

Peer-Review Statements

Utamy Sukmayu Saputri* and Muchtar Ali Setyo Yudono²

All of the articles in this proceedings volume have been presented at the 2nd International Conference on Consumer Technology and Engineering Innovations (ICONTENTION 2023) during November, 07, 2023 in IIUM, Malaysia. These articles have been peer reviewed by the members of the Scientific Committee and approved by the Editor-in-Chief, who affirms that this document is a truthful description of the conference's review process.

1. REVIEW PROCEDURE

The reviews were double-blind Each submission was examined by 3 reviewer(s) independently.

The conference submission management system was Nutral.id

Editor in Chief will assign the manuscript to Managing Editor for further handling. The Managing Editor will request at least three scientists to review the research article manuscript. All manuscripts are subject to double-blind peer-review, the identity of the reviewer is hidden from the author, as well as the identity of the author is hidden from the reviewer during the review process to meet academic excellence standards. Authors of a rejected submission were given the opportunity to revise and resubmit after addressing the reviewers' comments. The acceptance or rejection of a revised manuscript was final.

2. QUALITY CRITERIA

Reviewers were instructed to assess the quality of submissions solely based on the academic merit of their content along the following dimensions Pertinence of the article's content to the scope and themes of the conference;

- Clear demonstration of originality, novelty, and timeliness of the research;
- 2. Soundness of the methods, analyses, and results;

- Adherence to the ethical standards and codes of conduct relevant to the research field;
- Clarity, cohesion, and accuracy in language and other modes of expression, including figures and tables.

In addition, all of the articles have been checked for textual overlap in an effort to detect possible signs of plagiarism by the publisher. We also do a plagiarism check using tools

3. KEY METRICS

Total submissions	110
Number of articles sent for peer	110
review	
Number of accepted articles	26
Acceptance rate	23 %
Number of reviewers	37

4. COMPETING INTERESTS

Neither the Editor-in-Chief nor any member of the Scientific Committee declares any competing interest.

¹ Nusa Putra University, Indonesia

² Nusa Putra University, Indonesia

^{*} Utamy Sukmayu Saputri Email: utamy.sukmayu@nusaputra.ac.id

U. S. Saputri and M. A. S. Yudono

Open Access This chapter is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits any noncommercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if changes were made.

The images or other third party material in this chapter are included in the chapter's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the chapter's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.



2