

# **Peer-Review Statements**

Kondwani Joseph Banda<sup>1,\*</sup> Sri Susanty<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> School of Nursing, College of Nursing, Taipei Medical University, Taiwan <sup>2</sup> Nurse Professional Education Study Program, Faculty of Medicine, Indonesia

\*Editor-in-Chief of the IMHO. Email: s07691@tmu.edu.tw

All of the articles in this proceedings volume have been presented at the *Ist International Confrence Medical and Health Science Halu Oleo (IMHO) on date September 23, 2023 in Kendari, Southeast Sulawesi of Indonesia.* These articles have been peer reviewed by the members of the Scientific Division (Section Papers and Proceedings) and approved by the Editor-in-Chief, who affirms that this document is a truthful description of the conference's review process.

## 1. REVIEW PROCEDURE

The reviews were double-blind. Each submission was examined by 2 reviewer(s) independently. The conference submission management system was https://imho.kedokteran.uho.ac.id/

Initial consideration was given to the generic quality and suitability of the submissions. After the preliminary evaluation, the papers underwent peer review, during which the subject matter of each paper was matched with the expertise of the assessors, with competing interests duly considered. To be eligible for acceptance, a paper was required to have obtained positive recommendations from both reviewers.

Rejected submissions were returned to the authors for revision and resubmission, with the authors responding to the reviewers' comments. The final decision regarding the approval or rejection of a revised manuscript was made. We also emphasize that reviewers are prohibited from handling papers by authors with whom they have close relationships and do not involve leadership or other parties who wish to influence the final decision

# 2. QUALITY CRITERIA

Reviewers are instructed to judge the quality of submissions purely on the academic merit of their content using the following dimensions:

© The Author(s) 2024

#### 2 K. J. Banda and S. Susanty

- 1. The article's content should be appropriate for the author's scholarly scope and the conference theme.
- 2. Demonstrate research originality, novelty, and timeliness;
- 3. Use good techniques, analysis, and results;
- 4. Adhere to relevant ethical norms and guidelines.
- 5. The clarity, cohesion, and accuracy of language and other forms of communication, such as figures and tables.
- 6. Make sure there is no overlapping text in the article to avoid any indications of copying by the publication.

In addition, we also check Turnitin to all of the articles to detect possible signs of plagiarism by the publisher

#### 3. KEY METRICS

Total submissions 81

Number of articles sent for peer review 46

Number of accepted articles 23

Acceptance rate 28.4%

Number of reviewers 7

## 4. COMPETING INTERESTS

Neither the Editor-in-Chief nor any member of the Scientific Committee has any competing interest to declare.

**Open Access** This chapter is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits any noncommercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if changes were made.

The images or other third party material in this chapter are included in the chapter's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the chapter's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.

