



Research on Legal Protection and Sharing Mechanisms for Bioinformatics Data Based on Blockchain

Yu Teng

Fengyuan Community, No. 4 Haiqu East Road, Donggang District, Rizhao City, Shandong Province, 276800, China

tengyu2024@126.com

Abstract. With the rapid development of biotechnology and information technology, bioinformatics data has experienced exponential growth, creating pressing issues regarding its legal protection and effective sharing. This study proposes a model for the protection and sharing of bioinformatics data based on blockchain and smart contracts, along with a corresponding legal framework. A large-scale survey of 1,000 research institutions and multi-source data analysis reveal that the application of blockchain significantly enhances data protection and sharing efficiency. The results indicate that after implementing blockchain technology, data access speed improved by 40%, security incidents decreased by 65%, and the number of institutions participating in data sharing increased by 85%. This study provides novel insights and practical guidance for the legal protection and sharing mechanisms of bioinformatics data.

Keywords: Bioinformatics data, Legal protection, Data sharing, Blockchain, Smart contracts

1 Introduction

Bioinformatics, an interdisciplinary field combining biology and information science, has generated massive amounts of data in genomics, proteomics, and other areas. According to the International Nucleotide Sequence Database Collaboration (INSDC), the amount of nucleotide sequence data grew from 2.5×10^{11} in 2015 to 9.8×10^{11} in 2021, with an average annual growth rate of approximately 25%. This data holds significant research value but also poses risks related to personal privacy and national security. Balancing data security and sharing remains a critical challenge in bioinformatics^{[5][6]}.

This study aims to explore legal measures for the protection of bioinformatics data and mechanisms to promote data sharing. By integrating blockchain technology and smart contracts, a new model for data protection and sharing is proposed, and its potential legal applications are analyzed^[7].

2 Literature Review

According to a 2021 World Health Organization (WHO) report, there were 152 incidents of bioinformatics data breaches in the past five years, involving approximately 2.7 PB of data. Of these, 75% were caused by human errors, 20% by hacker attacks, and 5% by system failures, highlighting the necessity of strengthening both technological protection and legal regulation^{[1][9]}.

3 Research Methods

This study adopts a multi-method research strategy:

1. Literature Analysis: Systematic review of relevant domestic and international research and legal regulations^[10].

2. Survey: A large-scale survey was conducted among 1,000 research institutions, including university research centers (40%), government research institutions (20%), private biotech companies (30%), and non-profit research organizations (10%)^[8].

3. Case Studies: In-depth analysis of five bioinformatics data platforms using blockchain technology.

4. Modeling Analysis: Development of a blockchain-based data protection and sharing model, followed by simulation analysis.

5. International Comparison: Collection and analysis of policies and data from 20 major countries^[5].

4 Blockchain-Based Model for Bioinformatics Data Protection and Sharing

4.1 Model Design

The proposed model consists of the following core components:

1. Distributed Storage: Utilizing blockchain's distributed nature to decentralize bioinformatics data storage across network nodes.

2. Smart Contracts: Implementing smart contracts to define data access permissions, usage conditions, and sharing rules^[6].

3. Consensus Mechanism: Employing a consensus algorithm suitable for bioinformatics data, such as an improved Proof-of-Stake (PoS).

4. Incentive Mechanism: Designing a token-based incentive system to encourage data contributions and high-quality data sharing^[10].

4.2 Mathematical Model

To quantify the impact of blockchain on data protection and sharing, the following mathematical models were established:

Data Protection Effectiveness Model:

$$Y1 = \alpha_1 + \beta_1 X1 + \beta_2 X2 + \varepsilon_1$$

Where Y1 represents data protection effectiveness, X1 represents the number of blockchain nodes, and X2 represents the complexity of smart contracts.

Data Sharing Willingness Model:

$$Y2 = \alpha_2 + \beta_3 X3 + \beta_4 X4 + \varepsilon_2$$

Where Y2 represents data sharing willingness, X3 indicates the level of incentives, and X4 indicates the clarity of the legal framework^{[3][5]}.

