

Peer-Review Statements

Firmanul Catur Wibowo^(✉), Dimas Kurnia Robby, and Uswatun Hasanah

Jakarta State University, Jakarta, Indonesia

{fcwibowo,dimaskurnia,uswatunhasanah}@unj.ac.id

All of the articles in this proceedings volume have been presented at the **International Conference on Environmental Learning Educational Technologies (ICELET) 2023** during **October 12-14, 2023** in El- Royale Hotel Kelapa Gading, DKI Jakarta. These articles have been peer reviewed by the members of the *[name of the review body, for example, Scientific Committee]* and approved by the Editor-in-Chief, who affirms that this document is a truthful description of the conference's review process.

1 REVIEW PROCEDURE

The reviews were *double blind*. Each submission was examined by 2 reviewer(s) independently with our web seminar system.

The submissions were first screened for generic quality and suitability by the abstract. After the initial screening, they were sent for peer review by matching each paper's topic with our scopes, then our team editor choose the reviewers' expertise, taking into account any competing interests. A paper could only be considered for acceptance if it had received favourable recommendations from the two reviewers.

Authors of a rejected submission were given the opportunity to revise and resubmit after addressing the reviewers' comments. The acceptance or rejection of a revised manuscript was final so, there's so much articles rejected by reviewers due to their tight process.

2 QUALITY CRITERIA

Reviewers were instructed to assess the quality of submissions solely based on the academic merit of their content along the following dimensions:

1. Pertinence of the article's content to the scope and themes of the conference;
2. Innovations of the ideas presented in the academic article
3. Clear demonstration of originality, novelty, contributions, future research directions and timeliness of the research;
4. Soundness of the methods, analyses, and results;
5. Adherence to the ethical standards and codes of conduct relevant to the research field;
6. Clarity, cohesion, and accuracy in language and other modes of expression, including figures and tables.

In addition, all of the articles have been checked for textual overlap in an effort to detect possible signs of plagiarism by the publisher using Turnitin system.

3 KEY METRICS

<i>Total submissions</i>	170
<i>Number of articles sent for peer review</i>	170
<i>Number of accepted articles</i>	20 for AP publishing
<i>Rejected articles</i>	2 Article
<i>Acceptance rate</i>	80%
<i>Number of reviewers</i>	21

4 COMPETING INTERESTS

The conference was partially funded by forum BKS PTN a forum of inter-college cooperation in the education area that has also supported or participated in some of the research submitted to the conference. All authors and reviewers are required to disclose their funding sources, and those research works that were funded by forum BKS PTN have been reviewed by members of the Scientific Committee with no personal interests in the company.

Open Access This chapter is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits any noncommercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if changes were made.

The images or other third party material in this chapter are included in the chapter's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the chapter's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.

