Legal Challenges and Opportunities in Peer-to-Peer Lending: Ensuring Financial Diversity and Sustainability in the Digital Economy

Nurhilmiyah[1*] ,Hasim Purba[2,] Zulkarnain Sitompul[3], Tengku Keizerina Devi Azwar[4]

[1, 2, 4]Universitas Sumatera Utara
[3]Universitas Indonesia
[1]nurhilmiyah@students.usu.ac.id

Abstract. *Peer-to-peer lending* as part of *fintech* has grown rapidly in the digital economy era, offering a more inclusive and flexible financing alternative. While *peer to peer lending* provides an opportunity to promote financial diversity and inclusion, legal challenges remain an obstacle to realizing the platform's full potential. This article examines the legal challenges faced by *the peer to peer lending* sector, including regulatory ambiguity, consumer protection, data security risks, and cross-jurisdictional compliance issues. Additionally, this article explores the opportunities offered by adaptive regulation and collaboration between stakeholders to create a legal environment that supports the sustainability of the sector. With a comprehensive analysis of the legal framework and recent developments, this article offers insights into how *peer-to-peer lending* can evolve sustainably, while ensuring consumer protection and market stability. These findings are expected to make a significant contribution to the development of legal policies that support technological innovation in financial services.

Keywords: Legal challenges, opportunities, peer to peer lending, digital economy.

1. Background

Most peer to peer lending is considered a security under various jurisdictions. In the United States, online lending platforms usually have to register with securities regulators, such as the Securities Exchange Commission (SEC). [1] In Indonesia, online lending platforms are obliged to obtain a license from the Financial Services Authority. Compliance requirements vary widely across countries. Peer to peer lending platforms often operate in the gray area between traditional banking and fintech. Even banks have also launched online

L. Rini Sugiarti et al. (eds.), *Proceedings of the 1st International Conference on Social Environment Diversity (ICOSEND 2024)*, Advances in Social Science, Education and Humanities Research 905,
https://doi.org/10.2991/978-2-38476-366-5_45

loan products such as peer to peer lending. Many jurisdictions require special licenses or partnerships with traditional banks, need to comply with anti-money laundering and credit score regulations and the implementation of know-your-customer (KYC) principles. The main legal challenges in fintech peer to peer lending include consumer protection, risk transparency requirements, limitations on economic benefits consisting of interest and other fees, data privacy and security compliance, and credit reporting regulations. Cross-border operations, fragmentation of cross-jurisdictional regulations, different requirements for international transactions, regulations on currency exchange and transfers. [3]

Emerging opportunities include regulatory technology, automated compliance systems, enhanced due diligence processes, real-time monitoring and reporting, financial inclusion, still 97.74 million adults or 48% of the adult population who are unbanked in Indonesia and using financial technology products, alternative credit scoring methods, and microfinance opportunities. [4] Risk management requirements, namely credit risk assessment, legal framework for credit assessment, requirements for loan origination, default management procedures, platform stability, capital adequacy requirements, operational resilience standards, business continuity planning. [5]

Future legal developments include digital identity verification, electronic signature regulations, biometric authentication standards, digital KYC procedures, smart contracts, legal recognition of automated agreements, blockchain-based lending platforms, regulatory framework for (Decentralized Finance) integration, DEFI. [6] Sustainability considerations, environmental impacts, green loan requirements, sustainability reporting, compliance framework (Environment, Social, Governance). [7] Social responsibility, fair lending practices, financial literacy requirements, community impact assessment, market competition, anti-trust considerations, market concentration limits, fair competition requirements, platform interoperability standards. [8]

Innovation support, regulatory sandbox, testing environment for new models, simplified compliance for startups, central and innovation accelerators, key recommendations, development of legal frameworks, establishing clear regulatory guidelines, harmonizing cross-border regulations, developing standard compliance procedures. Technology integration implements robust regtech solutions enhancing cybersecurity measures adopting blockchain where appropriate. [9]

Risk management can be done by developing a comprehensive risk assessment framework implementing a strong governance structure, maintaining adequate capital reserves. Stakeholder involvement – collaborating with regulators, engaging with industry associations, maintaining an open dialogue with consumers. The field of peer to peer lending is constantly evolving, presenting challenges and opportunities for legal frameworks to adapt while ensuring financial stability and innovation. Success requires balancing regulatory compliance with technological advancements and market development. [10]

2. Research Method

This study uses a normative juridical approach. Laws, regulations, theories, and legal principles of financial services institutions are especially regarding online lending or peer to peer lending. Analytical and deductive approaches have been used in determining the most effective solutions to online lending legal problems and challenges along with legal opportunities in peer-to-peer lending. To ensure financial diversity and sustainability in the digital economy.

