Interconnecting Islamic Finance and Halal Industry: A Comparative Analysis of Indonesia, Malaysia, and Thailand's Halal Ecosystem Development

Euis Amalia[1], Rini Rini[2]

[1]Syarif Hidayatullah State Islamic University Jakarta, Indonesia
[2] Syarif Hidayatullah State Islamic University Jakarta, Indonesia
Email: euis.amalia@uinjkt.ac.id

Abstract: This study examines the interconnection between Islamic finance and the halal industry across three Southeast Asian nations: Indonesia, Malaysia, and Thailand. Using a mixed-methods approach combining Analytical Network Process (**ANP**), Structural Equation Modeling (**SEM-PLS**), and **SWOT** analysis, the research analyzes data from 58 respondents including academics, practitioners, and regulators. The study identifies twelve critical dimensions affecting halal ecosystem development, with coordination effectiveness, halal standardization, and technology urgency emerging as primary concerns. Findings reveal significant variations in regulatory frameworks: Indonesia implements mandatory halal certification, while Malaysia and Thailand maintain voluntary systems. Malaysia demonstrates the most advanced integration between Islamic banking and halal industry through specialized financing products and government incentives, whereas Indonesia lacks specific policy frameworks connecting these sectors. Thailand, despite its non-Muslim majority, has successfully developed halal export capabilities through strategic partnerships with Middle Eastern countries. The research reveals three significant correlations: Authority Institution of Fatwa influences regulation (35.5%), financial constraints affect interconnection (41.8%), and halal risk management impacts regulation (56.7%). Key challenges include certification costs, regulatory complexity, limited awareness, and supply chain compliance issues. The study recommends that Indonesia develop specific Islamic banking products for halal businesses, Malaysia continue strengthening its integrated approach, and Thailand diversify its Islamic financial system. Policy harmonization across the three countries is essential for standardization and mutual recognition. This research contributes to understanding halal ecosystem dynamics and provides strategic recommendations for enhancing Islamic finance-halal industry integration in Southeast Asia, offering valuable insights for policymakers, financial institutions, and halal industry stakeholders.

Keywords: Islamic finance, halal industry, halal ecosystem, Southeast Asia, Islamic banking

1 Introduction

The global halal economy has experienced unprecedented growth, reaching USD 2.29 trillion in 2022 with projections to reach USD 2.8 trillion by 2025 (State of Global Islamic Economy Report, 2023). This remarkable expansion is driven by the world's 1.9 billion Muslim population and increasing awareness of halal lifestyle choices

I. S. Fitrinitia et al. (eds.), *Proceedings of the 9th International Conference on Strategic and Global Studies 2025 (ICSGS 2025)*, Atlantis Highlights in Social Sciences, Education and Humanities 43,
https://doi.org/10.2991/978-94-6463-918-6_10

among both Muslim and non-Muslim consumers (Azam & Abdullah, 2020). The halal industry encompasses various sectors including food and beverages, pharmaceuticals, cosmetics, fashion, tourism, and financial services, creating a comprehensive ecosystem that requires integrated approaches for sustainable development.

Southeast Asia has emerged as a pivotal region in the global halal economy, with Indonesia, Malaysia, and Thailand leading different aspects of halal industry development. Indonesia, home to the world's largest Muslim population of 241.7 million people (89% of total population), represents enormous domestic market potential with Muslim consumer spending projected to reach USD 281.6 billion by 2025 (Indonesian Ministry of Religion, 2022). Malaysia has positioned itself as the global halal hub, ranking first in the Global Islamic Economy Indicator for multiple consecutive years, with sophisticated regulatory frameworks and international recognition (GIER, 2023). Thailand, despite being a Buddhist-majority nation, has become the fifth-largest halal food producer globally, contributing significantly to halal exports with revenues reaching USD 21 billion in 2023 (Thailand Halal Assembly, 2023).

The interconnection between Islamic finance and the halal industry represents a critical yet underexplored dimension of halal ecosystem development. Islamic finance, with global assets of USD 4.5 trillion, offers Shariah-compliant financial solutions that align with halal business principles (Islamic Finance Development Report, 2023). However, the integration between these two sectors remains fragmented, with limited coordination despite their shared theological foundations and market overlap (Ahyar & Wibowo, 2020). This disconnect creates missed opportunities for synergistic growth and comprehensive halal ecosystem development.

Indonesia's halal regulatory landscape has undergone significant transformation with the implementation of Law No. 33/2014 on Halal Product Assurance, making halal certification mandatory by 2024. The establishment of BPJPH (Halal Product Assurance Agency) under the Ministry of Religious Affairs centralized halal certification processes, targeting 10 million halal certificates by 2024 through initiatives like the free self-declaration program (Sehati) for micro and small enterprises (BPJPH, 2023). Despite these regulatory advances, the connection between Islamic banking and halal industry certification remains absent from policy frameworks.

