



Humanity's Awakening: Integrating Eco-Humanitarian Banking, Green Finance, Chess and Storytelling for a Sustainable Future

he Vicente Pironti^{1*}, Aarti Chopra² and Pratibha Gupta³

¹ Open University Humaniza, Brazil

² Associate Professor, Faculty of Management and Commerce, Poornima University, Jaipur, India

³ Assistant Professor, Faculty of Management and Commerce, Poornima University, Jaipur, India

*vicentepironti@gmail.com

Abstract. Humanity is confronted with the twin crises of climate change and social inequality, requiring approaches that are systemic, ethical, and inclusive. This paper presents the Eco Humaniza Platform, an integrated framework combining eco-humanitarian banking, green finance, ethical artificial intelligence (AI), blockchain-based transparency, and cultural pedagogy through chess and storytelling. Adopting a qualitative and interdisciplinary research design, the study draws on literature synthesis, case studies, and systems mapping to explore how finance, education, and technology can work together to foster sustainability. Evidence from initiatives such as Grameen Bank, Banco Palmas, Solar Sister, and Barefoot College illustrates how financial empowerment, cultural narratives, and ethical technology reinforce one another in creating regenerative systems. The paper concludes that embedding ethics, empathy, and cultural values into financial and technological infrastructures not only enhances social and ecological outcomes but also offers a pathway toward a more resilient and conscious future.

Keywords: Eco-humanitarian banking, Green finance, Ethical AI, Blockchain transparency, Chess pedagogy, Storytelling, Sustainability
Keywords: First Keyword, Second Keyword, Third Keyword.

1. Introduction

Humanity stands at a precarious inflection point. The physical science evidence is unequivocal: the climate system is changing rapidly, extreme events are increasing in frequency and intensity, and many impacts already under way will continue to unfold unless greenhouse-gas emissions are sharply reduced (IPCC, 2021). At the same time, economic inequality, social exclusion, and governance deficits continue to undermine the capacity of societies to respond collectively to planetary threats (Schwab, 2021). These twin realities—an acute environmental emergency and persistent social fragility—call for solutions that are systemic rather than siloed, and that combine technical, institutional, cultural, and ethical interventions.

© The Author(s) 2025

P. Sharma et al. (eds.), *Proceedings of the International Conference on Artificial Intelligence in Management for Business and Industrial Growth (AIMBIG 2025)*, Advances in Economics, Business and Management Research 355,

https://doi.org/10.2991/978-94-6463-898-1_12

A growing body of scholarship argues that twenty-first-century problems require twenty-first-century frames: economics must move beyond narrow GDP growth to concepts that embed planetary boundaries and human floors (Raworth, 2017); organizations must learn to think in systems and cultivate shared purpose and continuous learning (Senge, 2006). Raworth's "doughnut" offers a diagnostic and normative map—showing where social shortfalls and ecological overshoots meet—while Senge's learning-organization literature provides tools for institutional transformation. Together they point to the necessity of policy and practice that are both regenerative and distributive.

Within the finance sector, these imperatives have given rise to green finance and new responsible-banking frameworks that attempt to reorient capital flows toward low-carbon, resilient, and socially inclusive investments. OECD analyses and G20 green-finance work document the rapid maturation of instruments (green bonds, green investment banks) and policy toolkits designed to mobilize private capital for a low-carbon transition (OECD, 2017). Complementary to these policy frameworks, the United Nations Environment Programme Finance Initiative (UNEP FI) launched the *Principles for Responsible Banking* to align commercial banking with societal goals and the SDGs—signalling mainstream finance's shifting normative architecture (UNEP FI, 2019).

Yet technical innovations and shifting principles are insufficient by themselves. Decades of work in microfinance and social business illustrate both the promise and the perils of finance aimed at poverty alleviation. Muhammad Yunus's Grameen model and his reflections on social business have demonstrated how small, well-targeted financial interventions can catalyse livelihoods while also exposing the need for careful design, regulation, and integration with broader social services (Yunus, 2007). Economists studying the field emphasize nuanced trade-offs—group lending, savings, insurance, commercialization, and measurement of impact all matter for sustainable outcomes (Armendáriz & Morduch, 2010).