3. Discussion

The legal framework around peer-to-peer lending presents an interesting intersection between financial innovation and regulatory complexity. While peer to peer lending platforms offer a promising path to financial inclusion by connecting borrowers directly with lenders, they must navigate a labyrinth of regulatory challenges including securities regulations, consumer protection laws that Indonesia has amended with Law No. 4 of 2023 on the Strengthening and Development of the Financial Sector which regulates a lot of consumer protection in the financial sector, and anti-money laundering requirements. The case of the revocation of the license of the peer to peer lending platform Investree by the OJK serves as an important example of how the regulator's firmness in implementing regulations can have an impact on the sector. [11]

These legal hurdles have paradoxically strengthened the industry by forcing platforms to develop robust compliance frameworks and standard practices. For example, requirements for detailed disclosure statements and the implementation of strict vetting procedures have increased transparency and reduced the risk of fraud, making peer to peer lending more attractive to institutional investors. Regulatory approaches that vary across jurisdictions – from China's restrictive stance to the UK's innovation-friendly framework – highlight the need for a balanced approach that protects consumers while encouraging innovation. [12] The challenge lies in developing adaptive regulations that can accommodate the evolution of technology while maintaining financial stability, especially as peer-to-peer lending platforms increasingly integrate new technologies such as blockchain and AI for credit scoring. [13]

The researchers previously analyzed the advantages and disadvantages of the role of online loan services in providing access to funding for the public and business actors through an information technology-based funding service, using SWOT analysis (Strengths, Weakness, Opportunities, Threats). SWOT analysis (short for strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, threats) is a business strategy tool to assess how an organization compares to its competitors. This strategy is historically credited to Albert Humphrey in the 1960s. SWOT analysis tools are one of the most effective business and decision-making tools. A SWOT analysis can help identify internal and external factors that affect the business. SWOT analysis helps to build strengths (Strengths), to minimize weaknesses (Weaknesses/W), to seize opportunities (Opportunities/O), and to ward off threats (Threats/T). [14]

Tabel 1 **SWOT Analysis of Online Loans**

SWOT Analysis of Online Loans		
	Strength	**Debilitation**
Internal factors	1. Easy and Practical Application Process 2. Loan funds can be disbursed instantly 3. The requirements are simpler and less complicated 4. Flexible term options 5. Good credit risk assessment 6. 24/7 credit approval (24 hours and 7 days a week, which means continuous operation/availability to users)	1. Higher interest rates 2. Potential for aggressive billing 3. Data Security Risks 4. There are *unregistered and illegal* online loan services 5. Dependence on Technology
	Chance	**Threat**
External factors	1. Ease and speed of access 2, Financial technology-based services 3. Interest can be offered to be more affordable for the community 4. Online loans help provide access to funding for people who have difficulty accessing bank financial services.	1. Giving rise to the habit of debt in the younger generation 2. Illegal Online Loan Services Still in Action 3. The high default rate to the detriment of creditors. 4. Lack of a legal framework that regulates online loans

Source: processed data of researchers

Indonesia's peer-to-peer lending regulatory landscape presents unique challenges and opportunities, especially as the country grapples with balancing financial innovation and consumer protection in the context of a vast archipelago. The latest OJK (Financial Services Authority) regulation i.e. POJK No. 10/POJK.05/2022 has established a fundamental framework for peer to peer lending operations, the rapid growth of the sector, with more than 100 registered platforms and many illegal operators, continues to test the boundaries of regulation. The prevalence of unlicensed platforms and predatory lending practices, especially those targeting large populations in Indonesia that are unbanked, has prompted a stricter regulatory response, including requirements for platforms to register with the OJK and the Ministry of Communications and Digital. [15]

The challenges faced by peer to peer lending are side by side with significant opportunities, as peer to peer lending has proven to be instrumental in addressing the substantial financing gap in Indonesia, especially for MSMEs (Micro, Small, and Medium Enterprises) that have traditionally struggled to access conventional banking services but are not eligible for bank funding. [16] Recent regulatory focus on establishing a clearer data protection framework, implementing stricter capital requirements, and increasing collaboration between fintech associations and government agencies indicate an increasingly mature ecosystem. Going forward, Indonesia's success in this sector is likely to depend on a balance between encouraging innovation in digital financial services while strengthening consumer protection mechanisms, especially in remote areas where financial literacy remains a significant concern. [17]

4. Conclusion And Suggestions

The evolution of peer-to-peer lending in Indonesia is an important intersection between financial innovation, regulatory development, and social impact in Southeast Asia's largest economy. As countries continue to navigate the complexities of digital financial transformation, the success of peer-to-peer lending will depend heavily on the effective implementation of a balanced regulatory framework that protects consumers while encouraging innovation. OJK's ongoing efforts to strengthen oversight, improve transparency requirements, and promote responsible distribution practices demonstrate Indonesia's commitment to building a sustainable peer to peer lending ecosystem. The true measure of success is the sector's ability to sustain strong growth while addressing critical challenges such as illegal platforms, data privacy concerns, and financial literacy gaps. Going forward, Indonesia's peer to peer lending landscape shows promising potential to become a model for emerging markets, especially in its approach to financial inclusion and digital transformation. The key to realizing this potential lies in the ongoing collaboration between regulators, industry players, and technology providers to create an environment where innovation thrives alongside strong consumer protections, ultimately contributing to a more inclusive and resilient financial system for Indonesia's diverse population.

