



Peer-Review Statements

Mohd Rizaimy Shaharudin^{1*}, Azyyati Anuar², Preecha Wararatchai³,
Natpatsaya Setthachotsombut⁴ & Wissawa Aunyawong⁵

¹UiTM Kedah Branch, Sungai Petani Campus, Kedah Darul Aman, Malaysia

²UiTM Kedah Branch, Sungai Petani Campus, Kedah Darul Aman, Malaysia

³Suan Sunandha Rajabhat University, Bangkok, Thailand

⁴Suan Sunandha Rajabhat University, Bangkok, Thailand

⁵Suan Sunandha Rajabhat University, Bangkok, Thailand

¹rizaimy@uitm.edu.my

²azyyati@uitm.edu.my

³preecha.wa@ssru.ac.th

⁴natpatsaya.se@ssru.ac.th

⁵wissawa.au@ssru.ac.th

*Editor-in-Chief of the [BizFAME 2024]. Email: rizaimy@uitm.edu.my

All of the articles in this proceedings volume have been presented at the [*International Conference of Business, Finance, Management and Economics 2024*] during [24-25 October 2024,] in [*Suan Sunandha Rajabhat Universiti, Thailand*]. These articles have been peer reviewed by the members of the [*Scientific Committee*] and approved by the Editor-in-Chief, who affirms that this document is a truthful description of the conference's review process.

1. REVIEW PROCEDURE

The reviews were [*double blind*]. Each submission was examined by [2] reviewer(s) independently.

The submissions were first screened for generic quality and suitability. After the initial screening, they were sent for peer review by matching each paper's topic with the reviewers' expertise, taking into account any competing interests. A paper could only be considered for acceptance if it had received favourable recommendations from the two reviewers.

Authors of a rejected submission were given the opportunity to revise and resubmit after addressing the reviewers' comments. The acceptance or rejection of a revised manuscript was final.

© The Author(s) 2025

M. R. Shaharudin et al. (eds.), *Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference on Business, Finance, Management and Economic (BizFAME 2024)*, Advances in Economics, Business and Management Research 347, https://doi.org/10.2991/978-94-6463-827-1_1

In our ongoing effort to enhance the integrity and fairness of the peer-review process, several measures were adopted. Reviewers were recused from handling papers in which they had personal, academic, or financial relationships with the authors, thereby minimizing conflicts of interest. The double-blind system was implemented to reduce unconscious bias by concealing both author and reviewer identities during the evaluation process.

Additionally, the editorial team provided reviewers with guidelines to ensure a consistent and unbiased assessment and continues to seek feedback for improving transparency and accountability in the peer-review system.

2. QUALITY CRITERIA

Reviewers were instructed to assess the quality of submissions solely based on the academic merit of their content along the following dimensions.

1. Pertinence of the article's content to the scope and themes of the conference;
2. Clear demonstration of originality, novelty, and timeliness of the research;
3. Soundness of the methods, analyses, and results;
4. Adherence to the ethical standards and codes of conduct relevant to the research field;
5. Clarity, cohesion, and accuracy in language and other modes of expression, including figures and tables.

In addition, all of the articles have been checked for textual overlap in an effort to detect possible signs of plagiarism by the publisher.

3. KEY METRICS

<i>Total submissions</i>	45
<i>Number of articles sent for peer review</i>	31
<i>Number of accepted articles</i>	29
<i>Acceptance rate</i>	64.4%
<i>Number of reviewers</i>	58

4. COMPETING INTERESTS

[Competing interests refer to any interests of the Editor-in-Chief and/or members of the review body, that may or may be perceived to influence editorial decisions. It is normal to have interests, even competing ones, but the ethics of scientific publication demands that any competing interests be properly declared, and that appropriate steps be taken to uphold the validity of the editorial process in their presence.

This is the proper section to document competing interests and the measures to address them. We show three examples here, and we encourage the organizers consult the Publisher's and/or COPE guidelines for further information. In case of uncertainty, please contact the Publisher.

Example A (for no special interest): Neither the Editor-in-Chief nor any member of the Scientific Committee declares any competing interest.

Open Access This chapter is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits any noncommercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if changes were made.

The images or other third party material in this chapter are included in the chapter's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the chapter's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.

