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Abstract. Suppose that \(v = m^2 + n^2 + 1\), \(k = mn + 1\), and \(m, n \in \mathbb{N}^*\), if \(mn+1\) divides \(m^2 + n^2\), then there may be such a family of symmetric \((v, k, \lambda)\) designs and the minimum value of \(v\) is 69.
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1 Introduction

A balanced incomplete block design, namely a 2- \((v, k, \lambda)\) design, is an arrangement of \(v\) points into \(b\) blocks such that each point occurs in \(r\) different blocks, each block contains \(k\) points and every pair of distinct points occur together in \(\lambda\) blocks. The parameters \((v, b, r, k, \lambda)\) of a 2-\((v, k, \lambda)\) design satisfy

\[ vr = bk \] (1)
\[ \lambda(v-1) = r(k-1) \] (2)
\[ b \geq v \text{ (Fisher’s inequality)} \] (3)

If the block set \(B\) contains all the \(k\)-subset of the point set \(P\), then this 2- \((v, k, \lambda)\) design is called a full design. If \(2 < k < v-1\) holds, then we speak of a non-trivial 2-\((v, k, \lambda)\) design, written as simply 2-design [1]. If \(b = v\), and thus \(k = r\), then the 2-design is called a symmetric design.

In 2013, Tian and Zhou [2] researched flag-transitive point-primitive symmetric \((v, k, \lambda)\) designs with \(\lambda\) at most 100. In 2015, they [3] completely classified all flag-transitive point-primitive symmetric \((v, k, \lambda)\) designs with sporadic socle. In [4], Alavi S, Bayat M and Daneshkhah A, classified symmetric designs admitting flag-transitive and point primitive automorphism groups associated to two dimensional projective special groups. In this paper, we study the existence of the symmetric \((v, k, \lambda)\) designs with \(v = m^2 + n^2 + 1\), \(k = mn + 1\), and \(mn+1 \mid m^2+n^2\).

Our main result is the following.
Conjecture 1. Let $v = m^2 + n^2 + 1$, $k = mn + 1$, and $m, n \in \mathbb{N}^*$. If $mn + 1$ divides $m^2 + n^2$, then such a family of symmetric $(v, k, \lambda)$ designs exists and the minimum value of $v$ is 69.

2 Some research on Conjecture 1

We give some research on conjecture 1 in the following three subsections.

2.1 Some Preliminary Results

In this subsection we give some preliminary results which are used throughout the paper.

Lemma 2.1. [5, PROBLEM 6] Let $m$ and $n$ be two positive integers such that $mn + 1$ divides $m^2 + n^2$, then $\frac{m^2 + n^2}{mn + 1}$ is a perfect square.

Proof. Let $\frac{m^2 + n^2}{mn + 1} = t \in \mathbb{N}^*$, then $m^2 + n^2 = tmn + t$.

Since $m$ and $n$ occur symmetrically we may assume $m \leq n$.

We claim that $tm - 1 < n \leq tm$.

Firstly, we prove the right-inequality.

Suppose $n > tm$, then $n = tm + c$ with $c \in \mathbb{N}^*$.

Thus from $m^2 + n^2 = tmn + t$,

we get $m^2 + (tm + c)^2 = tmn(tm + c) + t$,

so $m^2 + tmc + c^2 = t$.

Such that $t \leq tmc < m^2 + tmc + c^2 = t$,

a contradiction.

Thus $n \leq tm$.

Secondly, from

$$t = \frac{m^2 + n^2}{mn + 1} < \frac{m^2 + n^2}{mn} = \frac{m^2}{m} + \frac{n}{m} \leq 1 + \frac{n}{m},$$

we get the right-inequality $tm - 1 < n$.

From $tm - 1 < n \leq tm$, we get $n = tm - r_i$ with $0 \leq r_i < m$ and $r_i \in \mathbb{N}$.

From $m^2 + n^2 = tmn + t$ and $n = tm - r_i$,

we get $\frac{m^2 + n^2}{mr_i + 1} = t$. 

Thus if one pair \((m,n)\) satisfies \(\frac{m^2 + n^2}{mn + 1} = t\), then also the pair \((r',m)\) satisfies \(\frac{r'^2 + m^2}{r'm + 1} = t\).