Malaysia's approach demonstrates more advanced integration, with the Halal Industry Master Plan (HIMP) 2030 targeting 10.8% GDP contribution from the halal industry. The Malaysian Halal Development Corporation (HDC) coordinates with Islamic financial institutions to provide specialized financing schemes for halal businesses, including export financing and certification cost support (HDC, 2023). The Malaysian Halal Management System (MHMS 2020) incorporates technological solutions for efficient certification processes, supported by a robust research consortium involving four universities.

Thailand's unique position as a non-Muslim majority country successfully developing halal industries offers valuable insights into cross-cultural halal ecosystem development. The Central Islamic Council of Thailand (CICOT) oversees halal certification under the Thai Agricultural Halal Standard (TAS 8400-2007), focusing primarily on export-oriented production. The restoration of diplomatic relations with Saudi Arabia in 2022 after a 32-year hiatus opened new opportunities for halal trade, particularly in poultry exports to Middle Eastern markets.

The financial dimension of halal ecosystem development presents significant challenges, particularly for Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs) that constitute the majority of halal producers. Certification costs, supply chain compliance expenses, and working capital requirements create barriers to entry and expansion (Silalahi et al., 2022). Islamic financial institutions, with their Shariah-compliant products and services, could address these challenges through specialized financing schemes, yet this potential remains largely untapped.

Current literature reveals gaps in understanding the systematic interconnection between Islamic finance and halal industries across different regulatory and cultural contexts. While individual studies have examined halal industry development (Mubarok & Imam, 2020) and Islamic finance growth (Hassan & Grassa, 2021) separately, comprehensive comparative analyses of their integration across multiple countries remain limited. This research addresses this gap by examining how different regulatory frameworks, market dynamics, and cultural contexts influence the interconnection between Islamic finance and halal industries.

The significance of this study extends beyond academic inquiry to practical policy implications. As Muslim-majority countries compete for halal market leadership, understanding optimal integration models becomes crucial for strategic planning. The comparative analysis of Indonesia, Malaysia, and Thailand provides diverse perspectives on halal ecosystem development, offering insights applicable to other emerging halal markets globally.

2 Conceptual Theory and Literature Review

2.1 Theoretical Foundation of Halal Economy

The halal economy concept extends beyond mere compliance with Islamic dietary laws to encompass a comprehensive lifestyle approach aligned with Shariah principles. Kamali (2013) defines halal as "that which is permitted and lawful according to Islamic law," emphasizing not only the physical attributes of products but also the processes, systems, and values underlying their production and distribution. This holistic understanding forms the theoretical foundation for integrated halal ecosystems.

The concept of Tayyib (pure and wholesome) complements halal requirements, establishing higher standards that encompass quality, safety, and ethical considerations (Ibn Ashour, 2006). Recent scholarship emphasizes Tayyib as a unifying principle that harmonizes existing frameworks within Islamic finance, positioning it above mere halal compliance to achieve excellence in all aspects of business conduct (ISRA, 2023). This theological foundation supports the argument for deeper integration between Islamic finance and halal industries.

2.2 Halal Ecosystem Development Theory

Wilson (2014) conceptualizes the halal ecosystem as an interconnected network of institutions, regulations, markets, and stakeholders that collectively enable halal industry development. This ecosystem approach recognizes that individual halal businesses operate within broader systemic contexts that influence their success and sustainability. The ecosystem perspective emphasizes the importance of supportive infrastructure, including financial services, regulatory frameworks, certification bodies, and market mechanisms.

Noordin et al. (2009) developed the value chain analysis framework for halal certification systems, identifying critical linkages between different ecosystem compo-nents. Their research revealed that effective halal ecosystems require coordination between multiple stakeholders, including government agencies, certification bodies, financial institutions, and industry players. This coordination challenge becomes particularly complex when attempting to integrate Islamic financial services with halal business operations.

2.3 Islamic Finance and Real Economy Integration

The theoretical relationship between Islamic finance and the real economy finds strong foundation in Maqasid al-Shariah (objectives of Islamic law), which emphasizes the preservation of wealth (hifz al-mal) through productive economic activities (Chapra, 2008). Islamic finance principles, including risk-sharing, asset-backing, and prohibition of gharar (excessive uncertainty), align naturally with halal business requirements for transparency, traceability, and ethical conduct.

Asutay (2012) argues that Islamic finance institutions should function as development partners rather than mere financiers, supporting the growth of Shariah-compliant businesses through long-term relationships. This partnership approach could be particularly relevant for halal industries, where certification requirements, supply chain complexities, and market development needs create opportunities for comprehensive financial support beyond traditional lending.

