



Peer-Review Statements

Dyah Wulan Sumekar Rengganis Wardani* Sutopo Hadi²

^{1,2}University of Lampung

*Editor-in-Chief of the ICOMESH 2024. Email: dyah.wulan@fkunila.ac.id

All of the articles in this proceedings volume have been presented at the 2nd *International Conference on Medical Science & Health (ICOMESH)* during August 7th – 8ⁱⁿ in Bandar Lampung, Indonesia. These articles have been peer reviewed by the members of the *Scientific Committee* and approved by the Editor-in-Chief, who affirms that this document is a truthful description of the conference's review process.

1. REVIEW PROCEDURE

The reviews were *double-blind*. Each submission was examined by *at least 2* reviewers independently.

The conference submission management system was *Open Journal System (OJS)*. By using the online system, you can access and process submitted papers from anywhere with internet access, and all the records including files and exchange will be maintained.

Step 1. The first step is to select articles by looking at attendance at conferences that we have held. At the beginning of the conference participants submit abstracts, then participants make presentations at the conference. S.

Step 2. The second stage is for participants to upload fullpaper documents in accordance with the guidelines from Atlantis Press. In addition, participants also included a similarity test using Turnitin. The score limit for the article is below 20%.

[Any efforts in improving peer review should also appear in this section; for example, how reviewers are recused from the handling of papers by closely related authors, steps taken to reduce unconscious bias, etc.]

2. QUALITY CRITERIA

Reviewers were instructed to assess the quality of submissions solely based on the academic merit of their content along the following dimensions

© The Author(s) 2024

D. W. S. R. Wardani and S. Hadi (eds.), *Proceedings of the International Conference on Medical Science and Health (ICOMESH 2024)*, Advances in Health Sciences Research 82,

https://doi.org/10.2991/978-94-6463-604-8_1

1. Pertinence of the article's content to the scope and themes of the conference;
2. Clear demonstration of originality, novelty, and timeliness of the research;
3. Soundness of the methods, analyses, and results;
4. Adherence to the ethical standards and codes of conduct relevant to the research field;
5. Clarity, cohesion, and accuracy in language and other modes of expression, including figures and tables.

In addition, all of the articles have been checked for textual overlap in an effort to detect possible signs of plagiarism by the publisher.

3. KEY METRICS

<i>Total submissions</i>	101
<i>Number of articles sent for peer review</i>	89
<i>Number of accepted articles</i>	30
<i>Acceptance rate</i>	29,7%
<i>Number of reviewers</i>	14

4. COMPETING INTERESTS

. All authors and reviewers are required to disclose their funding sources, and those research works that were funded by Acme have been reviewed by members of the Scientific Committee with no personal interests in the company.]

Open Access This chapter is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits any noncommercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if changes were made.

The images or other third party material in this chapter are included in the chapter's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the chapter's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.