But we have \(0 \leq r' < m \leq n\), so that each component of \((r',m)\) is smaller than each component of \((m,n)\). Since there is no infinite strictly monotonic decreasing sequence of positive integers, by applying twiddle and divide algorithm, we will get smallest pair \((r_N,r_{N-1})\) until \(r_N = 0\). From \(\frac{r_N^2 + r_{N-1}^2}{r_{N-1}r_N + 1} = t\) and \(r_N = 0\), we get \(t = r_{N-1}^2\), thus \(t\) is a perfect square. \(\square\)

**NOTE:** (1) The problem was submitted to the IMO in 1988 by the West Germany. In [6], Arthur Engel wrote the following note about its difficulty:

Nobody of the six members of the Australian problem committee could solve it. Two of the members were Georges Szekeres and his wife, both famous problem solvers and problem posers. Because it was a number theory problem, it was sent to the four most renowned Australian number theorists. They were asked to work on it for six hours. None of them could solve it in this time. The problem committee submitted it to the jury of the 29th IMO marked with a double asterisk, which meant a superhard problem, possibly too hard to pose. After a long discussion, the jury finally had the courage to choose it as the last problem of the competition.

Eleven students gave perfect solutions.

(2) We can find a large number of examples that satisfy the conditions of Lemma 2.1. For example, let \(n = m^3 \in N^*\),

then \(\frac{m^2 + n^2}{mn + 1} = \frac{m^2 + (m^3)^2}{m \cdot m^3 + 1} = m^2\) is a perfect square.

Give another general example, let \(m=240, n=2133\), then \(\frac{m^2 + n^2}{mn + 1} = 9\) is a perfect square. In fact, by applying twiddle and divide algorithm, \(2133 = 9 \times 240 - 27\), \(240 = 9 \times 27 - 3\), \(27 = 9 \times 3 - 0\). Then the perfect square 9 is \(r_2^2\).

**Lemma 2.2.** [1, Bruck-Ryser-Chowla Theorem] Let \(v,k,\lambda\) be positive integers. If a \(2-(v,k,\lambda)\) symmetric design exists and \(v\) is even, then \(k - \lambda\) must be a square.

**Lemma 2.3.** [3, Lemma 2.3] Let \(D = (P, B)\) be a nontrivial \(2-(v,k,\lambda)\) design and \(G\) be an automorphism group of \(D\). For any point \(x \in P\) and block \(B \in B\), the following three statements are equivalent:
(i) $G$ acts flag-transitively on $D$;
(ii) $G$ acts point-transitively on $D$ and $G_x$ acts transitively on $B(x)$, where $B(x)$
denotes the set of all blocks that are incident with a point $x$;
(iii) $G$ acts block-transitively on $D$ and $G_B$ acts transitively on the points of $B$.

**Lemma 2.4.** Let $m$ and $n$ be two positive integers such that $mn + 1$ divides $m^2 + n^2$. If $m = n$, then $m = n = 1$.

**Proof.** From Lemma 2.1, we get $\frac{m^2 + n^2}{mn + 1}$ is a perfect square, and assume that

$$\frac{m^2 + n^2}{mn + 1} = t^2.$$

If $m = n$, then $\frac{2m^2}{m^2 + 1} = t^2$, and so $(2 - t^2)m^2 = t^2$.

Since $t$ is a positive integer, we get $2 - t^2 > 0$, then $t = 1$, $m = n = 1$. \qed

### 2.2 Feasible Parameters of 2-designs

In this subsection we describe briefly our approach to search for feasible parameters of symmetric $(v, k, \lambda)$ designs.

Let $m = n = 1$, $v = m^2 + n^2 + 1$, $k = mn + 1$, we can get a 2-(3, 2, 1) design. Since this design’s block set contains all the $k$-subset of point set, then this design is a full design. In fact, by $k = 2$, it is also a trivial 2-$(v, k, \lambda)$ design. Thus, by Lemma 2.4, we only need to consider the case that $m \neq n$. As $m$ and $n$ have equal status, it can be assumed that $m < n$.

We compute possible parameters $(m, n)$ satisfying the following conditions:
(i) $1 \leq m < n \leq 10000$;
(ii) $mn + 1 | m^2 + n^2$.

By using the computer algebra system GAP [7], we get 30 two-tuples of parameters $(m, n)$ listed in TABLE I.

**TABLE I. Feasible Parameters $(m, n)$**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$m$</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$n$</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>216</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Now, compute possible parameters \((v, k, \lambda)\) satisfying the following conditions:

(i) \(v = m^2 + n^2 + 1, \  k = mn + 1;\)

(ii) \(\lambda = \frac{k(k - 1)}{v - 1} = \frac{mn(mn + 1)}{m^2 + n^2};\)

(iii) if \(v\) is even, then \(k - \lambda\) is a square (see Lemma 2.2).

