



Peer-Review Statements

Cicilia D. M. Putri^{1*}, Ali Mustofa¹, Wendy Hakim¹,

Galih Pradana¹, Danang Tandyonomanu¹

¹ Universitas Negeri Surabaya

*Editor-in-Chief of the **International Joint Conference of Arts and Humanities 2024**
Email: ciciliaputri@unesa.ac.id

All of the articles in this proceedings volume have been presented at the International Joint Conference of Arts and Humanities 2024 (IJCAH 2024) during *9-11 August 2024 in Surabaya, Indonesia through hybrid mode*. These articles have been peer reviewed by the members of the *Scientific Committee* and approved by the Editor-in-Chief, who affirms that this document is a truthful description of the conference's review process.

1. REVIEW PROCEDURE

The reviews were *double-blind*. Each submission was examined by two reviewers independently.

The conference submission management system was OJS.

The submissions were first screened for generic quality and suitability. After the initial screening, they were sent for peer review, matching each paper's topic with the reviewers' expertise, taking into account any competing interests. In the review process, the authors' names, emails, and affiliations were constantly removed. A paper could only be accepted if it had received favourable recommendations from the two reviewers. The acceptance or rejection of a revised manuscript was final.

2. QUALITY CRITERIA

Reviewers were instructed to assess the quality of submissions solely based on the academic merit of their content along the following dimensions:

1. Pertinence of the article's content to the scope and themes of the conference;
2. Abstract consists of a single paragraph of 150-250 words divided into background/motivation, objective, statement contribution, and some quantitative or qualitative results are demonstrated coherently;

- 3. The article has a scientific contribution, quantifies novelty or originality to the field;
- 4. The literature review, theory, methodology, result and discussion/analysis for the study are presented clearly;
- 5. The soundness of the methods, analyses, and results;
- 6. The conclusions are consistent with the evidence;
- 7. The ability to communicate to the reader (grammar and communication skills);
- 8. The included table, graph, figures, and equation are cited, explained, and reported in good resolution;
- 9. Clarity, cohesion, and accuracy in language and other modes of expression, including figures and tables.

In addition, the publisher has checked all of the articles for textual overlap to detect possible signs of plagiarism. All final versions of papers submitted to journals must have a similarity index of 25% or less, not including bibliographies. The articles are checked for plagiarism using Turnitin and satisfactory articles are processed further.

3. KEY METRICS

<i>Total submissions</i>	664
<i>Number of articles sent for peer review</i>	660
<i>Number of accepted articles</i>	223
<i>Acceptance rate</i>	33.7%
<i>Number of reviewers</i>	70

4. COMPETING INTERESTS

Neither the Editor-in-Chief nor any member of the Scientific Committee declares any competing interest.

Open Access This chapter is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits any noncommercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if changes were made.

The images or other third party material in this chapter are included in the chapter's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the chapter's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.

