Duration Matching as a Risk Management Tool: A Case Study of Apple and Netflix Corporate Bonds

Yueming You*

Nanjing University 1520 Taihu Road, Suzhou, Jiangsu, 215163, China.

*231880209@smail.nju.edu.cn

Abstract. This paper examines how duration matching can be employed as a practical, data-driven strategy to mitigate interest rate risk in corporate debt portfolios. Focusing on real-market corporate bonds issued by Apple Inc. and Netflix Inc., it demonstrates the steps for identifying optimal bond allocations that align a firm's asset duration with its liability duration. The research underscores the importance of accurately measuring interest rate sensitivity through Macaulay and Modified Duration, then constructing a portfolio whose overall duration closely approximates the target liability's duration. By methodically analyzing each bond's yield, coupon structure, price, and resulting duration, the paper shows how to hedge against rate fluctuations and stabilize the total market value of an organization's future debt obligations. The findings carry broad implications for both corporate and institutional investors, offering a replicable framework for duration-based risk management. It also highlights how duration matching connects theoretical models with real-world fixed-income strategy under changing market conditions.

Keywords: Duration Matching, Interest Rate Risk, Corporate Bonds, Case Study

1 Introduction

The bond market is an important component of financial markets [1]. It serves as a channel for borrowing and lending funds and plays a crucial role in investment and risk management. Bonds are widely viewed as relatively stable investments, particularly when compared to equities. Yet, bond prices will fluctuate greatly due to changes in interest rates. This volatility poses a form of risk commonly referred to as interest rate risk. When interest rates rise, bond prices typically fall, and vice versa. Investors who overlook this dynamic or fail to manage it effectively may incur losses, even in traditionally "safe" fixed-income assets. In today's unpredictable economic climate, understanding the relationship between interest rates and bond prices is fundamental for effective risk management. Duration is a measure of a bond's sensitivity to interest rate changes, and the primary purpose of this paper is to introduce how bond duration can be employed in real business scenarios to reduce risk [2]. By focusing on duration

© The Author(s) 2025
B. Siuta-Tokarska et al. (eds.), *Proceedings of the 2025 3rd International Academic Conference on Management Innovation and Economic Development (MIED 2025)*, Advances in Economics, Business and Management Research 348,
https://doi.org/10.2991/978-94-6463-835-6_52

matching, this reserach explore practical ways investors and financial managers can build a bond hedging portfolio to mitigate the adverse effects of interest rate fluctuation.

To illustrate how duration matching can work in practice, this paper relies on both theoretical frameworks and simplified data examples. First, this paper will review the principles behind bond duration, explaining how it is calculated and interpreted. Next, it will demonstrate how to match bond duration within a sample portfolio based on the real bond market to reduce exposure to interest rate movements. This study centres on constructing and matching corporate bond durations to hedge interest rate risk, offering significant value to academia and industry. From an academic perspective, this paper employs real market data to develop a workable duration-matching model. This model provides a more empirically grounded approach for analyzing and implementing bond duration management strategies. By selecting appropriate bonds or adjusting existing bond investments, firms can effectively mitigate the impact of interest rate fluctuations on their overall financing or investment costs. Consequently, this research expands the scope of interest rate risk management and provides market participants with a viable path to make prudent decisions in varying interest rate environments.

2 Methodology

Duration matching stands out as a core and effective risk management tool among numerous strategies to address interest rate risk. With a thorough understanding of how interest rate risk arises, the underlying principles of Duration, and the mechanics of duration matching, this research will lay a theoretical and methodological foundation for the subsequent case studies.

2.1 Interest Rate Risk

Interest rate risk refers to the potential variability in the cost of financing due to changes in market interest rates [3]. This risk affects both investors and borrowers: for investors, rising interest rates typically depress existing bond prices, shrinking the book value of their assets; for borrowers, a higher interest rate environment increases their interest expenses. Conversely, bond prices tend to rise in a declining rate environment, offering capital gains to bondholders, while borrowers benefit from lower financing costs [4]. As interest rates can materially impact an entity's financial position, managing interest rate volatility is critical for both corporate and individual market participants.

2.2 Bond Duration

"Duration" is a key metric used to gauge the sensitivity of a bond or bond portfolio's value to changes in interest rates [5]. Often interpreted as a "weighted average time to maturity," Duration is particularly relevant in risk management due to its focus on price sensitivity. A higher duration implies greater price volatility in response to interest rate shifts; conversely, lower-duration bonds demonstrate more stable prices under changing interest rate conditions. Macaulay Duration is a weighted average of the timing of

a bond's cash flows, often viewed as representing the payback period for bond investments. Modified Duration is derived from the Macaulay Duration, which directly reflects the percentage change in a bond's price for a given change in yield and is commonly used to quantify interest rate sensitivity in practice [6].