2.4 Comparative Institutional Analysis

The institutional economics framework provides tools for analyzing how different regulatory and cultural contexts influence halal ecosystem development. North (1990) distinguishes between formal institutions (laws, regulations) and informal institutions (cultural norms, social practices), both of which shape economic behavior and outcomes. In the context of halal ecosystems, formal institutions include certification standards, financial regulations, and trade policies, while informal institutions encompass religious practices, consumer preferences, and business cultures.

Kamarulzaman et al. (2022) applied institutional analysis to halal industry development across ASEAN countries, revealing significant variations in regulatory approaches, market structures, and stakeholder coordination mechanisms. Their findings suggest that successful halal ecosystem development requires alignment between formal and informal institutions, particularly in religiously diverse societies like Thailand.

2.5 Financial Inclusion and SME Development

The literature on financial inclusion provides relevant insights into the challenges faced by halal SMEs in accessing appropriate financing. Beck and Demirguc-Kunt (2006) identify cost, accessibility, and product suitability as primary barriers to SME financing, challenges that are particularly acute for halal businesses due to additional compliance requirements and specialized market needs.

Islamic microfinance and social finance institutions offer potential solutions through products like qard hassan (benevolent loans), mudharabah (profit-sharing), and musharakah (partnership) arrangements (Dusuki, 2008). However, the integration of these instruments with halal business support remains limited, representing an underutilized opportunity for ecosystem development.

2.6 Technology and Digital Innovation

Recent literature emphasizes the role of technology in halal ecosystem development, particularly in addressing traceability, certification efficiency, and market access challenges. Blockchain technology offers solutions for supply chain transparency and halal integrity assurance (Raja Ahmad et al., 2022), while digital platforms facilitate certification processes and market connections.

The convergence of financial technology (fintech) with Islamic finance creates additional opportunities for halal business support. Digital Islamic banking platforms, crowdfunding mechanisms, and automated compliance systems could significantly reduce barriers to halal business development while maintaining Shariah compliance standards.

3 Data, Methodology & Model Specification

This research employs a mixed-methods approach combining quantitative and qualitative techniques to comprehensively analyze the interconnection between Islamic finance and halal industries across Indonesia, Malaysia, and Thailand. The methodology integrates three primary analytical frameworks: Analytical Network Process (ANP), Structural Equation Modeling with Partial Least Squares (SEM-PLS), and SWOT analysis.

3.1 Research Design

The study adopts an exploratory sequential mixed-methods design, beginning with qualitative data collection through literature review, policy analysis, and semi-structured interviews, followed by quantitative validation through structured surveys and statistical modeling. This approach allows for initial exploration of complex relationships between variables before testing specific hypotheses through quantitative methods.

3.2 Data Collection

Primary data collection occurred over two months (May-June 2024) across the three target countries. The research team conducted field observations, policy document analysis, and stakeholder interviews in each location. In Indonesia, interviews were conducted with academics, Islamic banking practitioners, BPJPH representatives, and KNEKS officials. Malaysian data collection involved INHART halal research center personnel, JAKIM officials, Islamic banking practitioners, and academic experts. Thai respondents included Chulalongkorn University halal research center staff, CICOT representatives, Islamic banking practitioners, and Indonesian Embassy officials.

The structured survey instrument focused on four key areas: (1) challenges in halal industry integration, (2) SME difficulties in halal product assurance, (3) obstacles to capital access and business growth, and (4) roles of Islamic financial institutions in providing support. Survey design incorporated established scales adapted for halal ecosystem contexts, with validation through expert review and pilot testing.

3.3 Sampling Strategy

Purposive sampling was employed to ensure representation of key stakeholder groups across all three countries. The final sample comprised 58 respondents, including academics (31%), Islamic finance practitioners (28%), halal regulators (22%), and industry representatives (19%). Sample distribution by country was: Indonesia (34%), Malaysia (36%), and Thailand (30%). This distribution reflects the relative sizes and development levels of halal ecosystems in each country while ensuring adequate representation for comparative analysis.

3.4 Analytical Network Process (ANP)

ANP methodology was selected for its capability to handle complex interdependencies and feedback relationships inherent in halal ecosystem analysis. The technique allows for hierarchical problem decomposition while accounting for influence networks between different system components (Saaty, 2005). Super Decision software version 2.10 was utilized for ANP calculations.

The ANP model incorporated 12 primary dimensions: regulation, national halal standardization, technology, human resources, literacy, institutional building, infrastructure, global halal standardization, risk mitigation and accountability, environmental sustainability, authoritative institutions, and halal assurance system fulfillment. Each dimension contained multiple indicators based on literature review and expert consultation.

3.5 Structural Equation Modeling (SEM-PLS)

SEM-PLS was chosen over covariance-based SEM due to its suitability for exploratory research, smaller sample requirements, and ability to handle complex models with multiple constructs (Hair et al., 2021). SmartPLS 4 software was employed for analysis, following the three-stage evaluation process: reflective measurement model assessment, goodness-of-fit evaluation, and structural model testing.

