



Peer-Review Statements

Ima Widiyanah¹, Muhammad Nurul Ashar¹, Ali Fakhruddin¹, Ahmad Abdullah Zawawi¹, Susan Ledger², Tony Laughland³, Andi Kristanto¹, Mohd Nazri Bin Abdul Rahman⁴, Laily Maulida Septiana Harti⁵, Achmad Wachidul Kohar⁶, Mochamad Nursalim¹

¹ Universitas Negeri Surabaya, Indonesia

² University of Newcastle, Australia

³ University of New South Wales, Australia

⁴ University of Malaya, Malaysia

⁵ University College London, United Kingdom

⁶ Michigan State University, United States

*Editor-in-Chief of the ICEI 2024. Email: imawidiyanah@unesa.ac.id

All of the articles in this proceedings volume have been presented at the *[ICEI 2024]* during *[August 10th, 2024]* in *[Surabaya, Indonesia through online mode]*. These articles have been peer reviewed by the members of the *[Scientific Committee]* and approved by the Editor-in-Chief, who affirms that this document is a truthful description of the conference's review process.

1. REVIEW PROCEDURE

The reviews were *[double-blind]*. Each submission was examined by *[2]* reviewer(s) independently.

The conference submission management system was OCS

The submissions were first screened for generic quality, and suitability. After the initial screening, only the approved papers were sent for peer review by matching each paper's topic with the reviewers' expertise, taking into account any competing interests. In this paper, the author names, emails and affiliations were removed constantly. A paper could only be considered for acceptance if it had received favourable recommendations from the two reviewers. The acceptance or rejection of a revised manuscript was final.

2. QUALITY CRITERIA

Reviewers were instructed to assess the quality of submissions solely based on the academic merit of their content along the following dimensions *[Note: please*

summarise your criteria and order them by importance; the following list is an example]:

1. Pertinence of the article's content to the scope and themes of the conference;
2. Abstract consists of single paragraph 150-250 words divided into background/motivation, objective, statement contribution, and some quantitative or qualitative results are demonstrated coherently;
3. The article has a scientific contribution, quantifies novelty or originality to the field;
4. The literature review, theory, methodology, result and discussion/analysis for the purposed study presented clearly;
5. Soundness of the methods, analyses, and results;
6. The conclusions consistent with the evidence;
7. The ability to communicate to the reader (grammar and communication skills);
8. The included table, graph, figures, and equation are cited, explained, and reported in good resolution;

In addition, all of the articles have been checked for textual overlap in an effort to detect possible signs of plagiarism by the publisher. All final versions of papers submitted to journals must have a similarity index of 25% or less, not including bibliographies. The articles are checked for plagiarism by Turnitin and found satisfactory.

3. KEY METRICS

<i>Total submissions</i>	256
<i>Number of articles sent for peer review</i>	249
<i>Number of accepted articles</i>	139
<i>Acceptance rate</i>	54.30%
<i>Number of reviewers</i>	16

4. COMPETING INTERESTS

Neither the Editor-in-Chief nor any member of the Scientific Committee declares any competing interest.

Open Access This chapter is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits any noncommercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if changes were made.

The images or other third party material in this chapter are included in the chapter's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the chapter's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.

