



Peer-Review Statements

Evania Yafie^{1a}, Primasa Minerva Nagari^{2a}, Sri Handayani^{3a}, Sinta Yuni Susilawati^{4a},
Andy Prasetyo Wati^{5a}, Cinde Ririh Windayu^{6a}, Riskiyana Prihatiningsih^{7a}

^aUniversitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia

*Editor-in-Chief of the ICHSS. Email: evania.yafie.fip@um.ac.id

All of the articles in this proceedings volume have been presented at the international conference on humanities and social science (ICHSS) during 3 – 5 September 2024 in [Universitas Negeri Malang, Malang City, Indonesia]. These articles have been peer reviewed by the members of the Section Editor and approved by the Editor-in-Chief, who affirms that this document is a truthful description of the conference's review process.

1. REVIEW PROCEDURE

The reviews were Open Review. Each submission was examined by at least 8 reviewer(s) independently.

The conference submission was Google Form and By Email.

The initial screening of article submissions is based on the abstracts submitted by the authors. The screening process is conducted to identify papers that align with the specified topics. Following the screening phase, the accepted articles are collated by researchers via Google Form. These articles are then subjected to peer review, with the reviewers selected based on their expertise and any potential competing interests. The articles that undergo review are returned to the authors for revision in accordance with the recommendations provided by the reviewers, within the specified deadlines and terms. If the revised manuscript is not returned to the reviewer, it will be rejected. The acceptance or rejection of a revised manuscript is considered final.

2. QUALITY CRITERIA

Reviewers were instructed to assess the quality of submissions solely based on the academic merit of their content along the following dimensions

1. Relevance to the journal theme.
2. Clarity and completeness of the abstract.

- 3. Standardized manuscript structure (introduction, methods, results, discussion, and conclusion).
- 4. Originality and novelty of the research.
- 5. Free from plagiarism (<20%).
- 6. Appropriateness of manuscript length and journal format.
- 7. Up-to-date and relevant references.
- 8. Use of good academic English.

In addition, all the articles have been checked for textual overlap in an effort to detect possible signs of plagiarism by the publisher.

3. KEY METRICS

<i>Total submissions</i>	104
<i>Number of Article for Abstract acceptance</i>	36
<i>Number of Article proceeding publication</i>	28
<i>Number of articles sent for peer review</i>	28
<i>Number of accepted articles</i>	28
<i>Acceptance rate</i>	34.61%
<i>Number of reviewers</i>	8

4. COMPETING INTERESTS

Neither the Editor-in-Chief nor any member of the Section Editors declares any competing interest.

Open Access This chapter is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits any noncommercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if changes were made.

The images or other third party material in this chapter are included in the chapter’s Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the chapter’s Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.