It is precisely in the conjunction of green finance and humanitarian banking that the Eco Humaniza / Humaniza Bank proposals find their intellectual home. The Eco Humaniza Platform, as articulated by Pironti (2025), synthesizes ESG-oriented investment, community microfinance, blockchain transparency, and educational programs (chess and storytelling) into a single regenerative cycle intended to expand agency among the marginalized while financing environmentally beneficial enterprises. This model explicitly treats compassion and cultural pedagogy as design variables in financial systems—an approach that builds on Yunus's social business ethos while also seeking stronger alignment with planetary goals.

Concurrently, advances (and anxieties) in artificial intelligence put ethical governance squarely on the agenda. Scholarship mapping the global landscape of AI ethics has found a convergence around core principles—transparency, fairness, non-maleficence, responsibility, and privacy—yet also wide divergence in interpretation and implementation (Jobin, Ienca, & Vayena, 2019). Floridi (2019) further underscores the need for conceptual frameworks that make information and algorithmic decision-making intelligible and morally tractable. Together these literatures caution that algorithmic tools incorporated into finance or governance must be deliberately designed to avoid

reproducing biases and must be governed with ethical guardrails if they are to serve social and ecological objectives rather than narrow efficiency goals.

Education and culture are equally central. Pedagogical experiments and meta-analyses suggest that non-traditional educational interventions—games, narrative, and arts—can enhance cognitive skills, socio-emotional capacities, and motivation for civic engagement. The chess instruction literature, for example, reports moderate improvements in mathematical and cognitive skills among children exposed to chess curricula, while also noting methodological limitations in some studies (Sala & Gobet, 2017). Storytelling, long recognized by cognitive psychologists and narrative theorists as a primary means by which humans construct meaning, can shape values, encourage empathy, and refract abstract sustainability challenges into culturally resonant stories (Bruner, 1991). Neuroeconomic work on trust and oxytocin suggests physiological pathways through which cooperative norms and generosity can be encouraged, a fact that supports the Humaniza emphasis on cultivating a “culture of generosity” as an enabler of structural change (Zak, 2012).

Digital ledger technologies also offer promising complements. Analyses of blockchain’s capacity to provide immutable, decentralised transaction records highlight potential to improve transparency and trust in microfinance and impact-investment chains—an idea widely popularised in policy and practitioner literatures (Tapscott & Tapscott, 2016). When combined with rigorous impact metrics and ethical AI governance, such technological stacks can strengthen accountability loops that traditional finance often lacks. Still, critics rightly point to governance gaps, energy footprints (for some ledger designs), and the need to prevent techno-deterministic thinking that ignores social and political constraints.

Finally, any integrated reform must remain attentive to the darker side of institutional routine. Hannah Arendt’s analysis of the “banality of evil” is a sobering reminder that ordinary systems and ordinary actors can produce extraordinary harm when moral reflection fades and procedural thinking dominates (Arendt, 1963). This philosophical caution reinforces the argument that embedding ethics in institutions—through participatory governance, civic education, and explicit values-based design—is not optional for durable sustainability; it is foundational.

Taken together, the literature from climate science, green finance, microfinance, AI ethics, pedagogy, and political philosophy converges on a pragmatic conclusion: durable progress requires integrative platforms that combine finance, technology, education, and culture under ethical governance. The Eco Humaniza Platform is therefore offered as an applied instantiation of this convergence—seeking to operationalize regenerative capital flows, evidence-based pedagogy (chess and storytelling), blockchain transparency, and compassion-oriented AI to create virtuous feedback loops between empowered people and sustainable enterprises (Pironti, 2025).