4.3 Model Performance Analysis

Research on five blockchain-based bioinformatics data platforms showed:

- Data access speed improved by 40%
- Security incidents decreased by 65%
- The number of institutions involved in data sharing increased by 85%
- Data processing speed improved by 50%
- Storage efficiency increased by 35%

These findings strongly support the effectiveness of the proposed model.

5 Empirical Analysis

5.1 Data Sources

The study draws on diversified data sources to ensure authenticity and representativeness, including data from 1,000 research institutions of varying types and sizes. Official statistics and reports from INSDC, WHO, and relevant academic journals such as *Nature* and *Science* were also referenced^{[1][5]}.

5.2 Model Estimation Results

Using Ordinary Least Squares (OLS), the models were estimated as follows:

Data Protection Effectiveness Model:

$$Y1 = 0.32 + 0.45X1 + 0.38X2$$

(t-values) (2.1) (3.8) (3.2)

R²=0.68

Data Sharing Willingness Model:

$$Y2 = 0.28 + 0.52X3 + 0.41X4$$

(t-values) (1.9) (4.2) (3.5)

R²=0.71^[7]

5.3 Results Analysis

The model results indicate that the number of blockchain nodes (X1) and the complexity of smart contracts (X2) significantly positively affect data protection. Addi-

tionally, incentive levels (X3) and the clarity of the legal framework (X4) significantly impact data sharing willingness.

Further findings from the survey include:

1. Globally, 68% of research institutions express willingness to share data, but only 37% participate in large-scale data sharing, indicating considerable room for improvement.
2. Economic analysis shows that blockchain-using institutions save an average of 22% in data management costs, while data-sharing initiatives lead to a 35% increase in collaborative projects.
3. Ethical review statistics from 1,000 bioinformatics research projects reveal an average approval rate of 78%, with main ethical issues including data privacy (40%), informed consent (30%), data usage scope (20%), and conflict of interest (10%).

6 Legal Framework Design

Based on the research findings, the following legal framework suggestions are proposed:

1. Clarify the Legal Status of Bioinformatics Data: Include it under personal information protection laws^{[2][4]}.
2. Develop Specific Bioinformatics Data Protection Regulations: Define rules for data collection, storage, usage, and sharing. Our international comparison indicates that 20 major countries have increased relevant legislation by 30% over the past three years.
3. Establish Blockchain-Based Data Tracking and Auditing Mechanisms: Ensure the legality and traceability of data use^[9].
4. Create Incentive Systems for Data Sharing: Provide tax incentives or research funding to encourage data sharing. Our findings show that well-designed incentives significantly boost data-sharing willingness.
5. Draft Laws for Cross-Border Data Flow: Promote international collaboration while safeguarding national security.
6. Set Up an Ethics Committee for Bioinformatics Data: Review data use involving ethical issues to potentially increase project approval rates.
7. Develop a Data Security Incident Response Mechanism: Strengthen training and technical safeguards against human errors, which account for 75% of data breaches.

7 Discussion

7.1 Contributions

The main contributions of this study are:

1. Proposing a blockchain-based model for bioinformatics data protection and sharing, providing new solutions for data security and sharing challenges.
2. Conducting large-scale empirical analysis to quantify the impact of blockchain on data protection and sharing, providing a foundation for policy-making.

3. Designing a legal framework for bioinformatics data protection adapted to blockchain technology, offering legislative references^[5].
4. Presenting a comprehensive view of the current state of bioinformatics data protection and sharing, based on multi-source data analysis^[8].

7.2 Limitations

Some limitations of this study include:

1. Despite expanding the sample size to 1,000 institutions, future research may need a broader sample and longitudinal tracking due to the rapid development of bioinformatics.
2. Blockchain applications in bioinformatics are still in the early stages, with long-term effects yet to be observed.
3. The legal framework may require adjustments based on the specific circumstances of different countries and regions.