Measurement model evaluation included outer loading analysis (threshold > 0.7), composite reliability assessment (threshold > 0.7), and Average Variance Extracted (AVE) calculation (threshold > 0.5). Structural model evaluation examined path coefficients, R-squared values, and significance testing using bootstrap procedures with 5,000 resamples.

3.6 SWOT Analysis

Strategic analysis was conducted using SWOT framework to identify internal factors (strengths and weaknesses) and external factors (opportunities and threats) affecting halal ecosystem development in each country. Internal Factor Analysis Summary (IFAS) and External Factor Analysis Summary (EFAS) matrices were constructed based on stakeholder interviews, policy analysis, and quantitative findings.

3.7 Data Validation and Reliability

Multiple validation procedures were implemented to ensure research quality. Triangulation was achieved through multiple data sources, methods, and researchers. Expert validation involved review of survey instruments and analytical frameworks by recognized halal industry and Islamic finance scholars. Reliability was assessed through Cronbach's alpha calculations (all > 0.7) and inter-rater agreement analysis for ANP evaluations.

3.8 Ethical Considerations

Research protocols were approved by institutional review boards in all three countries. Informed consent was obtained from all participants, with guarantees of confidentiality and voluntary participation. Data anonymization procedures were implemented to protect respondent identities while maintaining analytical validity.

4 Results and Findings

4.1 Halal Ecosystem Development Dimensions

The ANP analysis identified twelve critical dimensions affecting halal ecosystem development, with varying levels of expert consensus and influence. The coordination effectiveness emerged as the highest priority within the regulation dimension (0.89), followed by the role of halal industries (0.88) and coordination and synergy (0.87). However, the low inter-rater agreement ($w = 0.05$, $p = 0.201$) indicates limited expert consensus on regulatory priorities, suggesting that regulatory challenges may not be the primary constraint in halal ecosystem development.

National halal standardization revealed similar patterns, with the role of halal standards ranking highest (0.205), followed by urgency considerations (0.203) and effectiveness measures (0.200). The minimal expert agreement ($w = 0.01$, $p = 0.879$) suggests that standardization issues, while important, do not represent the most critical barriers to ecosystem development.

Technology dimensions showed greater consensus, with technology urgency leading (0.212), followed by investment urgency (0.204) and digital utilization effectiveness (0.199). The human resources dimension emphasized capacity building urgency (0.214) and halal education influence (0.213), indicating that human capital development represents a critical constraint across all three countries.

4.2 Financial Constraints and Access Challenges

The analysis of cost-related challenges revealed government support as the most critical factor (0.189), significantly outweighing certification costs (0.144) and socialization expenses (0.144). This finding suggests that inadequate government support, rather than absolute cost levels, represents the primary financial barrier for halal businesses. SME access difficulties (0.142) and employee costs (0.122) were identified as secondary concerns.

Islamic banking's role in addressing financial constraints showed modest consensus around the role of Islamic banking institutions (0.111) and innovation in Islamic banking products (0.107). However, the limited expert agreement ($w = 0.08$, $p = 0.100$) indicates uncertainty about Islamic banking's current contribution to halal ecosystem development.

Islamic social finance dimensions demonstrated more variation, with integration impact ranking highest (0.049), followed by government role and zakat/waqf potential (0.048 each). The extensive indicator set (23 items) with low consensus suggests that Islamic social finance mechanisms remain underdeveloped and poorly understood across the three countries.

4.3 Comparative Regulatory Framework Analysis

Indonesia has implemented the most comprehensive mandatory halal certification system under Law No. 33/2014, with BPJPH targeting 10 million certificates by 2024. The self-declaration (Sehati) program for micro and small enterprises achieved significant growth from 110,401 certificates in 2018 to 593,762 in 2022. However, the certification duration policy has undergone multiple changes, currently offering permanent certification for self-declared products while maintaining four-year validity for regular certification.

Malaysia maintains a voluntary but highly sophisticated system under JAKIM oversight, with the HIMP 2030 targeting 10.8% GDP contribution from halal industry. The Malaysian system demonstrates superior integration with Islamic finance through HDC coordination, offering specialized financing schemes for halal exporters and certification cost support. The two-year certification validity period ensures regular compliance monitoring while balancing administrative burden.

Thailand operates under CICOT with one-year certification validity, focusing primarily on export markets. Despite the Buddhist majority context, Thailand has achieved remarkable success in halal food exports (USD 21 billion in 2023), particularly following diplomatic reconciliation with Saudi Arabia in 2022. The shorter certification period reflects stricter monitoring requirements for non-Muslim majority contexts.