1.1. Objectives

1. To articulate and situate the Eco Humaniza Platform within contemporary literatures on green finance, microfinance, ethical AI, and educational pedagogy.

2. To critically analyse empirical and theoretical evidence for each component (eco-humanitarian banking, green finance instruments, chess and storytelling pedagogy, blockchain transparency, and ethical AI governance).
3. To identify design principles, governance safeguards, and measurable indicators that would allow the Eco Humaniza Platform to be piloted and evaluated in diverse socio-economic contexts.

1.2. Scope

This study synthesizes cross-disciplinary literature and case material (conceptual analysis and illustrative case studies drawn from the Humaniza Network) to produce a rigorous conceptual framework and an agenda for empirical pilots; it does not present large-N econometric results or randomized control trials, which are identified as necessary next steps for validation.

2. Methods

2.1 Research Design

This study employed a **qualitative, interdisciplinary, and systems-oriented research design** aimed at conceptualizing, refining, and evaluating the Eco Humaniza Platform. The choice of a qualitative design reflects the complexity of the research problem, which spans domains of finance, education, technology, ethics, and culture. Conventional single-discipline methods are insufficient for capturing the interconnected dynamics of eco-humanitarian banking, green finance, chess pedagogy, storytelling frameworks, and ethical artificial intelligence (AI). Instead, the methodology integrates **conceptual analysis, case study research, and systems modeling** into a cohesive framework (Creswell & Poth, 2018).

The overarching aim was not only to describe but also to evaluate the systemic coherence of the Eco Humaniza Platform as a regenerative socio-economic model. As Yin (2018) notes, case-based and systems-oriented methods are particularly appropriate for “how” and “why” questions situated in contemporary, complex, and real-world contexts.

2.2 Conceptual and Theoretical Foundations

The methodological architecture rests upon three theoretical pillars:

1. **Systems Thinking:** Drawing from the literature on learning organizations and complexity science (Senge, 2006; Lorenz, 1993), the study applies systems mapping to conceptualize reinforcing feedback loops among financial inclusion, cultural pedagogy, and ecological regeneration.
2. **Ethical and Human-Centered AI:** Conceptual modeling of “compassion emulators” in AI decision-making was undertaken using a normative lens informed by information ethics (Floridi, 2019) and global AI ethics guidelines (Jobin, Ienca, & Vayena, 2019).

3. **Transformative Pedagogy:** Inspired by Paulo Freire's critical pedagogy and contemporary scholarship on storytelling and chess education (Bruner, 1991; Sala & Gobet, 2017), the methodology integrates cultural and cognitive frameworks into systemic design.

These foundations ensured methodological rigor and provided a basis for triangulation across finance, education, and technology.

2.3 Data Sources and Case Selection

The empirical dimension of this study relied on **purposefully selected case studies** drawn from the Humaniza Network's long-standing initiatives. These include:

- **Financial Inclusion Cases:** Microcredit and cooperative models implemented in Sub-Saharan Africa and Latin America.
- **Educational Initiatives:** Chess-based pedagogy in Brazil (Humaniza Chess Tournaments, approved by FIDE/CBX) and storytelling workshops such as *The River of Humanity*.
- **Technological Innovations:** Blockchain-based transparency tools piloted in Portugal's cassava bioplastics industry.

The case selection was guided by **maximum variation sampling** (Patton, 2015), ensuring coverage of diverse geographical, cultural, and sectoral contexts. Data included published project reports, academic collaborations, policy documents, and direct testimonies collected through Humaniza-affiliated programs.

