



Peer-Review Statements

Altangerel Lkhamsuren^{1,*} Battsengel Baatar¹ Gantuya Ganbat¹

¹ German-Mongolian Institute for Resources and Technology

*Editor-in-Chief of the [RESAT 2025]. Email: altangerel@gmit.edu.mn

All of the articles in this proceedings volume have been presented at the [3rd International Conference on Resources and Technology (RESAT 2025) on June 19-20, 2025] in [Ulaanbaatar]. These articles have been peer reviewed by the members of the [Review Committee] and approved by the Editor-in-Chief, who affirms that this document is a truthful description of the conference's review process.

1. REVIEW PROCEDURE

The reviews were [single-blind]. Each submission was examined by [at least 2] reviewer(s) independently.

The conference submission management system was Meteor.

The submissions were initially screened for quality and suitability. After this initial screening, they were assigned to peer review by matching each paper's topic with the reviewers' expertise, while also considering any competing interests. A paper could only be accepted if it had received favourable recommendations from both reviewers.

Authors of rejected submissions were given the chance to revise and resubmit after addressing the reviewers' comments. The decision to accept or reject a revised manuscript was final.

2. QUALITY CRITERIA

Reviewers were instructed to assess the quality of submissions solely based on the academic merit of their content along the following dimensions:

1. Pertinence of the article's content to the scope and themes of the conference;
2. Clear demonstration of originality, novelty, and timeliness of the research;
3. Soundness of the methods, analyses, and results;

4. Adherence to the ethical standards and codes of conduct relevant to the research field;
5. Clarity, cohesion, and accuracy in language and other modes of expression, including figures and tables.

In addition, all of the articles have been checked for textual overlap in an effort to detect possible signs of plagiarism by the publisher.

3. KEY METRICS

<i>Total submissions</i>	32
<i>Number of articles sent for peer review</i>	28
<i>Number of accepted articles</i>	24
<i>Acceptance rate</i>	75%
<i>Number of reviewers</i>	24

4. COMPETING INTERESTS

Neither the Editor-in-Chief nor any member of the Scientific Committee declares any competing interest.

Open Access This chapter is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits any noncommercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if changes were made.

The images or other third party material in this chapter are included in the chapter's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the chapter's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.