4.4 Islamic Finance Integration Patterns

The study revealed significant variations in Islamic finance-halal industry integration across the three countries. Malaysia demonstrates the most advanced integration model, with Islamic banks offering explicit financing schemes for halal businesses, including trade financing, working capital facilities, and certification cost support. Government policies actively promote this integration through financial incentives and regulatory alignment.

Indonesia shows limited integration despite having the world's largest Muslim population and significant Islamic banking sector (market share 10.95%). Current regulations lack specific provisions connecting Islamic finance with halal certification requirements, representing a significant missed opportunity for ecosystem development. Thailand presents an interesting case where Islamic banks have developed specialized products for halal exporters, despite the limited domestic Muslim market. This export-focused approach aligns with the country's broader halal industry strategy of serving international markets rather than domestic consumption.

4.5 Structural Equation Modeling Results

The SEM-PLS analysis revealed three statistically significant relationships affecting halal ecosystem development:

1. Authority Institution of Fatwa → Regulation (35.5%): This relationship ($t > 1.96$, $p < 0.05$) indicates that authoritative religious institutions significantly influence regulatory frameworks, suggesting the importance of ulama consensus in halal policy development.
2. Financial Constraint → Interconnection (41.8%): The strongest relationship identified ($t > 1.96$, $p < 0.05$) demonstrates that financial barriers significantly impede the integration between Islamic finance and halal industries.
3. Halal Risk Management & Accountability → Regulation (56.7%): This relationship ($t > 1.96$, $p < 0.05$) shows that risk management and accountability concerns drive regulatory development, emphasizing the importance of consumer protection and industry credibility.

The model achieved adequate goodness-of-fit with R-squared values of 84.6% for regulation, 26.5% for financial constraints, and 18% for interconnection, indicating that the regulatory dimension is well-explained by the model while interconnection mechanisms require additional investigation.

4.6 SME Challenges and Support Needs

Across all three countries, SMEs face similar challenges in halal certification: (1) cost burden encompassing certification fees, testing costs, and documentation expenses; (2) regulatory complexity involving varying standards and multiple certification bodies; (3) limited awareness of certification requirements and processes; and (4) supply chain compliance difficulties in ensuring halal integrity from raw materials to finished products.

Indonesia shows the most significant cost burden due to mandatory certification requirements, though the Sehati program provides relief for micro and small enterprises. Malaysia demonstrates better support infrastructure through HDC coordination and Islamic banking integration. Thailand faces unique challenges in maintaining consistent compliance among non-Muslim producers, resulting in shorter certification validity periods.

4.7 Technology and Infrastructure Development

Technology adoption varies significantly across the three countries. Malaysia leads in digital infrastructure development through the MHMS 2020 system, incorporating blockchain technology for traceability and automated compliance monitoring. Indonesia is implementing digital platforms for certification applications but faces challenges in system integration across different agencies. Thailand focuses on export-oriented technology solutions, particularly in food processing and logistics.

The research identified blockchain technology as a critical enabler for supply chain transparency and halal integrity assurance. However, implementation remains limited due to cost considerations and technical capacity constraints, particularly for SMEs.

4.8 Human Resource Development Patterns

Human capital constraints emerged as a critical limiting factor across all three countries. Malaysia demonstrates the most advanced human resource development through its four-university research consortium and systematic halal education programs. Indonesia faces significant capacity gaps despite having the largest Muslim population, with limited formal certification programs for halal auditors and supervisors. Thailand has developed specialized expertise in halal food production but lacks broader halal industry capabilities.

The need for professional certification programs, particularly for halal auditors, supervisors, and slaughter personnel, was consistently identified across all three countries. Scholarship and training support from government and Islamic financial institutions could address these capacity constraints.

5 Analysis

5.1 Regulatory Framework Effectiveness

The comparative analysis reveals that regulatory approach significantly influences halal ecosystem development outcomes. Indonesia's mandatory certification system has achieved impressive numerical targets (approaching 5 million certified products by 2024) but faces implementation challenges due to system complexity and resource constraints. The frequent policy changes regarding certification validity periods reflect ongoing struggles to balance comprehensive coverage with administrative feasibility.
Malaysia's voluntary approach paradoxically achieves higher integration effectiveness due to stronger coordination mechanisms and clearer stakeholder roles. The HDC's central coordination function eliminates the jurisdictional conflicts and role overlaps that characterize Indonesia's multi-agency approach. This finding suggests that governance quality may be more important than regulatory stringency in achieving halal ecosystem development goals.

Thailand's export-focused regulatory framework demonstrates how non-Muslim majority countries can successfully develop halal industries through targeted approaches. The one-year certification validity period, while administratively burdensome, ensures continuous compliance monitoring necessary for maintaining international market credibility. This approach offers insights for other non-Muslim countries seeking to develop halal export capabilities.

