



Peer-Review Statements

Bejoy Joseph^{1*}, Devi Sekhar R²

¹ Associate Professor, Bharata Mata Institute of Management 1

² Assistant Professor, Bharata Mata Institute of Management 2

*Editor-in-Chief of the [CEFM 2025].

Email: devisekhar@bharatamatacollege.in

All of the articles in this proceedings volume have been presented at the [CEFM 2025] during [24th & 25th April 2025] in [location]. These articles have been peer reviewed by the members of the [Review Committee] and approved by the Editor-in-Chief, who affirms that this document is a truthful description of the conference's review process.

1. REVIEW PROCEDURE

The reviews were [single-blind]. Each submission was examined by [at least 2] reviewer(s) independently.

The conference submission management system was Meteor

The submissions were first screened for generic quality and suitability. Then accepted for presentation. After the presentation, those papers recommended by the session chairs for publications were considered for peer review process. Those endorsed for publication by the reviewers underwent three to four rounds of revision (including formatting) until acceptance was confirmed. The reviewers were given a structured format for conducting the review to ensure uniformity. The acceptance or rejection of a revised manuscript was final.

2. QUALITY CRITERIA

Reviewers were instructed to assess the quality of submissions solely based on the academic merit of their content along the following dimensions

1. Contribution to the theory/program development (eg. Originality, Creativity)
2. Appropriateness of the topic
3. Importance of the topic
4. Quality of the research methods
5. Quality of analysis

© The Author(s) 2025

B. Joseph and D. Sekhar R (eds.), *Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference on Artificial Intelligence in Economics, Finance and Management (ICAIEFM 2025)*, Advances in Economics, Business and Management Research 358,

https://doi.org/10.2991/978-94-6463-896-7_1

2 B. Joseph and R. D. Sekhar

6. Quality of Implications
7. Quality of Writing (The article has to be free from Grammatical errors)
8. Overall Significance & align to the theme of the conference
9. Adherence to the ethical standards and codes of conduct relevant to the research field;
10. Clarity, cohesion, and accuracy in language and other modes of expression, including figures and tables.

In addition, all of the articles have been checked for textual overlap in an effort to detect possible signs of plagiarism by the publisher. Ensured that plagiarism of papers are below 15%.

3. KEY METRICS

<i>Total submissions</i>	85
<i>Number of articles sent for peer review</i>	56
<i>Number of accepted articles</i>	21
<i>Acceptance rate</i>	24.7%
<i>Number of reviewers</i>	8

4. COMPETING INTERESTS

Neither the Editor-in-Chief nor any member of the Review Committee declares any competing interest.

Open Access This chapter is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits any noncommercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if changes were made.

The images or other third party material in this chapter are included in the chapter's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the chapter's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.

