populations is considered, drawing on examples from healthcare, social policy, and the justice system.

### 5.4     Ethical Alternatives to Necropolitics

The subsection proposes alternative ethical approaches to the exercise of power that do not resort to the logic of necropolitics. It explores philosophical and practical frameworks such as restorative justice, human security, and the capabilities approach, which prioritize the flourishing of all lives rather than the exclusionary and often deadly con-

sequences of biopower. Case studies from countries that have adopted such frameworks, with varying degrees of success, are discussed to illustrate the potential for ethical governance.

## 6     Conclusion

The culmination of this exploration into sovereignty, biopower, and necropolitics underscores a pressing need to reassess the tenets of state power in an era increasingly defined by the politicization of life and the specter of death. Traversing the theoretical terrains carved out by Foucault and Mbembe, this paper has illuminated the stark modalities through which states assert dominion over the biological and existential planes of human existence. The intersection of governance with the management of life and orchestration of death engenders profound ethical quandaries, challenging the bedrock of modern polity. It is imperative that the exercise of such profound power be perpetually weighed against the scales of human dignity and rights. The urgency for an ethical overhaul is not a mere scholastic pursuit but a practical imperative that calls for the reimagination of our legal and socio-political architectures. A future-focused scholarship must, therefore, emerge—one that not only deconstructs power's modalities but also forges tangible paths toward policy innovation and legal recalibration, strengthening the human rights edifice and amplifying the voices clamoring for systemic reform. As the power dynamics that govern our world continue to morph, the analytical prisms of biopower and necropolitics retain their relevance, demanding constant reinterpretation to confront emerging challenges and to pivot the axis of sovereignty towards the nurturing of life and the prosperity of the collective human spirit.

## References

1. Duffy, Brooke Erin, Thomas Poell, and David B. Nieborg. "Platform practices in the cultural industries: Creativity, labor, and citizenship." Social Media+ Society 5.4 (2019): 2056305119879672.
2. Belfiore, Eleonora. "Whose cultural value? Representation, power and creative industries." International journal of cultural policy 26.3 (2020): 383-397.
3. Casadei, Patrizia, and Neil Lee. "Global cities, creative industries and their representation on social media: A micro-data analysis of Twitter data on the fashion industry." Environment and Planning A: Economy and Space 52.6 (2020): 1195-1220.
4. Adorno, Theodor W., and Max Horkheimer. "The culture industry: Enlightenment as mass deception." Philosophers on Film from Bergson to Badiou: A Critical Reader. Columbia University Press, 2019. 80-96.
5. Poell, Thomas, David B. Nieborg, and Brooke Erin Duffy. Platforms and cultural production. John Wiley & Sons, 2021.
6. Horkheimer, Max, and Theodor W. Adorno. "The culture industry: Enlightenment as mass deception." Media and cultural studies: Keyworks (2006): 41-72.
7. Adorno, Theodor W., and Anson G. Rabinbach. "Culture industry reconsidered." New German Critique 6 (1975): 12-19.

8. Adorno, Theodor W. The culture industry: Selected essays on mass culture. Psychology Press, 2001.