8 Conclusions and Future Directions

This study demonstrates that the blockchain-based model for bioinformatics data protection and sharing effectively balances data security and sharing needs. Empirical analysis indicates that blockchain technology significantly enhances data protection and sharing efficiency, with data access speed increasing by 40%, security incidents decreasing by 65%, and data-sharing institutions increasing by 85%^[6]. These findings provide a new technological pathway for bioinformatics data management.

Challenges remain, however. Although 68% of institutions express willingness to share data, only 37% engage in large-scale data-sharing projects. Additionally, the prevalence of human error in data breaches emphasizes the need for enhanced training and technical safeguards.

Future research should address:

1. Technological Development: Explore the integration of emerging technologies like AI and quantum computing with blockchain to improve data protection and sharing.
2. International Collaboration: Strengthen international cooperation by establishing unified data standards and rules for cross-border data flow.
3. Ethical Issues: Address increasingly complex ethical concerns as technologies such as gene editing advance.
4. Long-Term Impact Evaluation: Conduct longitudinal studies to assess the long-term effects of blockchain in bioinformatics^[9].
5. Personalized Protection Mechanisms: Develop flexible data protection measures tailored to different types of bioinformatics data.

In conclusion, this study provides new perspectives and empirical evidence for the legal protection and sharing mechanisms of bioinformatics data. As technology advances and societal needs evolve, this field will continue to face new challenges and

opportunities. We anticipate that future research will build on this study, further advancing the theory and practice of bioinformatics data management.

References

1. Lee, S., Kim, J., Kwon, Y., & Cho, S. (2022). Privacy preservation in patient information exchange systems based on blockchain: system design study. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 24(3), e29108.
2. Dubovitskaya, A., Baig, F., Xu, Z., & Shukla, R. (2020). ACTION-EHR: Patient-centric blockchain-based electronic health record data management for cancer care. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 22(8), e13598.
3. Albalwy, F., Brass, A., & Davies, A. (2021). A blockchain-based dynamic consent architecture to support clinical genomic data sharing (ConsentChain): Proof-of-concept study. *JMIR Medical Informatics*, 9(11), e27816.
4. Balakrishnan, A., Jaglan, P., Selly, S., & Kumar, V. (2023). Emerging trends of blockchain in bioinformatics: a revolution in health care. In *Distributed Computing to Data Science*, 1st ed. Elsevier, 315-340.
5. Dedetürk, B. A., Soran, A., & Bakir-Gungor, B. (2021). Blockchain for genomics and healthcare: a literature review, current status, classification, and open issues. *PeerJ*, 9, e12130.
6. Liu, A., Du, X., Wang, N., Qiao, R., & Ning, Y. (2021). Medical health data sharing scheme based on blockchain and attribute-based encryption. In *Proceedings of the 2021 4th International Conference on Big Data Technologies* (pp. 141-146). IEEE.
7. Zhang, X., Xi, P., Liu, W., & Peng, S. (2022). EMRShareChain: A privacy-preserving EMR sharing system model based on the consortium blockchain. In *International Symposium on Bioinformatics*, Springer, Cham, 342-355.
8. Barbaria, S., Mont, M.C., Ghadafi, E., & Machraoui, H.M. (2022). Leveraging patient information sharing using blockchain-based distributed networks. In *Proceedings of the IEEE 2022 International Conference on Advanced Information Networking and Applications (AINA)*, 897-906.
9. Vanin, F.N.S., Policarpo, L.M., Righi, R.R., & Heck, S.M. (2022). A blockchain-based end-to-end data protection model for personal health records sharing: a fully homomorphic encryption approach. *Sensors*, 23(1), 14.
10. Coelho, R., Braga, R., David, J.M., & Dantas, M. (2021). Integrating blockchain for data sharing and collaboration support in scientific ecosystem platform. In *Proceedings of the 54th Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences (HICSS)*, 312-321.

Open Access This chapter is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits any noncommercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if changes were made.

The images or other third party material in this chapter are included in the chapter's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the chapter's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.

