



The Role of Environmental and Social Accounting in Public Sector Sustainable Finance

Mariana Mariana¹, Anhar Firdaus², Muhammad Arifai³, Diana Diana⁴,
Nursyidah Nursyidah⁵, Yusmika Indah⁶

¹ Politeknik Negeri Lhokseumawe, Aceh, Indonesia
*mariana@pnl.ac.id

Abstract. This study aims to critically evaluate the literature on the role of environmental and social accounting in the public sector in achieving sustainable finance, using the Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) framework and the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) as analytical references. Employing a Systematic Literature Review (SLR) approach, the study examines academic articles published between 2018 and 2025 that focus on sustainability accounting practices in the public sector. A systematic literature search was conducted across reputable databases including Scopus, Web of Science, and ScienceDirect, complemented by additional scholarly sources. Thematic analysis was applied to identify patterns, trends, and gaps in integrating environmental and social factors into public financial systems. The findings reveal notable progress in theory and practice; however, key challenges remain, such as measuring sustainability performance, ensuring stakeholder engagement, and adopting international reporting standards. The study highlights the strategic importance of mainstreaming ESG principles to strengthen transparency, accountability, and sustainable governance. Evidence-based policy and cross-sector partnerships are therefore crucial to building inclusive, adaptive, and resilient public financial systems aligned with global sustainability goals.

Keywords: Sustainability, Public Sector, Environmental Accounting, Social Accounting.

1 Introduction

The ecological crisis caused by excessive exploitation of natural resources has resulted in environmental damage, including deforestation, natural disasters, and declining ecosystem quality, as well as social and economic impacts such as global warming and climate change [1]. Environmental accounting is the process of identifying, measuring, and reporting the environmental impacts of economic activities to promote transparency and sustainability-oriented decision-making [2]. In Indonesia, commitment to environmental accounting is demonstrated through regulatory reforms, including the 2009 Environmental Protection and Management Law [3]. In the public sector, environmental and social accounting strengthens accountability to society for the impacts of government activities. This reporting enhances public trust and supports sustainable finance initiatives, including sharia financial instruments aligned with justice and sustainability principles [4], [5]. The

application of ESG principles and the SDGs is increasingly relevant in this context [6], [7].

Nevertheless, gaps remain in integrating environmental and social accounting into public sector financial systems, particularly in developing countries [8], [9]. Challenges include low sustainability literacy, weak regulatory frameworks, and limitations in technology and funding [10]

2. Literature Review

2.1 Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG)

The ESG framework serves as a tool to assess environmental, social, and governance performance in promoting sustainability [11], [12], [13], [14], [15]. In the public sector, ESG supports alignment with Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs).

2.2 Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)

Achieving SDGs requires sustainable finance that aligns budget allocations and investments with environmental and social priorities [16], [17], [18], supported by socially responsible investment (SRI) and ESG metrics [19]. Public-private partnerships (PPP) and ESG frameworks help mobilize resources and enhance governance [20]. As public funding contributes significantly to SDG implementation [18], effective fiscal management remains essential [21], [22].

2.3 Social and Environmental Accounting

Social and Environmental Accounting (SEA) expands reporting beyond financial outcomes to include social and environmental impacts [23], [24], [25]. SEA supports decision-making by providing sustainability-focused data [26], [27]. Public organizations can demonstrate SDG commitment through SEA [28].

3. Methods

This study employs a Systematic Literature Review using databases such as Scopus, Web of Science, and ScienceDirect. Keywords used include “environmental accounting,” “social accounting,” “public sector sustainability,” “sustainable finance,” “ESG,” and “SDGs.” Inclusion criteria focus on peer-reviewed articles published between 2018–2025 [29], [30], [31], [32].

3.1 Previous Research

Studies highlight accountability and public trust in charities [33], public sector accountability in Ghana [34], and transparency improvements [35]. Professional accountants also play a vital role in democratic accountability [36]. Research on green finance shows improvements in sustainability performance [37], while literacy influences responsible investment [38]. Public perception affects SDG policies [39]. SEA supports public value creation [23], environmental accountability [25], and sustainability reporting [14], while global sustainability standards influence governance [28].