To strengthen Indonesia's peer to peer lending ecosystem, several strategic interventions are worth considering. *First*, the OJK should establish a more comprehensive licensing framework with tiered requirements based on platform size and risk profile, allowing for proportionate regulation while maintaining adequate oversight. *Second,* implementing mandatory integration with national credit scoring systems such as Pusdafil and building a centralized peer to peer lending database will improve risk assessment capabilities and prevent overleveraging among borrowers. *Third*, developing a standard API (Application Programming Interface) framework for sharing data between licensed platforms and relevant government agencies can increase transparency and reduce fraudulent activities. *Fourth*, introducing mandatory financial literacy programs for lenders and borrowers, coupled with a certification system for employees of peer to peer lending platforms, will improve market understanding and reduce predatory practices. *Fifth*, increasing the effectiveness of specialized fintech dispute resolution mechanisms such as the Consumer Protection Portal Application, the application can accelerate conflict resolution and build greater trust in the system. In addition, regulators should consider implementing a specific regulatory sandbox for innovative peer-to-peer lending products that target underserved sectors, such as agriculture and rural MSMEs. *Finally, strengthening cross-border cooperation with other ASEAN regulators will help address challenges related to international money laundering and create harmonized standards for regional peer-to-peer lending operations, positioning Indonesia as a leader in sustainable digital financial innovation.*

References

1. Febryana, R. P. (2023). Balancing Personal Data Protection and Peer-to-Peer Lending Regulation: A Comparative Analysis of the European Union and Indonesia.
2. Budiyanti, H., & Patiro, S. P. S. (2023). "Understanding P2P lending adoption in Indonesia: Integration of trust and risk in technology acceptance." Journal of Asian Finance, Economics and Business, 10(2), 167-178.
3. Dasril, Y., Muslim, M. A., Al Hakim, M. F., Jumanto, J., & Prasetiyo, B. (2023). Credit Risk Assessment in P2P Lending Using LightGBM and Particle Swarm Optimization. Register: Jurnal Ilmiah Teknologi Sistem Informasi, 9(1), 18-28.
4. Faspay, Jangkau Pelanggan Unbanked dengan Gerail Retail (2024) https://faspay.co.id/id/blog/jangkau-pelanggan-unbanked-dengan-pembayaran-melalui-gerai-retail, diakses pada 30 Oktober 2024.
5. Hidayat, A. S., Alam, F. S., & Helmi, M. I. (2020). Consumer Protection On Peer To Peer Lending Financial Technology In Indonesia.
6. Gudgeon, L., Perez, D., Harz, D., Livshits, B., & Gervais, A. (2020, June). The Decentralized Financial Crisis. In 2020 Crypto Valley Conference On Blockchain

Technology (CVCBT) (pp. 1-15). IEEE.
7. Rajesh, R., & Rajendran, C. (2020). Relating environmental, social, and governance scores and sustainability performances of firms: An empirical analysis. Business Strategy and the Environment, 29(3), 1247-1267.
8. Istiyanto, J. E., & Sutanta, E. (2012). Model interoperabilitas antar aplikasi e-government. Jurnal Teknologi Technoscientia, 4(2), 137-148.
9. Goo, J. J., & Heo, J. Y. (2020). The impact of the regulatory sandbox on the fintech industry, with a discussion on the relation between regulatory sandboxes and open innovation. Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity, 6(2), 43.
10. Mishchenko, S., Naumenkova, S., Mishchenko, V., & Dorofeiev, D. (2021). Innovation risk management in financial institutions. Investment Management and Financial Innovations, 18(1), 191-203.
11. Nurhilmiyah, Purba, H., Sitompul, Z., & Azwar, T. K. D. (2023). The Role of Law in Improving Financial Literacy in Online Lending in the Context of Development Economic Law. Ultimate Journal of Legal Studies, 1(2), 93-99.
12. Chen, K., & Batten, J. A. (2023). "Regulatory frameworks for P2P lending: A comparative analysis of China, UK, and emerging markets." Journal of Banking Regulation, 24(2), 121-138. https://doi.org/10.1057/s41261-022-00189-1
13. Ginanjar, A., & Suryanto, T. (2022). "Financial Technology Regulatory Sandbox Approach: Indonesian P2P Lending Perspective." International Journal of Law and Management, 64(2), 183-196.
14. Yang, J., & Jung, S. U. (2024). Harnessing FinTech for Sustainable Finance in Developing Countries: An Integrated SWOT–Multi-Level Perspective Analysis of Mongolia. Sustainability, 16(10), 4102.
15. Hapsari, F. D., & Sastrowardoyo, S. (2023). "Digital financial inclusion through P2P lending in Indonesia: Evidence from regulatory impact assessment." Journal of Internet Banking and Commerce, 28(3), 1-15.
16. Hastuti, P., Wiryono, S. K., & Primiana, I. (2022). "Regulatory compliance and sustainability of P2P lending platforms in Indonesia." Indonesian Capital Market Review, 14(1), 43-56.
17. Ibrahim, M. A., & Verliyantina. (2022). "The role of financial technology in promoting MSME sustainability: Evidence from Indonesian P2P lending." Journal of Small Business and Enterprise Development, 29(3), 405-423.