By using the computer algebra system GAP, we get 27 five-tuples of parameters \((v, k, \lambda, m, n)\) listed in Table 2. In the following, “No. i” denotes the \(i\)-th “Feasible parameters” of Table II.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>((v, k, \lambda, m, n))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>(69,17,4,2,8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>(739,82,9,3,27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>(4113,257,16,4,64)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>(15651,626,25,5,125)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>(46693,1297,36,6,216)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>(117699,2402,49,7,343)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>(965,241,60,8,30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>(262209,4097,64,8,512)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>(531523,6562,81,9,729)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>(1000101,10001,100,10,1000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>(1771683,14642,121,11,1331)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>(2986129,20737,144,12,1728)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>(4826979,28562,169,13,2197)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>(7529733,38417,196,14,2744)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>(11390851,50626,225,15,3375)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>(16777473,65537,256,16,4096)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
#### 2.3 The Existence of Designs

Now, we study the existence of designs on conjecture 1.

**Lemma 2.5.** [8, Construction 3.18] & [9] Let \( \lambda - 1 \) and \( \lambda^2 - \lambda + 1 \) be prime powers. Then, there exists a family of symmetric block designs with parameters \((v,k,\lambda) = (\lambda^3 + \lambda + 1, \lambda^2 + 1, \lambda)\).

**Lemma 2.6.** [10, Corollary 5.4] Let \( q \) and \( r = (q^d - 1) / (q - 1) \) be prime powers. Then, for any positive integer \( a \), there exists a symmetric design with parameters

\[
(v,k,\lambda) = \left(1 + \frac{qr(r^a - 1)}{r - 1}, r^a, r^{a-1}(r-1) \right).
\]

**Corollary 2.7.** There exist symmetric \((69, 17, 4)\) design and symmetric \((739, 82, 9)\) design.

**Proof.** Let \( \lambda = 4 \), then both \( \lambda - 1 = 3 \) and \( \lambda^2 - \lambda + 1 = 13 \) are prime powers. By Lemma 2.5, there exists a symmetric \((69, 17, 4)\) design.

Let \( \lambda = 9 \), then both \( \lambda - 1 = 8 \) and \( \lambda^2 - \lambda + 1 = 73 \) are prime powers. By Lemma 2.5, there exists a symmetric \((739, 82, 9)\) design. \(\square\)

**NOTE:**

1. Let \( m = 2, n = 8 \), then \( v = m^2 + n^2 + 1 = 69 \), \( k = mn + 1 = 17 \), and \( mn + 1 \) divides \( m^2 + n^2 \). This is the “No. 1” in TABLE II. The same to “No. 2” in TABLE II.

2. Now, some information about symmetric \((69, 17, 4)\) design is given from reference [1]. Let \( A, B, C, D, I_1, 2, 3, 4, 5, i \equiv 0, 1, \cdots, 12(\text{mod} 13) \), then the automorphisms are

\[
\rho = (A)(B)(C)(D)(I_0, I_1, \cdots, I_{12}), I \equiv 1, 2, \cdots, 5,
\]
\[ \tau = (ABCD)(1,2,3,4)(5), i \equiv 0,1, \ldots, 12(\text{mod} 13). \]

The base blocks are:

\[ ABCD, \begin{array}{cccccccccccc}
0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 \\
1 & 3 & 9 & 2 & 6 & 5 & 12 & 10 & 8 & 11 & 7 & 0 & 4 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
\end{array} \]

(3) In the future, Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.6 can be used to study the classification problem of this family of symmetric \((v, k, \lambda)\) designs.

Acknowledgement. This work was supported in part by NSFC (Grant Nos. 12071092, 11801092), and the Fund of Guangzhou Science and Technology (No.201804010088).

The authors would like to thank the referees for their valuable suggestions and comments which helped to improve this paper.

References

2. Tian D., Zhou S., 2013, Flag-transitive point-primitive symmetric \((v, k, \lambda)\) designs with \(\lambda\) at most 100, J. Combin. Designs, 21(4), 127-141.
4. Alavi S, Bayat M and Daneshkhah A, 2016, Symmetric designs admitting flag-transitive and point primitive automorphism groups associated to two dimensional projective special groups, Designs, Codes and Cryptography, 79, 337-351.