



Peer-Review Statements

Bangkit A. Wiryawan^{1*}, Muhammad Faiq Adi Pratomo¹, Suyatno Ladiqi²

¹ Universitas Diponegoro, Indonesia

² Universitas Sultan Zainal Abidin, Malaysia

*Editors-in-Chief of the ICISPE 2024. Email: bwiryawan@lecturer.undip.ac.id

All of the articles in this proceedings volume have been presented at the ICISPE during 4-5 November 2024 in Semarang, Indonesia. These articles have been peer reviewed by the members of the Reviewers Team and Scientific Committee and approved by the Editor-in-Chief, who affirms that this document is a truthful description of the conference's review process.

1. REVIEW PROCEDURE

The reviews were Double Blind Review and the organiser firm that the authors and reviewers are not form the same institution. Each submission was examined by 2 reviewer(s) independently. The submissions were first screened for generic quality and suitability. After the initial screening, they were sent for peer review by matching each paper's topic with the reviewers' expertise, taking into account any competing interests. A paper could only be considered for acceptance if it had received favourable recommendations from the two reviewers. Authors of a rejected submission were given the opportunity to revise and resubmit after addressing the reviewers' comments. The acceptance or rejection of a revised manuscript was final.

2. QUALITY CRITERIA

Reviewers were instructed to assess the quality of submissions solely based on the academic merit of their content along the following dimensions:

The submitted abstract will be reviewed whether it fits the theme or not by two reviewers. Abstracts that fits the theme will be asked to send the full paper for further processing and make presentation in the conference. Beside input from the discussion in the conference, the review process for the full paper was also carried out by two reviewers who would judge the substance of the manuscript.

All existing processes will be carried out by double blind review, except the discussion in their presentation.

In addition, all of the articles have been checked for textual overlap in an effort to detect possible signs of plagiarism by the publisher. We use Turnitin to check the similarity index.

3. KEY METRICS

<i>Total submissions</i>	169
<i>Number of articles sent for peer review</i>	102
<i>Number of accepted articles</i>	45
<i>Acceptance rate</i>	44.12
<i>Number of reviewers</i>	14

4. COMPETING INTERESTS

Neither the Editor-in-Chief nor any member of the Scientific Committee declares any competing interest.

No authors were supervised by the Editor-in-Chief or Scientific Committee, who has recused herself from handling their submissions and has delegated them to colleagues with no personal interests in them.

The conference was fully funded by Universitas Diponegoro, Indonesia. All authors and reviewers are required to disclose their funding sources.

Open Access This chapter is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits any noncommercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if changes were made.

The images or other third party material in this chapter are included in the chapter's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the chapter's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.