4. Results And Discussion

4.1 Environmental and Social Accounting in the Public Sector

Stakeholder participation is crucial for developing sustainability metrics [40]. Public sector accounting requires contextual approaches due to unique mandates [41]. Sustainability reporting still faces limitations in measuring and communicating impact [42]. IPSAS encourages transparency through accrual-based systems but faces

implementation challenges [43], [44]. Integrated reporting also strengthens communication and trust [45]. Public accountability is linked to trust [33], while sustainability principles support risk management and social responsibility [37], [46].

4.2 Approaches and Key Findings

Research methods vary from qualitative to quantitative, case studies, and conceptual frameworks such as SROI [47], [48], [49], [50], [51]. Studies emphasize ethical values, transparency, and interdisciplinary approaches. Public sector accounting strengthens governance, transparency, and financial accountability [33], [34], [35]. Global sustainable finance discussions highlight regulatory gaps [46].

4.3 ESG Applications in Public Accounting

ESG application influences innovation in Thailand and Korea [52]. Public trust strengthens when accountability is transparent [33]. Achieving SDGs requires stakeholder participation [53]. Accounting provides relevant sustainability data [54]. Challenges remain in developing standardized impact indicators [55]. Green finance supports environmental sustainability [57]. Cross-sector collaboration is essential [58].

4.2 The Role of Environmental and Social Accounting in Sustainable Development

Environmental and social accounting plays a role in aligning public policies with sustainability goals [59]. Community-based efforts support environmental awareness and economic growth [60]. Social accounting empowers communities and supports sustainability [61]. Environmental management accounting improves long-term planning [62]. Public accountability and transparency strengthen trust [33], [34], [35]. Sustainable finance and green finance support environmental and social priorities [37], [56]. Financial literacy encourages sustainable investment [38], [39]. Professional accountants support SDG integration [36], [63].

Conclusion

1. Fundamental challenges remain in measuring sustainability performance and aligning public sector accounting with sustainability objectives, requiring context-specific research and stronger institutional frameworks.
2. Various research approaches highlight the importance of environmental and social accounting as strategic tools for transparent and accountable governance.
3. ESG integration enhances transparency, accountability, and sustainability. Measuring ESG and SDG impacts requires standardized indicators and stakeholder collaboration.
4. Environmental and social accounting reinforces sustainable development through transparency, accountability, and evidence-based decision-making.

References

- [1] N. Zealand, *Public Sector Accounting, Accountability and Governance*. 2018. doi: 10.4324/9781315121727.
- [2] J. Bebbington, J. Unerman, and B. O'Dwyer, *Sustainability Accounting and Accountability: Second Edition*. 2014. doi: 10.4324/9781315848419.
- [3] R. Jones, "Public Sector Accounting," *Keunikan Dalam Mempelajari SROI*, no. August, 2014, doi: 10.4135/9781446263105.
- [4] S. Fauzie, W. A. Pratomo, Sirojuzilam, and D. Ruslan, "The Role of Market Competition in Driving ESG Integration in the ASEAN Banking Sector: An Empirical Analysis," *IOP Conf. Ser. Earth Environ. Sci.*, vol. 1452, no. 1, 2025, doi:

- 10.1088/1755-1315/1452/1/012043.
- [5] V. P. Tai, "General consumer perceptions and their impact on corporate social responsibility (CSR) and environmental, social, and governance (ESG) in Vietnam," *Int. J. Multidiscip. Res. Growth Eval.*, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 912–920, 2024, doi: 10.54660/ijmrge.2024.5.3.912-920.
 - [6] J. E. L. Classification, "Методика оцінки відповідності підприємств ESG-критеріям на мікрорівні," vol. 3, no. 61, 2024.
 - [7] D. Gabhane, "Green HRM and Sustainable Finance : Aligning HR Practices with ESG Goals," vol. 10, 2025.
 - [8] R. Maria and B. Gunawan, "INTEGRATION OF GRC AND ESG IN HOSPITAL RISK," vol. 4, no. 11, pp. 10179–10190, 2024.
 - [9] S. B. Strategies, I. E. S. G. Factors, and L. Growth, "Sustainable Business Strategies: Integrating ESG Factors for Long-Term Growth," pp. 149–164, 2024.
 - [10] X. Miao, "Challenges and Responses to ESG Risk Management," *Mod. Manag. Sci. Eng.*, vol. 6, no. 1, p. p55, 2024, doi: 10.22158/mmse.v6n1p55.
 - [11] R. K. Lutte and J. R. Bartle, "Sustainability in the Air: The Modernization of International Air Navigation," *Public Work. Manag. Policy*, vol. 22, no. 4, pp. 322–334, 2017, doi: 10.1177/1087724X16679845.
 - [12] M. Mudaliyar, A. Sharma, and A. Panja, "Strategies for Reducing Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Promoting Renewable Energy," *J. Univers. Community Empower. Provis.*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 45–51, 2022, doi: 10.55885/jucep.v2i2.207.
 - [13] F. K. Githui and J. W. Njuru, "The Role of Public Management in the Achievement of Sustainable Development Goals," *Int. J. Sci. Bus.*, vol. 31, no. 1, pp. 64–75, 2024, doi: 10.58970/ijsb.2276.
 - [14] D. Greiling, A. A. Traxler, and S. Stötzer, "Sustainability reporting in the Austrian, German and Swiss public sector," *Int. J. Public Sect. Manag.*, vol. 28, no. 4/5, pp. 404–428, Jan. 2015, doi: 10.1108/IJPSM-04-2015-0064.
 - [15] B. Bornemann and M. Christen, "Sustainability governance in public administration: Interpreting practical governance arrangements in Swiss cantons," *Environ. Policy Gov.*, vol. 29, no. 3, pp. 159–169, 2019, doi: 10.1002/eet.1840.
 - [16] T. Busch, R. Bauer, and M. Orlitzky, "Sustainable Development and Financial Markets: Old Paths and New Avenues," *Bus. Soc.*, vol. 55, no. 3, pp. 303–329, 2016, doi: 10.1177/0007650315570701.
 - [17] L. Pories, C. Fonseca, and V. Delmon, "Mobilising finance for WASH: Getting the foundations right," *Water (Switzerland)*, vol. 11, no. 11, 2019, doi: 10.3390/w11112425.
 - [18] Z. Chen, L. Luo, H. Wang, L. Li, and H. Guo, "Pathways for financing the Sustainable Development Goals," *Innov. Geosci.*, vol. 2, no. 1, p. 100051, 2024, doi: 10.59717/j.xinn-geo.2024.100051.
 - [19] L. Widyawati, "A systematic literature review of socially responsible investment and environmental social governance metrics," *Bus. Strateg. Environ.*, vol. 29, no. 2, pp. 619–637, 2020, doi: 10.1002/bse.2393.
 - [20] J. A. A. Pambudi, I. Dayana, D. M. Alfirman, D. Susanto, and R. Widianingrum, "Accelerating Indonesia Sustainable Infrastructure Development through ESG Initiatives in PPP Ecosystem," *IOP Conf. Ser. Earth Environ. Sci.*, vol. 1266, no. 1, 2023, doi: 10.1088/1755-1315/1266/1/012020.
 - [21] E. Salzmann, "Impact of Macroeconomic Factors on ESG (Environmental, Social, and Corporate Governance) – Index performance: empirical study on Eurozone data," vol. 2010, pp. 120–134, 2023, doi: 10.37355/kd-2023-09.
 - [22] H. Ding, "Green finance and firm's ESG behaviors: Bridge the gap between the sustainability and responsibility for financial investment," *Financ. Econ.*, vol. 1, no. 8, 2024, doi: 10.61173/k2jt3j86.

