



Peer-Review Statements

Abdul Razaq Cangara^{1,*} and Sawedi Muhammad²

¹ Department of International Relations, Faculty of Social and Political Sciences, Hasanuddin University, Makassar, Indonesia

² Department of Sociology, Faculty of Social and Political Sciences, Hasanuddin University, Makassar, Indonesia

*Editor-in-Chief of the APSA Conference 2024. Email: acacangara@unhas.ac.id

All of the articles in this proceedings volume have been presented at the Asia Pacific Sociological Association (APSA) Conference 2024 during 26-27 October 2024 in Makassar, South Sulawesi, Indonesia. These articles have been peer reviewed by the members of the Publication Management Unit (PMU) of Faculty of Social and Political Sciences, Universitas Hasanuddin and approved by the Editor-in-Chief, who affirms that this document is a truthful description of the conference's review process.

1. REVIEW PROCEDURE

The reviews were “double-blind,” indicating that both the reviewers and the authors remained anonymous to each other during the review process. Each submission was examined by at least 2 reviewer(s) independently.

The submissions were first screened for generic quality and suitability. After the initial screening, they were sent for peer review by matching each paper's topic with the reviewers' expertise, taking into account any competing interests. A paper could only be considered for acceptance if it had received favourable recommendations from the two reviewers.

Authors of a rejected submission were given the opportunity to revise and resubmit after addressing the reviewers' comments. The acceptance or rejection of a revised manuscript was final.

Since the conference consists of multiple areas, the reviewers are assigned based on their expertise and each paper will be assigned with minimum two reviewers to ensure no bias in the editorial board. Paper will be scored and 27.27% of the submission was rejected due to unfulfillment of the requirement or failed to meet the requirements.

2. QUALITY CRITERIA

Reviewers were instructed to assess the quality of submissions solely based on the academic merit of their content along the following dimensions.

1. Pertinence of the article's content to the scope and themes of the conference;
2. Clear demonstration of originality, novelty, and timeliness of the research;
3. Soundness of the methods, analyses, and results;
4. Adherence to the ethical standards and codes of conduct relevant to the research field;
5. Clarity, cohesion, and accuracy in language and other modes of expression, including figures and tables.

In addition, all of the articles have been checked for textual overlap in an effort to detect possible signs of plagiarism by the publisher.

3. KEY METRICS

<i>Total submissions</i>	55
<i>Number of articles sent for peer review</i>	33
<i>Number of accepted articles</i>	24
<i>Acceptance rate</i>	72.73%
<i>Number of reviewers</i>	11

4. COMPETING INTERESTS

The conference was funded by Universitas Hasanuddin and by the conference presenters. All authors are required to disclose their funding sources with no personal interests in the university.

Open Access This chapter is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits any noncommercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if changes were made.

The images or other third party material in this chapter are included in the chapter's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the chapter's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.

