



Economic Crisis Early Warning Signs and Response Strategies for Corporate Bankruptcy Prevention

Baihe Ling

Elizabeth House, Harrow International School Appi 180-8 Appi Kogen, Hachimantai City,
Iwate Prefecture 028-7306, Japan.

samuel.ling27@harrowappi.jp

Abstract: The global pandemic has brought very difficult times to the economy, with funding issues, supply chain disruptions, and changes in the way people buy. This article will examine this issue and, concerning real-life examples, explore the importance of having a good plan to anticipate and respond to economic crises. It points out some warning signs, such as poor cash flow, customer churn, and changes in people's consumer confidence. The goal is to provide companies and policymakers with plans to cope with periods of economic contraction. By combining real events, number crunching, and comparing different situations, the study examines the effects of different prevention and response measures and tries to come up with ideas that can be used to make the economy stronger and more stable. We hope that the lessons learned will prepare us and enable us to respond better to prevent adverse effects when the economy is in turmoil again.

Keywords: Economic Crisis, Financial Instability, Pandemic Impact, Early Warning Systems, Crisis Response Strategies, Financial Distress Indicators.

1. Introduction

After this global pandemic, things related to money and work have become very uncertain, full of problems and unpredictability. Businesses are in trouble because they face problems such as delayed delivery of goods and broken capital chains, and people are starting to shop differently. This puts shops and banks, and even the people who run our country in trouble. Times, when money is tight due to the disease, show how weak some parts of our handling of money are. More businesses are closed, unemployment is much higher, and many people are starting to doubt whether they are handling money in the right way.

In today's unpredictable situation, it is important to study how to warn and deal with economic crises. The reason is obvious: if we do not see these crises coming and do not act quickly, many people may lose their jobs and the things we all need, such as good health care and public services such as road construction, will decline in quality. Knowing the signs of an impending financial storm and having a plan to deal with it is key to protecting companies and keeping the economy stable.

The importance of this research to society is to help reduce adverse events that occur during economic problems. It does this by detecting early signs, such as cash flow

problems, loss of market share, or changes in consumer confidence. The goal is to let companies know how to deal with difficult economic times. In addition, the study looks at how economic crises affect more than just businesses - it also considers employment conditions, public services and the general well-being of the economy.

The project uses real information from both digital and story sources. It looks at companies that do well and do poorly during tough economic times. To better understand this, we will use different tools, such as studying specific cases, using mathematical models, and comparing the situations of different companies. This helps us to find signs that a company may be in financial trouble and understand which measures are most effective in solving the problem.

The paper will have several main parts. The paper begins by reviewing what others have said about economic crises and early warning systems. Next, the methods section will explain how the research was conducted, including which cases and data were used. The analysis section will delve into the results, looking at signs of crises and how well different approaches to dealing with them work. Finally, the paper will offer suggestions for businesses and rule-makers to help them view and deal with future economic problems. This study aims to help people better understand economic crises and to provide practical ideas for companies, policymakers and everyone else to help them deal with an increasingly unpredictable economy.

2. Literature Review

Academics and practitioners have contributed to the development of various models and frameworks to better understand and predict financial distress. There are many ways to spot signs of financial trouble. This review looks at the key works on the subject. It focuses on models that predict failure, early signs of crisis, and the ideas behind them. The Z-score model helps determine if a company will go bankrupt. Edward Altman created the model in 1968. It is a mathematical tool that can predict financial problems for up to two years [1]. The Z-score examines company profits, debt, bill payments, financial strength, and activity. It is simple to use and is very good for forecasting, especially for factories [1]. However, it does not work for all companies, especially non-manufacturing companies or companies outside the United States, due to different financial conditions.

Another model is the Ohlson O-score. James Ohlson created the model in 1980. It uses logistic regression to predict company bankruptcy. It examines company size, debt-to-equity ratio, and liquidity. Unlike Altman, Ohlson's model works for many industries. But it uses past information and therefore can miss rapid economic shifts. In addition, newer methods use machine learning and artificial intelligence to predict failure. Researchers have tried to improve accuracy by using neural networks, decision trees, and support vector machines. These tools can crunch through large amounts of data and find hidden patterns. But they are complex and require powerful computers, making them difficult to use.