2.4 Analytical Strategy

The analytical approach combined **conceptual modeling, thematic analysis, and systems mapping**:

1. **Thematic Coding:** Case material was thematically coded using qualitative content analysis, with categories derived deductively from the Eco Humaniza framework (eco-humanitarian banking, green finance, chess, storytelling, ethical AI) and inductively from emerging field data.
2. **Systems Mapping:** Relationships among components were represented using **causal loop diagrams**, highlighting feedback cycles between financial empowerment, educational interventions, cultural reinforcement, and ecological outcomes (Sterman, 2000).
3. **Comparative Case Synthesis:** Case studies were compared across three domains—finance, education, and culture—through cross-case synthesis (Yin, 2018). This allowed generalization of insights without oversimplification.
4. **Ethical AI Simulation:** Hypothetical decision rules for AI-based loan approval were modeled to evaluate how compassion-emulating algorithms could

alter allocation outcomes. The simulations were conceptual, not computational, but grounded in prior frameworks of algorithmic fairness (Floridi, 2019).

2.5 Framework

Here is a simplified flow diagram that illustrates the methodological stages:
flowchart TD

```
A[Philosophical Foundations] --> B[Conceptual Modeling]
B --> C[Case Selection & Data Gathering]
C --> D[Thematic Analysis & Coding]
D --> E[Systems Mapping]
E --> F[Comparative Case Synthesis]
F --> G[Ethical AI Simulation]
G --> H[Integrated Findings & Framework Refinement]
```

Figure 1. Flow of the methodological framework combining conceptual, empirical, and systems-based approaches.

2.6 Validity and Reliability Measures

Although qualitative and conceptual in orientation, the study sought to maximize validity through several strategies:

- **Triangulation:** Using multiple data types (case reports, theoretical literature, project testimonies) to confirm findings.
- **Peer Debriefing:** Engagement with external scholars and practitioners in sustainability and AI ethics for feedback.
- **Thick Description:** Detailed reporting of contexts and practices in case studies to ensure transferability.
- **Reflexivity:** Explicit acknowledgment of the author's role as both researcher and practitioner within the Humaniza Network.

2.7 Limitations

This methodology is exploratory and subject to several limitations. First, while systems mapping identifies conceptual feedback loops, it does not yet provide quantifiable causal inference. Second, AI simulations remain conceptual prototypes and would require rigorous computational modeling and empirical validation. Third, case studies, though diverse, are not exhaustive of all global regions. These limitations are acknowledged, but they do not undermine the study's contribution to theoretical development and framework building.

3. Findings and Analysis

The findings are presented through case-based analysis to illustrate how the Eco Humaniza Platform's components—eco-humanitarian banking, green finance, pedagogical innovations, and ethical AI—are manifested in practice. The cases demonstrate empirical evidence of the viability of integrated, regenerative approaches to finance, education, and sustainability.

3.1 Case 1: Grameen Bank and the Evolution of Humanitarian Microfinance (Bangladesh)

The Grameen Bank, founded by Muhammad Yunus in 1983, is widely considered the pioneering model of humanitarian microfinance. Its provision of collateral-free microloans, particularly to rural women, has significantly contributed to poverty alleviation and empowerment in Bangladesh (Yunus, 2007). Studies have shown positive impacts on income, food security, and women's decision-making authority (Khandker, 2005).

From the Eco Humaniza perspective, Grameen exemplifies how **eco-humanitarian banking can catalyze dignity and agency** among marginalized groups. However, critiques point to high interest rates and repayment pressures in commercialized microfinance sectors (Bateman, 2010). These critiques support Humaniza's emphasis on integrating **compassion emulators and ethical AI** into credit allocation, ensuring that decisions optimize social equity rather than repayment efficiency.

Discussion: Grameen serves as the intellectual precursor for the Humaniza Bank. It highlights both the potential and pitfalls of microfinance, affirming the need for models that blend economic empowerment with cultural, ethical, and environmental goals.

3.2 Case 2: Cassava Bioplastics Industry (Portugal)

The Cassava Industry Lda project, initiated in Vouzela, Portugal, in collaboration with Humaniza International, produces **100% biodegradable plastics** from cassava starch. The initiative simultaneously supports local farmers, promotes circular economy practices, and reduces reliance on petroleum-based plastics (Cassava Industry Lda., 2018).

