



# Peer-Review Statements

Lismi Animatul Chisbiyah<sup>1</sup>, \* Siti Zahro<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Universitas Negeri Malang 1

<sup>2</sup> Universitas Surabaya 2

\*Editor-in-Chief of the INNOFATEC. Email: [innofatec.um.ac.id](mailto:innofatec.um.ac.id)

All of the articles in this proceedings volume have been presented at the International Conference on Innovations in Food Science, Culinary Arts, and Fashion Technology (INNOFATEC) 2025 during 1-2 September 2025 in Senggigi, Lombok, Nusa Tenggara Barat, Indonesia. These articles have been peer reviewed by the members of the scientific committee of INNOFATEC and approved by the Editor-in-Chief, who affirms that this document is a truthful description of the conference's review process.

## 1. REVIEW PROCEDURE

The reviews were double-blind. Each submission was examined by 2 reviewer(s) independently. The submitted manuscript will be reviewed first by the Editor in Chief. It will be evaluated whether it is suitable for the scope in International Conference on Innovation in Food Science, Culinary Arts, and Fashion Technology (INNOFATEC). If it meets the requirements, the similarity verification process will be conducted using the Turnitin software. When manuscript have similarity more than 20%, the manuscript is returned to the author for revision and resubmitted it. After the initial screening, they were sent for peer review by matching each paper's topic with the reviewers' expertise. Manuscript will be reviewed by a minimum of 2 reviewers through a double-blind process. The results of the review process are presented as a basis for the editor in making decisions. If the reviewer suggests revisions, it will be sent to the author to make revisions, and the review process will continue until round 2. Acceptance of the submitted articles considers the reviewer's suggestions and recommendations. After the article has been revised correctly, the manuscript will proceed to the production process. Articles will be published after the closing meeting of the Editorial Board. Authors of a rejected submission were given the opportunity to revise and resubmit after addressing the reviewers' comments. The acceptance or rejection of a revised manuscript was final.

## 2. QUALITY CRITERIA

Reviewers were instructed to assess the quality of submissions solely based on the academic merit of their content along the following dimensions.

1. Pertinence of the article’s content to the scope and themes of the conference;
2. Clear demonstration of originality, novelty, and timeliness of the research;
3. Soundness of the methods, analyses, and results;
4. Adherence to the ethical standards and codes of conduct relevant to the research field;
5. Clarity, cohesion, and accuracy in language and other modes of expression, including figures and tables.

In addition, all of the articles have been checked for textual overlap in an effort to detect possible signs of plagiarism by the publisher. All manuscripts submitted to the journal undergo plagiarism detection through Turnitin software. The Similarity limit of the manuscript is max. 20% with max. 3% for each source. Plagiarism limit Manuscripts that are found to be plagiarized during the initial review stages are immediately rejected and not considered for publication. If plagiarism is detected after publication, the Editor-in-Chief will initiate a preliminary investigation, possibly with the assistance of a designated committee. If the manuscript is determined to exceed acceptable plagiarism limits, the journal will inform the author’s affiliated institution and any relevant funding agencies. In cases of confirmed misconduct, the journal will publish a statement linking to the original paper, noting the plagiarism and referencing the plagiarized material. The plagiarized paper will also be clearly marked on each page of its PDF. Depending on the severity of the plagiarism, the paper may be formally retracted.

## 3. KEY METRICS

<i>Total submissions</i>	42
<i>Number of articles sent for peer review</i>	
<i>Number of accepted articles</i>	15
<i>Acceptance rate</i>	35.71%
<i>Number of reviewers</i>	2

#### 4. COMPETING INTERESTS

Neither the Editor-in-Chief nor any member of the Scientific Committee declares any competing interest.

**Open Access** This chapter is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits any noncommercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if changes were made.

The images or other third party material in this chapter are included in the chapter's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the chapter's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.

