



Peer-Review Statements

Widya Paramita¹, Muhammad Ryan Sanjaya², Nurul Indarti³, Fu'ad Rakhman⁴, Leo Indra Wardhana⁵

¹Department of Management, Faculty of Economics, and Business, Universitas Gadjah Mada

²Department of Economics, Faculty of Economics, and Business, Universitas Gadjah Mada

³Department of Management, Faculty of Economics, and Business, Universitas Gadjah Mada

⁴Department of Accounting, Faculty of Economics, and Business, Universitas Gadjah Mada

⁵Vocational College, Universitas Gadjah Mada

*Organizing Committee the GAMAICEB. Email: gamaiceb@ugm.ac.id

All of the articles in this proceedings volume have been presented at the 12th Gadjah Mada International Conference on Economics and Business (GAMAICEB) during September 26th - 28th 2024 in Alana Hotel & Convention Center. These articles have been peer reviewed by the members of the Scientific Committee and approved by the Editor-in-Chief, who affirms that this document is a truthful description of the conference's review process.

1. REVIEW PROCEDURE

The reviews were double-blind. Each submission was examined by two reviewers independently.

The conference submission management system was Gadjah Mada ePaper Management.

The review process is conducted in one round. Authors first submit their abstracts to the *Gadjah Mada ePaper Management*. If the abstract is accepted, they are required to submit a full paper. The editorial team reviews all full papers, and they will decide which papers are suitable for submission to Atlantis Proceedings. Each qualified paper will then be reviewed again by another reviewer and then get submitted to Atlantis Proceedings.

Authors of a rejected submission were given the opportunity to revise and resubmit after addressing the reviewers' comments. The acceptance or rejection of a revised manuscript was final.

Potential conflicts of interest are reduced through the implementation of a blind review process. In this approach, the identities of both the authors and reviewers are kept confidential, which helps to prevent any bias during the evaluation. This statement

highlights the purpose and benefits of the blind review process. By anonymizing the identities of both authors and reviewers, the system ensures that decisions are based solely on the quality and merit of the work rather than on personal connections, reputation, or other subjective factors. This method fosters fairness and objectivity, making it a standard practice in academic publishing to maintain the integrity of the peer-review process.

2. QUALITY CRITERIA

Reviewers were instructed to assess the quality of submissions solely based on the academic merit of their content along the following dimensions:

- 1. The research has been fully completed, providing a comprehensive and detailed analysis.
- 2. The topic is relevant to the conference theme, aligning with key issues of the event.
- 3. The paper is written in English to ensure accessibility for a global academic audience.
- 4. The research offers significant contributions to the existing literature, presenting novel insights and practical implications.

In addition, all of the articles have been checked for textual overlap in an effort to detect possible signs of plagiarism by the publisher. We highly value the efforts and intellectual contributions of every author. To uphold academic integrity and originality, we strictly adhere to a zero-tolerance policy towards plagiarism. All submitted works are thoroughly checked using iThenticate software to ensure compliance with plagiarism standards. In alignment with Atlantis Press requirements, the acceptable similarity index threshold is set at 20%. By maintaining this standard, we aim to foster a culture of ethical research and publishing practices.

3. KEY METRICS

<i>Total submissions</i>	166
<i>Number of articles sent for peer review</i>	105
<i>Number of accepted articles</i>	20
<i>Acceptance rate</i>	12.04%
<i>Number of reviewers</i>	5

4. COMPETING INTERESTS

The Editor-in-Chief, along with all members of the Scientific Committee, affirms that they have no conflicts of interest to declare. This statement underscores their commitment to maintaining objectivity and upholding the highest standards of integrity in the publication process. By ensuring transparency, they aim to foster trust and credibility within the academic and scientific communities.

Open Access This chapter is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits any noncommercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if changes were made.

The images or other third party material in this chapter are included in the chapter's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the chapter's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.