Spotting signs that an economic crisis might be imminent is a big topic for researchers. In the past, they looked at macro numbers like how much a country's

economy is growing, how fast prices are rising (inflation), and how many people are out of work (unemployment) to guess whether a recession is imminent. Today, scientists also examine how volatile the stock market is, differences in borrowing costs (credit spreads), and how strong a country's currency is compared to other countries' currencies (exchange rates) to get a better idea of impending trouble.

Kaminsky, Lizondo, and Reinhart (1998) built a model to spot possible crises. The model looked at a few large monetary numbers. The study showed that when foreign exchange reserves were falling rapidly, interest rates were very high, and there were large trade debts, these were all signs that a crisis might be imminent. This approach to spotting problems is called the "signal" approach. It helped shape later work on guessing about economic problems [2].

Researchers used more signs and clever mathematical tricks to make better models. For example, Frankel and Saravelos (2012) studied how monetary market indicators such as CDS spreads and stock markets can help detect crises [3]. They found that these indicators often provide early warnings that older monetary models miss [3].

New research is trying to combine corporate bankruptcy models with larger crisis warning systems. This combined approach can provide insights into how companies are linked to the health of the economy as a whole. For example, Loffler and Maurer (2011) used corporate funding data and large monetary indicators to build a model to predict corporate bankruptcies and broader funding declines [4]. Their research showed that adding large monetary indicators can make bankruptcy predictions more accurate, especially in times of economic uncertainty [4].

In summary, research on predicting bankruptcies and detecting early signs of economic crises has made great progress in the past few years. Classic models, such as Altman's Z-score and Ohlson's O-score, remain important, but new advances in computer learning and the addition of macroeconomic indicators have given experts more tools. This writing project takes what we already know from looking at company financial problems and broader economic alerts and attempts to develop a complete plan for anticipating and dealing with financial problems.

3. Warning Signals of an Economic Crisis

3.1 Bankruptcy prediction models

Models that predict bankruptcy, like Altman's Z-score and Ohlson's O-score, have helped check how financially healthy a company is and guess if it might go bankrupt. They use numbers to measure how likely a company is to face money problems, which is super useful information for people who analyze businesses, put money into them, or lend money to them.

Edward Altman created something called Altman's Z-score back in 1968. It's a famous way to guess if a company might go bankrupt [1]. He used five numbers from a company's money records. These numbers check how easy it is for the company to pay its bills, how much money it makes, how much debt it has, and how well it runs day-to-day stuff. Altman mixed these numbers with different importance to get one

final score. This score tells us how likely the company is to have money problems. The Z-score is calculated using the following formula [1]:

$$WC/TA + RE/TA + EBIT/TA + MVE/TL + S/TA = Z \quad (1)$$

In this formula, WC/TA means working capital to total assets, RE/TA is retained earnings to total assets, EBIT/TA is earnings before interest and taxes to total assets, MVE/TL is market value of equity to total liabilities, and S/TA is sales to total assets [1]. Companies with a Z-score under 1.8 are seen as having a high risk of going bankrupt. Scores between 1.8 and 3.0 put firms in a grey area. Scores over 3.0 mean companies are safe from bankruptcy soon [1].

3.2 The Z-score model

The Z-score method works well for factories because it focuses on the physical stuff they have and how well they run, things that are clear and easy to count in making-stuff businesses [1]. That's why lots of places that handle money like in Altman's first study, mostly in America, use it a lot. But, it doesn't work as well with businesses not in making stuff, like tech companies or ones that give services. These have different ways of managing money and what they own [1]. Also, using the Z-score in countries other than the U.S. can be tricky because of different bookkeeping rules, laws, and how the economy is doing. These things can make the Z-score not so accurate since it doesn't fully get them.

3.3 Ohlson's O-score

Ohlson's O-score, made by James Ohlson in 1980, guesses if a company might fail. It uses logistic regression, a math trick, to see the chance of bankruptcy [5]. It checks company size, debt, bill payments, profits, and finance changes. This makes the O-score useful for many business types, big or small, in any field [5]. The O-score is good for all kinds of businesses, making it useful in different economies. But, it's harder to figure out than the Z-score.