From a systems perspective, the project operationalizes the Eco Humaniza principle of aligning **green finance with industrial innovation**. Investments into the cassava industry not only reduce environmental footprints but also generate rural livelihoods, demonstrating how regenerative finance can simultaneously achieve ecological and social outcomes.

Discussion: This case illustrates how eco-humanitarian banking can extend beyond microfinance into industrial innovation, guided by sustainability metrics. It is particularly relevant for addressing global crises of plastic waste and food–energy–water nexus challenges.

3.3 Case 3: Solar Sister—Women-Led Energy Access in Sub-Saharan Africa

Solar Sister is a social enterprise that trains and finances women entrepreneurs to distribute affordable solar lighting and clean cookstoves in rural Africa. By combining microfinance with gender empowerment, Solar Sister has reached over 3 million people with clean energy solutions (Ngoasong, 2018).

This case aligns with the Eco Humaniza framework in two ways:

1. **Financial Inclusion:** Microcredit and seed funding empower women to lead community-level energy transitions.
2. **Cultural Storytelling:** Women entrepreneurs act as storytellers and community leaders, embedding ecological awareness into local narratives.

Discussion: Solar Sister validates the Humaniza vision that **finance plus pedagogy plus culture** creates sustainable transformation. It also demonstrates the scalability of microfinance-linked renewable energy models.

3.4 Case 4: Banco Palmas and Community Currencies (Brazil)

Banco Palmas, a community bank established in Fortaleza, Brazil, operates on principles of solidarity finance and has pioneered **local complementary currencies**. Its PalmaCard provides microcredit to local residents, while its community currency (Palma) promotes local economic circulation (Melo, 2009).

This case shows how **eco-humanitarian banking can incorporate blockchain-like transparency tools** to strengthen local economies. By circulating resources within the community, Banco Palmas builds resilience against external shocks, aligning with the Eco Humaniza emphasis on regenerative finance.

Discussion: Banco Palmas reinforces the importance of **decentralized, trust-based finance**. It supports the Humaniza claim that finance can be an instrument of social equity and cultural empowerment rather than mere capital accumulation.

3.5 Case 5: Barefoot College Solar Engineering Program (India)

Barefoot College, based in Tilonia, India, trains rural women—often grandmothers with little formal education—to become **solar engineers**. These women then electrify their villages using decentralized solar systems. The model blends grassroots finance, storytelling, and pedagogy, emphasizing self-reliance and community empowerment (Roy, 2012).

The Barefoot model resonates with Eco Humaniza on two levels:

- **Pedagogy and Chess-like Foresight:** Training emphasizes resilience, systemic thinking, and community leadership, similar to chess pedagogy.
- **Storytelling and Cultural Identity:** By reframing women as leaders of technological change, the project generates new narratives of dignity and empowerment.

Discussion: Barefoot demonstrates how **education, culture, and finance can intersect to achieve sustainability**. It strengthens the case for embedding storytelling and symbolic pedagogy into systemic interventions.

3.6 Synthesis of Findings

Across these five cases, several systemic insights emerge:

1. **Finance as Ethical Infrastructure:** Grameen and Banco Palmas highlight the importance of financial systems as vehicles for empowerment rather than extraction.
2. **Industrial and Ecological Innovation:** The cassava bioplastics industry shows how finance can redirect industrial growth toward sustainability.
3. **Pedagogy and Gender Empowerment:** Solar Sister and Barefoot College confirm that education and storytelling amplify the social impact of finance.
4. **Cultural Synergy:** Storytelling, chess, and narrative leadership are recurrent enablers, ensuring sustainability is not only technical but also cultural.

These cases provide empirical grounding for the Eco Humaniza Platform's claim: **true sustainability requires integration of financial, educational, cultural, and technological systems under ethical governance.**

4. Discussion

The Eco Humaniza Platform integrates finance, pedagogy, culture, and technology under a unifying ethical framework. Drawing on earlier sections (Introduction, Methods, Findings), the discussion situates this model within global debates on sustainability, regenerative economics, and ethical technology.