By leveraging these duration metrics, investors and companies can quantitatively assess individual bonds' interest rate risk exposure or entire bond portfolios. This assessment lays the groundwork for subsequent portfolio adjustment or debt management decisions.

2.3 The Hedging Logic of Duration Matching

A "duration matching" strategy adjusts assets' Duration with liabilities [7]. Under this approach, when market interest rates change, the rise or fall in asset values can offset the corresponding shift in liability values, thus reducing the adverse impact on the firm's overall financial position.

Asset–Liability Duration Matching. In cases where a company issues long-term debt, it can hedge interest rate risk by selecting assets whose durations are similar to its liabilities [6].

Portfolio Duration Matching. Institutional investors can manage their portfolio's overall Duration by adjusting the size and maturity structure of the bonds within it. By maintaining the portfolio's Duration within a target range, investors can reduce the effects of significant interest rate swings on the portfolio's net asset value [8].

This research focuses on real-market corporate bonds issued by Apple Inc. and Netflix Inc., investigating their duration characteristics and market performance to construct an asset-liability duration matching model. The goal is to illustrate how interest rate risk can be effectively hedged through practical, real-world bond selection and combination. The insights derived highlight the utility of Duration matching in corporate financing and investment management and provide a practical reference framework for other organizations and financial institutions.

3 Case Study: Applying Duration Matching with Apple and Netflix Bonds

This section demonstrates how duration matching can be used in a real-world context by incorporating two corporate bonds (one issued by Apple Inc. and the other by Netflix Inc.) to hedge a future debt payment. By matching the durations of these bonds to the duration of a hypothetical liability, it can reduce the firm's overall interest rate risk.

3.1 Bond Introduction

This analysis considers two corporate bonds with distinct features to illustrate the concept of Macaulay Duration and its relevance in constructing a duration-matching portfolio. The Apple Bond (CUSIP: US037833BA77) has an annual coupon rate of 3.450%,

a current market price of $78.53, a yield to maturity (YTM) of 5.19%, and an approximate Macaulay Duration of 13.8 years [9]. In comparison, the Netflix Bond (CUSIP: US64110LAZ94) offers a higher annual coupon rate of 4.900%, trades at $99.86, has a YTM of 4.91%, and a shorter Macaulay Duration of approximately 7.7 years [10]. The differences in coupon rates, market prices, and yields between the two bonds account for the variation in their respective durations. Both bonds pay coupons on an annual basis, ensuring consistency in cash flow timing. These attributes are essential in forming a portfolio that aligns with a specified investment horizon or interest rate exposure.

3.2 Scenario

Suppose a company has a liability of $100,000 due in 10 years. To assess and hedge the interest rate risk associated with this future payment, the firm discounts the $100,000 back to the present using a 5% discount rate, yielding a present value (PV) of approximately $61,391.33

The firm's objective is to invest exactly $61,391.33 in a combination of the Apple and Netflix bonds such that:1. The total present value of the bond portfolio equals $61,391.33, in which way it fully "funds" the liability.2. The duration of the bond portfolio matches the liability's duration (close to 10 years in this example).

By aligning both value and duration, the firm hopes to insulate itself from fluctuations in interest rates.

3.3 Using Duration to Manage Risk

To implement duration matching mosel, the company needs to determine the weights of each bond, which is how much to invest in Apple's bond (weight ω) versus Netflix's bond (weight 1- ω). The overall portfolio duration (D_p) is then calculated as Equation (1) [11].

$$D_p = \omega \times D_{Apple} + (1 - \omega) \times D_{Netflix} \tag{1}$$

D_{Apple} is 13.8 years and $D_{Netflix}$ is 7.7 years. The firm solves for the weight that makes approximately equal to the liability's duration [12].

Through sample calculation, a possible solution for matching both the PV and duration of the liability is to allocate around 37.7% of the funds to the Apple bond and 62.3% to the Netflix bond.

Apple bond investment should be $23,144.52. (Equation (2))

$$37.7\% \times \$61{,}391.33 \approx \$23{,}144.52 \tag{2}$$

Number of Apple bond units should be 295. (Equation (3))

$$\frac{23{,}144.52}{78.53} \approx 294.76(round\ to\ 295) \tag{3}$$

Netflix bond investment should be $38,246.80. (Equation (4))

$$62.3\% \times \$61{,}391.33 \approx \$38{,}246.80 \tag{4}$$

Number of Netflix bond units should be 295.

$$\frac{38{,}246.80}{78.53} \approx 294.76(round\ to\ 295) \tag{5}$$

By allocating $23,144.52 (about 294–295 units) to the Apple bond and $38,246.80 (about 383 units) to the Netflix bond, the portfolio's overall duration approaches the liability's target duration of roughly 10 years. Thus, if interest rates rise or fall, changes in the portfolio value will more closely mirror changes in the liability's present value, reducing the firm's net interest rate exposure.