Logistic regression is a method that needs us to dig into how financial measures are connected statistically. It's kind of complex, so folks who aren't pros at stats might find it hard to use [5]. Also, similar to something called the Z-score, there's another model known as the O-score. Both these models depend on info from the past. This means they might not be so good at predicting the future when economies shift quickly or in businesses that see lots of ups and downs.

Both the Z-score and O-score models have their good points and not-so-good points. People often use them together or mix them with other ways to get a bigger picture of how financially healthy a company is. The Z-score is simple and good at showing the financial stability of factories quickly. But, because it's made mainly for manufacturing, it doesn't work as well in other areas. On the other hand, the O-score can be used in many different industries, which is great. But, it's more complicated and needs deeper study and knowledge to understand it right [5].

In real situations, using information from two models helps people understand bankruptcy risk better. They look at special features of the business area and the whole economy. Mixing the good points of the Z-score and O-score models lets experts build a stronger system to predict money problems. This way, they get better at finding and fixing bankruptcy risks.

3.4 Financial signals

Not having enough cash coming in compared to what's going out is like a warning light for businesses. It's called tight cash flow, and it means the money moving through the company is slower than it should be. Imagine a hose with more water leaving than coming in – that's a problem, right? This can stress the company when it's time to pay bills, which is why people check this to see how healthy the business is right now. But, just like how winter makes the garden look bare but grows back in spring, sometimes cash flow gets tight just for a short while because of seasons or timing. So, it doesn't always mean the company is in deep, lasting trouble.

High debt is a warning. The debt ratio shows debt compared to assets. This tells how much a company borrows [6]. If the ratio rises, the company uses more loans, making it riskier. Watching this is key to knowing debt levels. Lenders and investors track this closely. Not all debt is bad. Some debt can be managed well, and views on acceptable debt vary by business.

Lower profits show money troubles. Profit is leftover money after bills. If it drops, the company struggles to earn enough. This is bad for the future. Profits show how well a company does. Investors want good returns, and companies need profits to grow. Profits can fall briefly due to market changes or spending to expand. Short dips don't always mean big trouble.

3.5 Market Signals

When a business loses market share, it's a sign of trouble. Market share is like a piece of a pie. If a company's piece gets smaller, it means less money and lower profits. This can happen if products aren't good, ads aren't appealing, or people prefer other things. If people buy less of a company's stuff, it's a big sign. It might mean there's too much of the same thing, tastes have changed, or the products are not popular. Less demand leads to unsold items, higher storage costs, and price cuts. In bad cases, the company might need to change products or leave the market.

Stronger competition shows that the business world is getting tougher. It could be because the rivals already there are trying harder or because new players are shaking things up with fresh ideas or ways of doing business. When the competition heats up, businesses might get into battles over who can sell cheaper, and this can make their profits smaller. Also, to stand out and keep customers happy, companies often need to spend more on ads and finding new stuff, which costs a lot. If a company can't keep up with the competition, it might end up losing its place in the market and start doing worse.

3.6 Management Signals

Major management blunders can lead to serious financial and operational problems, often in the form of poor judgment, insufficient understanding of the market, and the inability to adapt to changing conditions. For example, investing heavily in failed products, entering declining markets, or ignoring emerging technologies can have disastrous consequences. Such blunders by senior leadership can result in wasted resources, missed opportunities, and damaged shareholder confidence, ultimately jeopardizing the company's long-term viability.

Internal management chaos further exacerbates these problems. When roles and responsibilities are unclear, communication breaks down, or conflicts arise between leaders, an organization's operations suffer. This chaos leads to inefficiencies such as duplication of work, missed deadlines, and decreased productivity. In addition, a chaotic management environment fosters a toxic work culture where employees feel unsupported and unsupported, which can undermine a company's strategic execution and competitiveness.

Talent loss is often a sign of deeper problems within a company. High turnover rates, especially among top employees and leaders, can indicate dissatisfaction with management, limited career development opportunities, or misaligned values. When skilled workers leave, they take critical knowledge and connections with them, which can significantly weaken a company and make it harder to compete. This brain drain can disrupt operations, reduce morale among remaining employees, and make it more difficult to attract new talent, exacerbating an already difficult situation for the company.

3.7 Policy environment

Rules that make it harder for businesses, like raising taxes on stuff, not allowing them to use some products or other rule changes, can make people buy less and put more money stress on the company. When governments bring in new laws, make rules tighter, or change how they trade if it's not good for businesses, it can hurt how well the company does with money.