4.1 Finance as Regenerative Infrastructure

- **From microfinance to eco-humanitarian banking:**
 - Grameen Bank demonstrated how microcredit can empower marginalized communities (Yunus, 2007).
 - However, critiques of commercialization and debt stress (Bateman, 2010) highlight the need for **compassion-based parameters in finance.**
 - The Humaniza Bank addresses this by embedding **Ethical AI decision rules** into allocation, ensuring projects serve ecological and social objectives (Floridi, 2019).
- **Case evidence:**
 - Banco Palmas' community currency shows that **decentralized finance fosters resilience** and retains wealth locally (Melo, 2009).
 - Cassava Bioplastics illustrates how green finance can move beyond mitigation into **industrial transformation** (Cassava Industry Lda., 2018).

4.2 Education as Pedagogical Catalyst

- **Chess as archetype of systemic thinking:**
 - Chess pedagogy has been shown to enhance cognitive and socio-emotional skills (Sala & Gobet, 2017).
 - Within the Humaniza Platform, chess serves not only as a teaching tool but as a **symbolic training in resilience, foresight, and ethical choice**.
- **Storytelling for ethical consciousness:**
 - Storytelling builds cultural empathy and collective identity (Bruner, 1991).
 - Programs like Barefoot College and Solar Sister use **narrative pedagogy** to transform community perceptions of gender and leadership (Roy, 2012; Ngoasong, 2018).
- **Discussion:**
 - Education in this model is not limited to information transfer but is designed as **ethical awakening**—a key distinction from traditional curricula.

4.3 Ethical Artificial Intelligence

- **Compassion emulators in AI:**
 - Traditional AI optimizes efficiency, often perpetuating bias (Jobin, Ienca, & Vayena, 2019).
 - The Humaniza vision integrates “compassion emulators” to evaluate **loan allocation, resource prioritization, and impact measurement**.
 - Such design principles align with Floridi's (2019) call for **conceptual ethics in information systems**.
- **Challenges:**
 - Embedding fairness is not merely technical; it requires **cultural pre-conditions of generosity** within human society (Zak, 2012).

- Without ethical governance, AI could exacerbate inequities and ecological harm.

4.4 Systemic Synergies Observed

- **Findings synthesis:**

- Grameen and Banco Palmas → **finance as empowerment and resilience.**
- Cassava Bioplastics → **finance as ecological transformation.**
- Solar Sister and Barefoot → **education as empowerment plus culture.**

- **Reinforcing cycles:**

- Empowered communities → demand for sustainable investment.
- Sustainable investment → need for strategic pedagogy.
- Pedagogy → strengthened cultural values.
- Cultural values → design of more compassionate AI and finance.

- **Insight:**

- The Eco Humaniza Platform represents a **regenerative cycle** rather than a linear model, in line with systems thinking (Senge, 2006).

4.5 Critical Challenges

- **Scalability:** Expanding localized models like Banco Palmas requires political will, institutional support, and transnational alliances.
- **Measurement:** Standard ESG metrics may fail to capture cultural and ethical outcomes (Raworth, 2017). Humaniza calls for **multi-dimensional indicators** of dignity, empathy, and ecological regeneration.
- **Cultural resistance:** Mainstream finance often resists integrating “non-economic” variables such as empathy. Overcoming this requires a **paradigm shift in values** (Schwab, 2021).
- **Technology risks:** Blockchain and AI can increase transparency but may also centralize control or cause energy-intensive trade-offs if misapplied (Tapscott & Tapscott, 2016).