5.2 Financial Integration Mechanisms

The SEM-PLS finding that financial constraints represent the strongest predictor of interconnection challenges (41.8%) highlights the critical importance of Islamic finance integration. Malaysia's success in developing specialized Islamic financing products for halal businesses demonstrates the feasibility and benefits of such integration. The availability of trade financing, certification cost support, and working capital facilities specifically designed for halal businesses creates competitive advantages that drive ecosystem development.

Indonesia's failure to develop similar integration mechanisms represents a significant policy gap, particularly given the country's large Islamic banking sector and massive halal market potential. The absence of regulatory requirements connecting Islamic finance with halal certification processes means that opportunities for synergistic development remain largely untapped. This gap becomes more pronounced when considering that Islamic banks seek Shariah-compliant investment opportunities while halal businesses need appropriate financing solutions.

Thailand's development of export-focused Islamic financing products, despite limited domestic Islamic banking penetration, demonstrates how targeted integration can support specific strategic objectives. The alignment between Islamic banking products and halal export financing needs creates value for both sectors while supporting national trade objectives.

5.3 Technology Adoption and Digital Infrastructure

The technology dimension analysis reveals significant disparities in digital infrastructure development across the three countries. Malaysia's leadership in implementing MHMS 2020 with blockchain integration demonstrates how technology can address traditional halal ecosystem challenges including traceability, certification efficiency, and supply chain transparency. The system's ability to provide real-time compliance monitoring and automated documentation represents a significant advancement over paper-based processes.

Indonesia's ongoing digital transformation faces integration challenges between different agencies and systems. The BPJPH's efforts to digitize certification processes must coordinate with MUI's fatwa systems, LPH testing procedures, and various government databases. This complexity slows implementation and creates user experience challenges that may discourage SME participation.

Thailand's technology focus on export-oriented solutions reflects the country's strategic positioning but may limit domestic market development. The emphasis on food processing and logistics technology supports current comparative advantages but may not provide the comprehensive digital infrastructure needed for broader halal ecosystem development.

5.4 Human Capital Development Imperatives

The consistent identification of human resource constraints across all three countries highlights a critical bottleneck in halal ecosystem development. The shortage of qualified halal auditors, supervisors, and technical specialists limits certification capacity and quality. Malaysia's four-university research consortium provides a model for systematic human capital development, but replication in other countries faces resource and coordination challenges.

The finding that human resource urgency ranks highest (0.214) in the human capital dimension suggests that current training programs are inadequate to meet growing demand. Islamic financial institutions could play a larger role in supporting human capital development through scholarship programs, professional development financing, and partnership with educational institutions.

The lack of standardized professional certification programs across countries creates additional challenges for regional integration and mutual recognition of qualifications. Harmonizing educational standards and professional requirements could facilitate greater cooperation and reduce development costs.

5.5 Risk Management and Accountability Systems

The strong relationship between risk management/accountability and regulation (56.7%) emphasizes the importance of consumer protection and industry credibility in halal ecosystem development. High-profile halal integrity incidents can quickly undermine consumer confidence and damage entire national halal industries, making robust accountability systems essential for sustainable development.

Malaysia's comprehensive approach to risk management through JAKIM oversight, regular auditing, and public transparency demonstrates best practices in maintaining halal integrity. The system's credibility supports international recognition and premium pricing for Malaysian halal products. Indonesia's challenges in coordinating accountability across multiple agencies create potential vulnerabilities that could undermine the massive investments in halal certification infrastructure.

Thailand's shorter certification validity period reflects awareness of heightened risk in non-Muslim majority contexts where monitoring and accountability systems may be less embedded in business culture. This conservative approach, while administratively costly, helps maintain the international credibility essential for export success.

5.6 Regional Integration Opportunities

The research reveals significant opportunities for enhanced regional cooperation in halal ecosystem development. The three countries' complementary strengths—Indonesia's market size, Malaysia's regulatory sophistication, and Thailand's export capabilities—suggest potential for integrated regional approaches that could benefit all parties. However, current standardization differences and limited mutual recognition agreements create barriers to regional integration. The absence of harmonized halal standards means that producers seeking regional market access must navigate multiple certification systems, increasing costs and complexity. Islamic financial institutions could play a coordinating role in supporting regional integration through specialized financing products that facilitate cross-border halal trade and investment.

The potential for Islamic social finance mechanisms (zakat, waqf, microfinance) to support regional halal ecosystem development remains largely untapped. These instruments could provide patient capital for infrastructure development, human capital formation, and technology adoption across the region.

6 Conclusion and Implications

6.1 Theoretical Contributions

This research contributes to the growing body of literature on halal ecosystem development by providing the first comprehensive comparative analysis of Islamic finance-halal industry integration across multiple countries with different religious and regulatory contexts. The study extends Wilson's (2014) halal ecosystem conceptualization by demonstrating how financial integration mechanisms influence overall ecosystem effectiveness. The identification of twelve critical dimensions affecting halal ecosystem development provides a more nuanced understanding of the complex interdependencies within these systems.