4. Case Study Analysis

4.1 A Company That Successfully Avoided Bankruptcy

The Ford Motor Company had a tough time when the big financial crisis happened in 2008. They were feeling the pressure with money getting tight and debts piling up. But, they didn't just sit around. They took some important steps to deal with these problems and make things steady again.

To handle expenses better, Ford put a big cost-cutting plan into action. They made their production process simpler, shut down factories that weren't doing well, and decreased the number of workers to spend less on salaries. Additionally, they talked

with suppliers again to get better deals and cut back on extra spending. Ford concentrated on its main car lines and stopped making models that didn't make much profit. All these steps helped them save money and keep their financial options open, which was important for staying out of bankruptcy trouble.

In late 2006, Ford got a big loan of \$23.6 billion. They did this thinking loans might stop soon. Having this money helped the company a lot. It gave them cash to keep going and make changes. This was done without government help. Ford also focused on new car types. They made cars that use less fuel and worked on green tech like hybrids and electrics. This kept Ford in line with what people wanted and the market. By cutting costs, getting loans, and making new products, Ford steadied itself and got ready for better times. These moves helped Ford not just survive but also grow stronger in sales in the years after.

4.2 A Company That Failed to Respond to Early Warning Signs

Blockbuster, a company that used to be big in renting videos, had a tough time keeping up with how fast things were changing. It's not just that the market was different or they made some mistakes in running the business. By 2010, Blockbuster went bankrupt because they didn't jump on the new tech bandwagon quickly enough. When movies started being streamed online and could be sent through the mail, Blockbuster stuck to its old way of having physical stores. They missed their chance to make it easy and convenient for people like you and me, and that's a big reason why they didn't do well anymore.

Blockbuster's problems got worse because of how it ran things. When movie stuff started going online, having lots of actual stores and keeping track of all the DVDs became expensive and hard. They had trouble making sure they had the movies people wanted, and charging folks for returning movies late made customers unhappy.

Blockbuster's leaders missed what customers wanted. When online rentals grew, the company stuck to store DVD rentals. They lost touch with the market. Slow changes and poor leadership made Blockbuster fall fast. This shows companies need to embrace new tech, improve work, find good partners, know customer wants, and have clear goals. These steps help face business changes and beat rivals.

4.3 Comparative Analysis of Case Studies

In 2008, a big money problem showed how important it is for companies to act fast and be able to change. Ford and Blockbuster are two examples that taught us different lessons. Ford was smart – they saw money troubles coming, saved where they could, made sure they had loans and made cars that used less gas. Because of this, Ford went through a tough time and came out even better. They kept people working, and this also helped the companies they buy from and work with stay strong too.

In big difference, Blockbuster didn't change with new technology and what customers started liking, so it went bankrupt. They didn't want to try new things or react when the market changed, which made them close shops and people lost their jobs. This hurt workers and neighbourhoods that needed those physical stores.

These examples show how businesses having trouble during hard economic times can affect society in big ways. If a company, such as Blockbuster, can't change with the times, it's not just about losing money; it leads to people losing their jobs, less money being spent in the neighbourhood, and customers feeling less sure about spending. On the other hand, businesses that do manage to adjust, like Ford, can help lessen these bad effects. They keep people working and support a stable economy by doing so.

In general, the financial crisis shows us that quick and well-planned actions not only let companies stay afloat but also have big effects on society. Businesses that actively deal with problems can keep people employed and help the economy stay steady. On the other hand, those that don't change might make things harder for their workers and the places they live in.

5. Impact of Economic Crises on Companies

When a business hits a bad economy time, it can mess up its money. If money slows or gets unpredictable, cash flow gets bumpy. Company assets can lose value fast, making finances shaky. Borrowing costs rise and loans get harder, adding pressure. This money tightness stresses the company. For daily operations, the business might produce less since sales drop or supplies are scarce. Customers may go to rivals who fare better or due to shifts in wants. Such issues can make it hard for the business to keep going as usual and stay competitive.