5. Conclusion

The study sought to integrate eco-humanitarian banking, green finance, storytelling, chess pedagogy, and ethical AI into a unified regenerative model—the **Eco Humaniza Platform**. Building on theoretical foundations and diverse case studies, several key conclusions emerge:

- **Finance as ethical design:**
 - Microfinance and community banks show the power of finance to uplift vulnerable populations (Yunus, 2007; Melo, 2009).
 - Future finance must embed **ethical AI protocols** and sustainability goals to prevent exploitation (Floridi, 2019).
- **Education as transformative force:**
 - Chess and storytelling are not ancillary tools but **central pedagogies of ethical consciousness** (Sala & Gobet, 2017; Bruner, 1991).
 - Empowerment of women through Solar Sister and Barefoot College proves that **education plus narrative reshapes agency** (Ngoasong, 2018; Roy, 2012).
- **Technology as a moral imperative:**
 - AI and blockchain, if ethically governed, can **ensure transparency and fairness** in resource allocation (Jobin et al., 2019; Tapscott & Tapscott, 2016).
 - Without embedded compassion, however, they risk entrenching inequality (Zak, 2012).
- **Systemic insight:**
 - The Eco Humaniza Platform represents **a cycle of regeneration**—finance empowers, education transforms, culture sustains, and technology safeguards.

Final reflection: Humanity's awakening will not be achieved by technology or finance alone. It requires embedding **generosity, ethical governance, and cultural awakening** into every level of systemic design. By uniting financial innovation, educational pedagogy, cultural storytelling, and ethical AI, the Eco Humaniza Platform offers not merely a blueprint for sustainability but a pathway for conscious civilizational evolution.

References

- Bateman, M. (2010). *Why Doesn't Microfinance Work?* Zed Books.
- Bruner, J. (1991). The narrative construction of reality. *Critical Inquiry*, 18(1), 1–21.
- Cassava Industry Lda. (2018). Sustainable bioplastics production from cassava starch. *Noticias do Centro*.
- Floridi, L. (2019). *The Logic of Information*. Oxford University Press.
- Jobin, A., Ienca, M., & Vayena, E. (2019). The global landscape of AI ethics guidelines. *Nature Machine Intelligence*, 1(9), 389–399.
- Melo, J. C. (2009). Community development banks: Lessons from Banco Palmas. *International Journal of Urban Sustainable Development*, 1(1–2), 121–133.
- Ngoasong, M. (2018). How Solar Sister creates women-centred clean energy entrepreneurship. *Energy Research & Social Science*, 42, 45–53.
- Raworth, K. (2017). *Doughnut Economics*. Chelsea Green Publishing.
- Roy, B. (2012). *The Barefoot College: Solutions for Energy and Education*. Random House India.
- Sala, G., & Gobet, F. (2017). Do the benefits of chess instruction transfer to academic and cognitive skills? A meta-analysis. *Educational Research Review*, 18, 46–57.
- Schwab, K. (2021). *Stakeholder Capitalism*. Wiley.
- Senge, P. (2006). *The Fifth Discipline*. Doubleday.
- Tapscott, D., & Tapscott, A. (2016). *Blockchain Revolution*. Portfolio.
- Yunus, M. (2007). *Creating a World Without Poverty*. PublicAffairs.
- Zak, P. J. (2012). *The Moral Molecule*. Dutton.
- Bruner, J. (1991). The narrative construction of reality. *Critical Inquiry*, 18(1), 1–21.
- Creswell, J. W., & Poth, C. N. (2018). *Qualitative Inquiry and Research Design: Choosing Among Five Approaches* (4th ed.). Sage.

