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All of the articles in this proceedings volume have been presented at the AsiaCALL2023 during 24-26 November 2023 in the University of Danang, The University of Foreign Language Studies, Da Nang City Vietnam. These articles have been peer reviewed by the members of the Scientific Committee of AsiaCALL2023 and approved by the Editor-in-Chief, who affirms that this document is a truthful description of the conference’s review process.

1 REVIEW PROCEDURE

The reviews were double-blind. Each submission was examined by at least 2 reviewer(s) independently.

The conference submission management system was Open Journal System and emails of the conference.

The submissions were first screened for generic quality and suitability. After the initial screening, they were sent for peer review by matching each paper’s topic with the reviewers’ expertise, taking into account any competing interests. A paper could only be considered for acceptance if it had received favourable recommendations from the two reviewers.

Authors of a rejected submission were given the opportunity to revise and resubmit after addressing the reviewers’ comments. The acceptance or rejection of a revised manuscript was final.

2 QUALITY CRITERIA

Reviewers were instructed to assess the quality of submissions solely based on the academic merit of their content along the following dimensions:

1. Pertinence of the article’s content to the scope and themes of the conference;
2. Clear demonstration of originality, novelty, and timeliness of the research;
3. Soundness of the methods, analyses, and results;
4. Adherence to the ethical standards and codes of conduct relevant to the research field;
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5. Clarity, cohesion, and accuracy in language and other modes of expression, including figures and tables.

In addition, all of the articles have been checked for textual overlap in an effort to detect possible signs of plagiarism by the publisher. Similarity index of each paper should be less than 20%.

## 3 KEY METRICS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total submissions</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of articles sent for peer review</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of accepted articles</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acceptance rate</td>
<td>55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of reviewers</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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