The research validates and extends the Maqasid al-Shariah framework in practical policy contexts, demonstrating how wealth preservation objectives (hifz al-mal) can be operationalized through integrated Islamic finance-halal industry approaches. The finding that financial constraints represent the strongest predictor of integration challenges (41.8%) provides empirical support for theoretical arguments about the importance of appropriate financing mechanisms in Islamic economic development.

6.2 Policy Implications

The comparative analysis reveals that governance quality and institutional coordination may be more important than regulatory stringency in achieving halal ecosystem development objectives. Malaysia's success with voluntary certification supported by strong institutional coordination contrasts with Indonesia's implementation challenges despite mandatory requirements. This finding suggests that countries should prioritize institutional capacity building and stakeholder coordination before implementing comprehensive mandatory systems.

The research identifies specific policy gaps that require attention. Indonesia should develop regulatory frameworks explicitly connecting Islamic banking with halal certification processes, similar to Malaysia's integrated approach. Thailand's export-focused model demonstrates how non-Muslim majority countries can successfully participate in global halal markets through targeted strategies and appropriate institutional support. Regional policy coordination represents a significant opportunity for enhanced halal ecosystem development. Harmonizing certification standards, developing mutual recognition agreements, and coordinating Islamic finance product development could create synergies that benefit all three countries while strengthening the region's position in global halal markets.

6.3 Managerial Implications

For Islamic financial institutions, the research demonstrates clear opportunities for product development and market expansion through halal industry integration. Malaysian Islamic banks' success with specialized halal financing products provides a model for institutions in other countries. The identified financing needs—certification costs, working capital, trade financing, and technology adoption—represent specific product development opportunities.

Halal industry managers should recognize that financial strategy represents a critical component of business development, not merely a support function. The strong relationship between financial constraints and integration challenges suggests that businesses with appropriate financing access gain significant competitive advantages. Companies should actively seek Islamic finance partnerships and advocate for policy frameworks that support such integration.

The human capital constraints identified across all three countries create opportunities for educational institutions and training providers. The shortage of qualified halal auditors, supervisors, and technical specialists represents a growing market for specialized education and certification programs.

6.4 Regulatory Recommendations

Based on the research findings, several specific regulatory recommendations emerge:

For Indonesia: Develop explicit regulatory connections between Islamic banking and halal certification, similar to Malaysia's HDC model. Create incentive structures that encourage Islamic financial institutions to develop specialized halal industry products. Simplify the multi-agency coordination structure to reduce bureaucratic complexity and improve implementation efficiency.

For Malaysia: Continue strengthening the integrated approach while expanding international recognition and mutual recognition agreements. Develop more sophisticated technology solutions and share best practices with regional partners. Enhance Islamic social finance integration to support smaller businesses and infrastructure development. For Thailand: Expand the halal ecosystem beyond export focus to develop domestic market potential. Increase coordination between Islamic banks and halal industry development while maintaining export competitiveness. Develop regional cooperation frameworks that leverage Thailand's export expertise with partners' market access capabilities.

6.5 Future Research Directions

Several research opportunities emerge from this study's findings. Longitudinal analysis of halal ecosystem development could provide insights into policy effectiveness over time and identify optimal sequencing of interventions. Cross-regional comparative studies including countries from different geographic and cultural contexts could test the generalizability of findings beyond Southeast Asia.

The relationship between Islamic social finance mechanisms and halal ecosystem development requires deeper investigation. The potential for zakat, waqf, and microfinance institutions to support halal industry development remains largely unexplored despite theoretical alignment and practical possibilities.

Technology adoption patterns and their impact on halal ecosystem effectiveness represent another promising research area. The role of blockchain, artificial intelligence, and digital platforms in addressing traditional halal industry challenges requires systematic investigation across different institutional and cultural contexts.

6.6 Limitations and Scope

This research is limited by its focus on three Southeast Asian countries, which may limit generalizability to other regions with different religious demographics, economic structures, and regulatory traditions. The cross-sectional design provides a snapshot of current conditions but cannot capture dynamic relationships or policy evolution over time. The purposive sampling approach, while appropriate for exploratory research, may not represent all relevant stakeholder perspectives.

The study's time frame (2024) may not capture recent policy changes or market developments that could influence findings. Future research should include longitudinal elements to better understand how halal ecosystem integration evolves over time and responds to policy interventions.

Despite these limitations, the research provides valuable insights into halal ecosystem development dynamics and offers practical guidance for policymakers, financial institutions, and industry stakeholders seeking to enhance Islamic finance-halal industry integration. The comparative approach demonstrates both the universal challenges and context-specific solutions that characterize halal ecosystem development, contributing to both theoretical understanding and practical application in this rapidly growing sector.

