



Peer-Review Statements

Santhosh Kumar Thatikonda^{1*}, Beauty Pandey¹, Daya Shankar¹,
Raul Villamarin Rodriguez¹

¹Woxsen University, Telangana, India

*Editor-in-Chief of the SciTech 24. Email: research.sos@woxsen.edu.in

All of the articles in this proceedings volume have been presented at the *2024 International Conference on Sustainable Science and Technology for Tomorrow (SciTech-24)* during *September 16-20th 2024* in *Woxsen University, Telangana, India*. These articles have been peer reviewed by the members of the *Scientific Committees and reviewers* and approved by the Editor-in-Chief, who affirms that this document is a truthful description of the conference's review process.

1. REVIEW PROCEDURE

The review process followed a single-blind format, ensuring impartial evaluation while maintaining reviewer anonymity. Each submission was reviewed independently by at least two qualified experts in the relevant field. The review workflow was structured as follows:

Step 1: Plagiarism Screening

To uphold academic integrity, all submissions were screened using Turnitin to detect potential plagiarism. Manuscripts with significant textual overlap or unethical reuse were desk-rejected without further consideration.

Step 2: Editorial Pre-Screening

Submissions were initially assessed by the editorial team for scope alignment, basic quality, and scientific relevance. Only those meeting the preliminary criteria were forwarded for peer review.

Step 3: Reviewer Assignment

Manuscripts passing the initial screening were assigned to reviewers based on subject-matter expertise, ensuring a fair and informed evaluation. Reviewers were selected to avoid any conflicts of interest.

Step 4: Evaluation Criteria

Reviewers assessed each manuscript based on:

- Originality and novelty of the research

- Methodological soundness and analytical rigor
- Relevance to the conference themes
- Clarity and coherence of presentation
- Ethical compliance and citation practices

Step 5: Editorial Judgment

Review reports were reviewed by the editorial team to ensure consistency with international scientific standards. In cases of conflicting or unclear feedback, additional reviewers were consulted or editorial discretion was applied.

Step 6: Author Revisions

Authors of manuscripts requiring revision were provided with detailed reviewer comments and given the opportunity to revise and resubmit. Revised manuscripts were expected to address all reviewer concerns comprehensively.

Step 7: Re-evaluation

Revised submissions were re-evaluated either by the original reviewers or by the editorial team to verify that the revisions met the required standards.

Step 8: Final Decision

Upon satisfactory revision and reviewer approval, the Editor-in-Chief made the final decision regarding acceptance and inclusion in the proceedings.

2. QUALITY CRITERIA

Reviewers were instructed to assess the quality of submissions solely based on the academic merit of their content along the following dimensions

1. Pertinence of the article's content to the scope and themes of the conference SciTech-24;
2. Clear demonstration of originality, novelty, and timeliness of the research;
3. Soundness of the methods, analyses, and results;
4. Adherence to the ethical standards and codes of conduct relevant to the research field;
5. Clarity, cohesion, and accuracy in language and other modes of expression, including figures and tables.

In addition, all of the articles have been checked for textual overlap in an effort to detect possible signs of plagiarism by the publisher.

3. KEY METRICS

<i>Total submissions</i>	43
<i>Number of articles sent for peer review</i>	39
<i>Number of accepted articles</i>	26
<i>Acceptance rate</i>	60%
<i>Number of reviewers</i>	33

4. COMPETING INTERESTS

Neither the Editor-in-Chief nor any member of the Scientific Committee declares any competing interest.

Open Access This chapter is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits any noncommercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if changes were made.

The images or other third party material in this chapter are included in the chapter's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the chapter's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.

