



Peer-Review Statements

Peng Dou^{1,*} Keying Zhang²

¹ Chinese Academy of Social Sciences

² Chinese Academy of Social Sciences

*Editor-in-Chief of the EMFIPS 2024. Email: doup@bhlcyjy.org.cn

All of the articles in this proceedings volume have been presented at the EMFIPS 2024 during December 28-29 2024 in Cangzhou. These articles have been peer reviewed by the members of the Nanpi Bohailiangang Research Institute and approved by the Editor-in-Chief, who affirms that this document is a truthful description of the conference's review process.

1. REVIEW PROCEDURE

The reviews were double-blind. Each submission was examined by 2 reviewers independently.

The submissions were first screened for generic quality and suitability, the main thing is to select articles that fit the topic of the paper. After the initial screening, they were sent for peer review by matching each paper's topic with the reviewers' expertise, taking into account any competing interests. A paper could only be considered for acceptance if it had received favourable recommendations from the two reviewers.

Authors of a rejected submission were given the opportunity to revise and resubmit after addressing the reviewers' comments. The acceptance or rejection of a revised manuscript was final.

Peer review is an important part of the paper review process, which can enhance the quality and accuracy of the paper. We adopt the method of double-blind review, the author and the reviewer do not know each other's identity, effectively avoiding the influence of bias in the review process, to ensure that the review experts will not be biased because of the identity or background of the author in the review process, so as to ensure the objective and fair review results, only based on the content and academic quality of the paper. At the same time, we will strictly select scholars with serious and responsible attitude, fair evaluation and strong ability to serve as reviewers. All submitted manuscripts must be submitted in accordance with the article format required by the conference team, so as to avoid the reviewer can distinguish the articles of different authors.

2. QUALITY CRITERIA

Reviewers were instructed to assess the quality of submissions solely based on the academic merit of their content along the following dimensions:

- 1.Pertinence of the article's content to the scope and themes of the conference;
- 2.Clear demonstration of originality, novelty, and timeliness of the research;
- 3.Soundness of the methods, analyses, and results;
- 4.Adherence to the ethical standards and codes of conduct relevant to the research field;
- 5.Clarity, cohesion, and accuracy in language and other modes of expression, including figures and tables.
- 6.The structure of the article is complete, including abstract, key words, introduction, body, conclusion and references;
- 7.The number of references must be no less than 5, and the references must be cited within the last 5 years.

In addition, all of the articles have been checked for textual overlap in an effort to detect possible signs of plagiarism by the publisher, all articles should see the corresponding review report.

3. KEY METRICS

<i>Total submissions</i>	152
<i>Number of articles sent for peer review</i>	116
<i>Number of accepted articles</i>	70
<i>Acceptance rate</i>	46.1%
<i>Number of reviewers</i>	12

4. COMPETING INTERESTS

Neither the Editor-in-Chief nor any member of the Scientific Committee declares any competing interest.

Open Access This chapter is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits any noncommercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if changes were made.

The images or other third party material in this chapter are included in the chapter's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the chapter's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.