When a company goes bankrupt, it affects a lot more than just the business itself. People lose their jobs, which makes unemployment go up and can cause society to feel less stable. Towns that rely on that company might see their economy get worse, and the people who lent the company money could lose some of their own money trying to get what they're owed back [7]. This bad situation can spread through the whole economy, causing problems, especially for poor people and others who are already having a tough time. It also makes things riskier for everyone – people earn less, more families can't afford enough food, schools and hospitals might not be able to help as much, things get harder for women, and there could be more crime and violence.

6. Corporate Strategies to Cope with Economic Crises

When there's an economic problem, businesses need to do several things to stay afloat and succeed in the future. They've got to use money smartly, understand their customers and competition, and have good leadership. Plus, they must get help from the government and community.

6.1 Financial Strategy

Keeping things steady when trouble hits is super crucial. Firms need to pay attention to how cash moves in and out, and make sure they've got enough money on hand. This means shaping up their money stuff (financial structure) so they're ready for surprise

costs, instead of making rushed choices. Watching spending tightly matters too – cutting back on stuff that's not needed and making daily work smoother can ease money worries and defend the company's profits. Plus, finding ways to bring in extra money, like borrowing or letting others invest (financing strategies), gives them a boost to keep going through rough patches.

6.2 Market strategy

Adapting to the changing likes of buyers and tough competition is very important to stay relevant in the market [8]. Firms need to look at changing their products and making their range of goods better. This helps them keep up with others in the market. Going into new areas can help lower risks. It lets companies find new groups of customers and spread out where they get money from. Building a strong brand is key too, especially in hard times. Working on how the brand looks and keeping customers loyal can make sure people still choose the brand even if fewer things are being bought overall.

6.3 Management Strategy

Responding well to a crisis means having a big key. This is called decision optimization. It's about using facts from data and thinking through different what-if situations to make smart plans for the future. Businesses need to think about changing how they're organized too, making things simpler and creating teams that can move fast. Growing skills in the team is super important for staying ahead. Training workers and keeping them happy means they'll stay on top of their game, ready to tackle any new problems that come up.

6.4 Government and social support

When businesses face economic challenges, getting help from the government matters for their survival. It's smart for them to ask for things like tax breaks and special funding that the government offers. These can make financial stress easier to handle when times are tough. Plus, teaming up with other companies and banks, and encouraging everyone to work together, creates a united front against the crisis. This way, problems can be solved as a group and resources can be shared, which is good news for everyone in the team.

Companies can build a solid plan to handle money problems by putting together parts about money stuff (financial), how to sell things (market), how bosses make decisions (management), and getting help when needed (support). Having this big plan helps companies get through tough times now and get ready to do better and grow when the hard times are over.

Handling emergencies well means having early warning systems. This is about watching key parts of the business. The system checks money flow and market changes. It looks at customer wants, competitor moves, and economic signs. The system gathers info from news, company money reports, and market studies. If there's a red flag, like

sudden drops in sales or higher costs, alerts trigger. Catching these early helps the company act fast. They can adjust production, review deals, or get funds to avoid bigger issues. The system must also update rules to spot risks better and keep the company ready for new threats.

Making sure decisions in tough times are quick and good, it's key for groups to have clear ways to make choices [9]. Start by making it super clear who's in charge of what at every level. Set up a simple chain of command so certain people or groups can make calls based on how serious and what kind of problem it is. Say, if it's about money, the CFO and finance folks should step in; if its operations going haywire, the COO and operations team should weigh in.

Making decisions is important to stop things from getting messy and making sure everyone who should be, gets a say. This means having a set of steps to gather info, think about what could be done, and maybe even ask some smart people inside or outside for help if we need to.

Businesses need to get better at collecting info. They should buy tools to get accurate, new data fast. Decisions should use numbers and ideas from experienced leaders. By doing this step by step, companies can make smart plans that work well, even in hard times. In crises, having plans to face risks is key. These are backup plans to help act fast and work together when there's trouble. They cover money issues, operation problems, or outside surprises like new rules or market drops. Each plan should list urgent steps, needed items, and who does what.

Say there's a time when a business is really short on cash. The plan could involve actions like using up credit that's available, reducing costs on things that aren't super important, or selling things that aren't central to what the company does. To make these ways of reacting work better, it's key for the company to focus on making sure everyone talks and works together smoothly inside.