- Floridi, L. (2019). *The Logic of Information: A Theory of Philosophy as Conceptual Design*. Oxford University Press.
- Jobin, A., Ienca, M., & Vayena, E. (2019). The global landscape of AI ethics guidelines. *Nature Machine Intelligence*, 1(9), 389–399.
- Lorenz, E. (1993). *The Essence of Chaos*. University of Washington Press.
- Patton, M. Q. (2015). *Qualitative Research & Evaluation Methods* (4th ed.). Sage.
- Sala, G., & Gobet, F. (2017). Do the benefits of chess instruction transfer to academic and cognitive skills? A meta-analysis. *Educational Research Review*, 18, 46–57.
- Senge, P. (2006). *The Fifth Discipline: The Art & Practice of the Learning Organization*. Doubleday.
- Sterman, J. D. (2000). *Business Dynamics: Systems Thinking and Modeling for a Complex World*. Irwin/McGraw-Hill.
- Yin, R. K. (2018). *Case Study Research and Applications: Design and Methods* (6th ed.). Sage.
- Bateman, M. (2010). *Why Doesn't Microfinance Work? The Destructive Rise of Local Neoliberalism*. Zed Books.
- Cassava Industry Lda. (2018). Sustainable bioplastics production from cassava starch. *Noticias do Centro*.
- Khandker, S. R. (2005). Microfinance and poverty: Evidence using panel data from Bangladesh. *World Bank Economic Review*, 19(2), 263–286.
- Melo, J. C. (2009). Community development banks: Lessons from Banco Palmas. *International Journal of Urban Sustainable Development*, 1(1–2), 121–133.
- Ngoasong, M. (2018). How Solar Sister creates women-centred clean energy entrepreneurship. *Energy Research & Social Science*, 42, 45–53.
- Roy, B. (2012). *The Barefoot College: Solutions for energy and education*. Random House India.

- Sala, G., & Gobet, F. (2017). Do the benefits of chess instruction transfer to academic and cognitive skills? A meta-analysis. *Educational Research Review, 18*, 46–57.
- Senge, P. (2006). *The Fifth Discipline: The Art & Practice of the Learning Organization*. Doubleday.
- Yunus, M. (2007). *Creating a World Without Poverty: Social Business and the Future of Capitalism*. PublicAffairs.
- Arendt, H. (1963). *Eichmann in Jerusalem: A Report on the Banality of Evil*. Viking Press.
- Armendáriz, B., & Morduch, J. (2010). *The Economics of Microfinance* (2nd ed.). MIT Press.
- Bruner, J. (1991). The narrative construction of reality. *Critical Inquiry, 18*(1), 1–21.
- Floridi, L. (2019). *The Logic of Information: A Theory of Philosophy as Conceptual Design*. Oxford University Press.
- IPCC. (2021). *Summary for Policymakers, Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis — AR6 Working Group I*. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.
- Jobin, A., Ienca, M., & Vayena, E. (2019). The global landscape of AI ethics guidelines. *Nature Machine Intelligence, 1*(9), 389–399.
- OECD. (2017). *Investing in Climate, Investing in Growth*. OECD Publishing.
- Pironti, V. (2025). *Humanity's Awakening: Integrating Eco-Humanitarian Banking, Green Finance, Chess and Storytelling for a Sustainable Future*. Open University Humaniza.
- Raworth, K. (2017). *Doughnut Economics: Seven Ways to Think Like a 21st-Century Economist*. Chelsea Green Publishing.
- Sala, G., & Gobet, F. (2017). Do the benefits of chess instruction transfer to academic and cognitive skills? A meta-analysis. *Educational Research Review, 18*, 46–57.
- Schwab, K. (2021). *Stakeholder Capitalism: A Global Economy that Works for Progress, People and Planet*. Wiley.

- Senge, P. (2006). *The Fifth Discipline: The Art & Practice of the Learning Organization* (Revised ed.). Doubleday.
- Tapscott, D., & Tapscott, A. (2016). *Blockchain Revolution: How the Technology Behind Bitcoin Is Changing Money, Business, and the World*. Portfolio.
- UNEP Finance Initiative. (2019). *Principles for Responsible Banking*. United Nations Environment Programme.
- Yunus, M. (2007). *Creating a World Without Poverty: Social Business and the Future of Capitalism*. PublicAffairs.
- Zak, P. J. (2012). *The Moral Molecule: The Source of Love and Prosperity*. Dutton.

Open Access This chapter is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits any noncommercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if changes were made.

The images or other third party material in this chapter are included in the chapter's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the chapter's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.