3.4 Discussion

By matching the portfolio's duration to that of the future $100,000 liability, the firm effectively minimizes its exposure to interest rate volatility. A rise in interest rates would typically lower the value of both the liability and the portfolio in tandem, and vice versa [13]. In terms of practical implementation, Translating the desired dollar allocation into the actual number of bond units is a critical step. Accurate unit calculations ensure that the final portfolio alignment matches the firm's hedging objective. Over time, the durations of both the bonds and the liability will shift because of coupon payments, moving closer to maturity and changes in market yields [14]. Therefore, periodic rebalancing is necessary to maintain a matched-duration position.

While duration matching addresses interest rate risk, it does not mitigate default or downgrade risk associated with Apple and Netflix. Firms must weigh these credit considerations when finalizing the hedge.

Transaction Costs and Liquidity: Implementing a duration-matched strategy in large volumes may introduce market impact costs, particularly if the bonds in question have limited liquidity.

Diversification: Relying on only two corporate bonds might leave gaps in risk management. Including additional bonds or instruments can offer further diversification benefits while still meeting duration targets.

By carefully selecting bonds with complementary durations—here illustrated with Apple and Netflix—firms can construct a portfolio that closely aligns the timing and value of bond cash flows with a known future liability, providing an effective hedge against interest rate movements.

4 Conclusion

In conclusion, the duration matching approach presented here illustrates a practical path to shielding firms from the volatility of shifting interest rates. By blending Apple Inc. and Netflix Inc. bonds in measured proportions, the study highlights how even a small set of well-chosen securities can significantly reduce a company's net interest rate ex-

posure. Key to this success is the careful estimation of durations, converting dollar allocations into precise bond units, and committing to periodic rebalancing as market conditions and maturities evolve. While the methodology primarily addresses interest rate risk, incorporating credit risk assessments and liquidity considerations remains vital for a holistic hedging strategy. Ultimately, this work confirms that aligning bond investments with liability horizons, supported by robust duration analysis, can serve as a powerful tool for effective, long-term financial risk management.

References

1. Altman, E. I. (1989). Measuring corporate bond mortality and performance. The Journal of Finance, 44(4), 909–922.
2. Bierwag, G. O., Kaufman, G. G., & Toevs, A. (1983). Duration: Its development and use in bond portfolio management. Financial Analysts Journal, 39(4), 15–35.
3. Mantilla-Garcia, D., Martellini, L., Milhau, V., & Ramirez-Garrido, H. E. (2022). Improving interest rate risk hedging strategies through regularization. Financial Analysts Journal, 78(4), 18–36. https://doi.org/10.1080/0015198X.2022.2095193.
4. Alfaro, L., Bahaj, S., Czech, R., Hazell, J., & Neamțu, I. (2024). Lash risk and interest rates (NBER Working Paper No. 33241). National Bureau of Economic Research. https://doi.org/10.3386/w33241.
5. Barth, D., Kahn, R. J., Monin, P., & Sokolinskiy, O. (2024). Reaching for duration and leverage in the Treasury market (Finance and Economics Discussion Series No. 2024-039). Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System. https://doi.org/10.17016/FEDS.2024.039.
6. McPhail, L., Schnabl, P., & Tuckman, B. (2023). Do banks hedge using interest rate swaps? (NBER Working Paper No. 31166). National Bureau of Economic Research. https://doi.org/10.3386/w31166.
7. van den Berg, G. J. (2000). Duration models: Specification, identification, and multiple durations. In J. J. Heckman & E. Leamer (Eds.), Handbook of Econometrics (Vol. 5, pp. [insert page range]). North-Holland.
8. Brennan, M. J., & Xia, Y. (2006). Risk and valuation under an intertemporal capital asset pricing model. The Journal of Business, 79(1), 1-36.
9. Apple Inc. (2023). Annual reports on Form 10-K. https://investor.apple.com/investor-relations/default.aspx (Last accessed March 10, 2025)
10. Netflix Inc. (2023). Annual reports on Form 10-K. https://data.eastmoney.com/notices/stock/NFLX.html (Last accessed March 10, 2025)
11. Jia, C. (2022). Duration in the bond market and application. In Proceedings of the 6th International Conference on Economic Management and Green Development (ICEMGD 2022).
12. Liu, Q. (2025). Proactive management of interest rate risk: Evidence from the life insurance industry [Working paper]. SSRN. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3841794
13. Wenning, D., & Li, Z. (2025). From long to short: How interest rates shape life insurance markets [Working paper]. SSRN. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.5119169
14. Houweling, P., & Muskens, F. (2024). The past, present, and future of low-risk corporate bonds [Working paper]. SSRN. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4574834

Open Access This chapter is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits any noncommercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if changes were made.

The images or other third party material in this chapter are included in the chapter's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the chapter's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.