



Peer-Review Statements

Narayan Vyas^{1*}, Amit Sharma², Anand Nayyar³, Manish Shrivastava⁴, Dankan Gowda V⁵

^{1,2}Department of Computer Science and Application, Vivekananda Global University, Jaipur, India

³School of Computer Science (SCS), Duy Tan University, Viet Nam

⁴Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Vivekananda Global University, Jaipur, India

⁵Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering, BMS Institute of Technology and Management, Bangalore, India

*Editor-in-Chief of the **COMPUTATIA-2025**. Email: contact@narayanvyas.com

All articles in this proceedings volume have been presented at the *International Conference on Advancements in Computing Technologies and Artificial Intelligence (COMPUTATIA-2025)* on 21st and 22nd February 2025 at Vivekananda Global University, Jaipur, India. These articles have been peer-reviewed by the members of the *organizing committee and technical program committee, as well as reviewers*, and approved by the editor-in-chief, who affirms that this document is a truthful description of the conference's review process.

1. REVIEW PROCEDURE

The conference submission management system was Microsoft CMT. By using the online system, you can access and process submitted papers from anywhere with internet access, and all the records, including files and exchanges, will be maintained.

Step 1. To keep scientific integrity, one of our editors will run Turnitin on each new submission to see if it has the problem of possible plagiarism. Papers not passing the plagiarism check will be rejected immediately by the desk.

Step 2. Then, the publication chairs will have an initial check on new submissions to ensure they are within the scope of the conference and decide if they merit further review. If the new manuscript passes the initial check, it will be assigned to reviewers for double-blind peer review.

Step 3. Each selected paper will be reviewed by at least two/three independent experts with related research backgrounds, mainly on originality, validity, quality, and readability.

Step 4. Review reports from the experts will be judged by one of the editors using international scientific standards.

Step 5. If logical, then Review Reports will be sent to authors so they can modify the manuscript accordingly. If not logical, the editor can assign a new reviewer or judge on his/her own.

Step 6. Authors will be required to revise their papers according to the points raised.

Step 7. The revised version will then be evaluated by the editor to determine whether the points raised by the reviewers have been addressed or not.

Step 8. Then, the editor will send the revised manuscript to the reviewers again for re-evaluation.

Step 9. If the reviewers approve the revised version of the manuscript, then the Editor-in-Chief will make the final decision for the publication.

2. QUALITY CRITERIA

Reviewers were instructed to assess the quality of submissions solely based on the academic merit of their content along the following dimensions

- 1. The pertinence of the article’s content to the scope and themes of the conference;
- 2. Clear demonstration of originality, novelty, and timeliness of the research;
- 3. The soundness of the methods, analyses, and results
- 4. Adherence to the ethical standards and codes of conduct relevant to the research field;
- 5. Clarity, cohesion, and accuracy in language and other modes of expression, including figures and tables.

In addition, all of the articles have been checked for textual overlap to detect possible signs of plagiarism by the publisher. Firstly, papers submitted to the Microsoft CMT platform are required to pass the similarity check before acceptance. Secondly, to eliminate plagiarism more effectively, all accepted papers are checked again for similarity before being submitted to the publisher.

3. KEY METRICS

<i>Total submissions</i>	206
<i>Number of articles sent for peer review</i>	126
<i>Number of accepted articles</i>	39
<i>Acceptance rate</i>	18.93%
<i>Number of reviewers</i>	77

4. COMPETING INTERESTS

Neither the Editor-in-Chief nor any member of the Scientific Committee declares any competing interest.

Open Access This chapter is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits any noncommercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if changes were made.

The images or other third party material in this chapter are included in the chapter's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the chapter's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.