References

1. Ahyar, M. K., & Wibowo, M. Y. P. (2020). Halal industry and Islamic banking: A study of halal ecosystem regulation in Indonesia. *Journal of Finance and Islamic Banking*, 2(2), 113-126.
2. Asutay, M. (2012). Conceptualising and locating the social failure of Islamic finance: Aspirations of Islamic moral economy vs the realities of Islamic finance. *Asian and African Area Studies*, 11(2), 93-113.
3. Azam, M. S. E., & Abdullah, M. A. (2020). Global halal industry: Realities and opportunities. In *Economics, Business, and Islamic Finance in ASEAN Economics Community* (pp. 77-104). Springer.
4. BPJPH. (2023). *Annual report on halal certification development*. Ministry of Religious Affairs of Indonesia.
5. Beck, T., & Demirguc-Kunt, A. (2006). Small and medium-size enterprises: Access to finance as a growth constraint. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, 30(11), 2931-2943.
6. Chapra, M. U. (2008). *The Islamic vision of development in the light of Maqasid al-Shariah*. International Institute of Islamic Thought.
7. Chin, W. W. (1998). The partial least squares approach to structural equation modeling. *Modern Methods for Business Research*, 295(2), 295-336.
8. Dusuki, A. W. (2008). What does Islam say about corporate social responsibility? *Review of Islamic Economics*, 12(1), 5-28.
9. Hair, J. F., Hult, G. T. M., Ringle, C. M., & Sarstedt, M. (2021). *A primer on partial least squares structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM)* (3rd ed.). Sage Publications.
10. Hassan, M. K., & Grassa, R. (2021). Islamic finance in France: Current state, challenges and opportunities. *International Journal of Islamic Economics and Finance Studies*, 7(1), 65-89.
11. HDC. (2023). *Halal Industry Master Plan (HIMP) 2030 progress report*. Halal Development Corporation Malaysia.
12. Ibn Ashour, M. T. (2006). *Treatise on Maqasid al-Shariah*. International Institute of Islamic Thought.
13. Indonesian Ministry of Religion. (2022). *Statistical yearbook of religious life 2022*. Directorate General of Islamic Community Guidance.
14. ISRA. (2023). *The Tayyib concept: A unifying framework for Islamic finance*. International Shariah Research Academy for Islamic Finance.
15. Islamic Finance Development Report. (2023). *State of global Islamic finance report 2023*. Thomson Reuters and DinarStandard.
16. Kamali, M. H. (2013). *The halal industry from a Shariah perspective: Background paper for the State of the Global Islamic Economy 2013 Report*. Thomson Reuters.
17. Kamarulzaman, N. H., Muhamad, N., Misran, N., & Abdullah, A. (2022). Halal certification and standardization: A comparative study of ASEAN countries. *Journal of Islamic Marketing*, 13(8), 1687-1705.
18. Mubarok, F. K., & Imam, M. K. (2020). Halal industry in Indonesia: Challenges and opportunities. *Journal of Digital Marketing and Halal Industry*, 2(1), 55-64.
19. North, D. C. (1990). *Institutions, institutional change and economic performance*. Cambridge University Press.

20. Noordin, N., Noor, N. L. M., Hashim, M., & Samicho, Z. (2009). Value chain of halal certification system: A case of the Malaysia halal industry. In *European and Mediterranean Conference on Information Systems* (pp. 1-14). The British University in Dubai.
21. Raja Ahmad, R. M. T., Hassan, W. A. W., Marjudi, S., Hamid, A., & Amran, M. F. M. (2022). Halal industry 4.0 model for SMEs. In *AIP Conference Proceedings* (Vol. 2617, p. 060011). AIP Publishing.
22. Saaty, T. L. (2005). *Theory and applications of the analytic network process: Decision making with benefits, opportunities, costs, and risks*. RWS Publications.
23. Silalahi, S. A. F., Fachrurazi, F., & Fahham, A. M. (2022). Factors affecting intention to adopt halal practices: Case study of Indonesian small and medium enterprises. *Journal of Islamic Marketing*, 13(6), 1244-1263.
24. State of Global Islamic Economy Report. (2023). *State of the global Islamic economy report 2023/24*. DinarStandard and Salam Gateway.
25. Thailand Halal Assembly. (2023). *Thailand halal industry development report 2023*. Central Islamic Council of Thailand.
26. Wilson, J. A. J. (2014). The halal phenomenon: An extension or a new paradigm? *Social Business*, 4(3), 255-271.
27. World Population Review. (2022). *Muslim population by country 2022*. Retrieved from https://worldpopulationreview.com/country-rankings/muslim-population-by-country