To make sure everyone's on the same page during tough times, companies need to set up easy ways for different teams to share info. Each worker must know what they should do in an emergency. By having pretend crises and drills now and then, businesses can get better at handling problems. This way, when something real happens, they're quick and good at fixing it, which makes the bad situation less harmful and helps them bounce back faster [10].

Companies that set up a strong crisis warning system and make clear plans for quick decisions from the start can handle problems better when they happen. This also sets them up to do well in the long run, even when the economy is shaky.

7. Conclusion

This essay looked at important signs that show an economic crisis might happen and ways to stop companies from going bankrupt. It used two very different examples, Ford Motor Company and Blockbuster Inc., to study this. By comparing these two companies, the essay shows how the actions a company takes when it's having money problems can decide if it will make it through those tough times or not.

The main points show some early signs of money problems, like cash not flowing well, debts going up, selling less than before, and customers wanting different things. When there was a big money problem in 2008, Ford did a good job by getting more money, spending less, and putting money into new tech. This helped them handle the tough time. On the other hand, Blockbuster didn't change with new tech and what customers wanted, which caused it to fail. This shows how being quick, able to predict the future, and having a smart plan are super important when dealing with money troubles.

This study mixes old ways to spot company troubles, like Altman's "Z-score" and Ohlson's "O-score," with early warning systems. This helps see how companies can catch and fix money issues before they get bad. This info aids business leaders and rule-makers. It shows the need for plans that look at cash, market shifts, and good management. Big money issues can harm companies. They cut cash flow, lower asset values, and raise debt. These troubles hit company funds and jobs, slowing the economy. When Ford stayed strong in hard times, it kept jobs and operations steady. But when Blockbuster failed, it hurt workers and local areas. This shows the need for solid crisis plans, which is vital for all.

The research has some helpful points, but it's not perfect. One issue is getting the info we need. Using old data and not having quick access to up-to-date money stuff can make predicting problems or knowing how to react trickier. Plus, the examples they looked at are useful, but they might not show every kind of business or economy out there. This means the conclusions might only apply in certain situations, not everywhere.

Next studies need to work on fixing these problems by making better models to predict economic crises. These models should use up-to-date information and pay attention to new economic patterns. Looking at more examples from different businesses and places around the world will help us learn more about how to handle crises. Also, finding new ways and flexible plans to manage crises will be important for businesses trying to get through the hard economic times ahead.

To sum up, businesses and the economy need to know and react to signs that a crisis might be coming. This helps keep businesses strong and the economy stable. If we look deeper into what this study found, and do more research, companies and people making big decisions can get ready for hard times ahead. They can make things less tough when the economy slows down and become stronger in the long run.

References

1. Altman, E. I. (1968). Financial ratios, discriminant analysis, and the prediction of corporate bankruptcy. *Journal of Finance*, 23(4), 589-609.
2. Ohlson, J. A. (1980). Financial ratios and the probabilistic prediction of bankruptcy. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 18(1), 109-131.
3. Löffler, G., & Maurer, N. (2011). A model for predicting corporate defaults and economic recessions. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 101(3), 649-667.
4. Frankel, J. A., & Saravelos, G. (2012). Can leading indicators assess country vulnerability? *Journal of International Economics*, 87(2), 247-262.
5. Kaminsky, G. L., Lizondo, S., & Reinhart, C. M. (1998). Leading indicators of currency

- crises. IMF Staff Papers, 45(1), 1-48.
6. Roubini, Nouriel, and Stephen Mihm. *Crisis Economics: A Crash Course in the Future of Finance*. Penguin Books, 2010.
 7. Kotler, Philip, and Kevin Lane Keller. *Marketing Management*. Pearson, 2016.
 8. Schweizer, Leonard. *Corporate Crisis Management: Challenges and Opportunities*. Palgrave Macmillan, 2013.
 9. Mitroff, Ian I., and Michael Pearson. *Crisis Management: A Diagnostic Guide for Improving Your Organization's Crisis-Handling Procedures*. Jossey-Bass, 2001.
 10. Fisher, Franklin M. *The Financial Crisis and the Economic Downturn*. MIT Press, 2011.

Open Access This chapter is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits any noncommercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if changes were made.

The images or other third party material in this chapter are included in the chapter's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the chapter's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.