- [23] A. Tommasetti, R. Mussari, G. Maione, and D. Sorrentino, "Sustainability accounting and reporting in the public sector: Towards public value co-creation?," *Sustain.*, vol. 12, no. 5, pp. 1–19, 2020, doi: 10.3390/su12051909.
- [24] L. Hawrysz and J. Foltys, "Environmental aspects of social responsibility of public sector organizations," *Sustain.*, vol. 8, no. 1, 2016, doi: 10.3390/su8010019.
- [25] R. Ibrahim and A. S. Mohamed, "Assessing Public Sector Environmental Accountability Through Performance Audit: The Case of Maldives," *J. Tata Kelola dan Akuntabilitas Keuangan Negara*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 45–63, 2022, doi: 10.28986/jtaken.v8i1.755.
- [26] A. del Villar and M. García-López, "The Potential of Wastewater Reuse and the Role of Economic Valuation in the Pursuit of Sustainability: The Case of the Canal de Isabel II," *Sustain.*, vol. 15, no. 1, 2023, doi: 10.3390/su15010843.
- [27] I. L. Vieira, E. R. Da Silva, and U. A. de O. Mattos, "Public sector social-environmental diagnosis model: Application in a federal autarky, Rio de Janeiro," *Gest. e Prod.*, vol. 27, no. 3, pp. 1–18, 2020, doi: 10.1590/0104-530x4957-20.
- [28] M. Wagner, "Global governance in new public environmental management: An international and intertemporal comparison of voluntary standards' impacts," *Bus. Strateg. Environ.*, vol. 29, no. 3, pp. 1056–1073, 2020, doi: 10.1002/bse.2417.
- [29] D. Tranfield, D. Denyer, and P. Smart, "Towards a Methodology for Developing Evidence-Informed Management Knowledge by Means of Systematic Review," *Br. J. Manag.*, vol. 14, pp. 207–222, 2003, doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-92836-0_28.
- [30] B. Kitchenham, "Procedures for Performing Systematic Reviews," *Keele Univ. Keele*, pp. 1–28, 2004, doi: 10.1145/3328905.3332505.
- [31] V. Braun and V. Clarke, "Using thematic analysis in psychology," *Qual. Res. Psychol.*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 77–101, 2006, doi: 10.1191/1478088706qp0630a.
- [32] D. Moher, L. Stewart, and P. Shekelle, "Establishing a new journal for systematic review products," *Syst. Rev.*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 6–8, 2012, doi: 10.1186/2046-4053-1-1.
- [33] N. Hyndman and D. McConville, "Making charity effectiveness transparent: Building a stakeholder-focussed framework of reporting," *Financ. Account. Manag.*, vol. 34, no. 2, pp. 133–147, 2018, doi: 10.1111/faam.12148.
- [34] A. Seidu, N. Edmund, and O. Amaning, "The Role of Public Sector Accounting on Accountability in Public Institutions in Ghana," *Eur. J. Bus. Manag.*, vol. 14, no. 20, pp. 47–58, 2022, doi: 10.7176/ejbm/14-20-06.
- [35] M. M. Amalia, "Enhancing Accountability and Transparency in the Public Sector: A Comprehensive Review of Public Sector Accounting Practices," *ES Account. Financ.*, vol. 1, no. 03, pp. 160–168, 2023, doi: 10.58812/esaf.v1i03.105.
- [36] R. S. Etim, M. S. Jeremiah, and O. O. Jeremiah, "Accountability in the Public Sector: The Role of Professional Accountants in the Present Democracy in Nigeria," *Eur. J. Bus. Manag. Res.*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 1–5, 2020, doi: 10.24018/ejbmr.2020.5.1.199.
- [37] H. Liu and W. Huang, "Sustainable Financing and Financial Risk Management of Financial Institutions—Case Study on Chinese Banks," *Sustain.*, vol. 14, no. 15, 2022, doi: 10.3390/su14159786.
- [38] O. Yucel, G. Celik, and Z. Yilmaz, "Sustainable Investment Attitudes Based on Sustainable Finance Literacy and Perceived Environmental Impact," *Sustain.*, vol. 15, no. 22, 2023, doi: 10.3390/su152216026.
- [39] L. Strýčková, "Perception and Identification of the General Public with Sustainable Finance Issues," no. 2022, pp. 385–395, 2023, doi: 10.15240/tul/009/lef-2023-42.
- [40] T. B. Ramos *et al.*, "Co-creating a sustainability performance assessment tool for public sector organisations," *J. Clean. Prod.*, vol. 320, no. November 2020, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.128738.
- [41] O. E. Kachkova *et al.*, "Developing the accounting concept in the public sector," *Eur.*

- Res. Stud. J.*, vol. 21, no. 1, pp. 636–649, 2018.
- [42] A. Kaur and S. K. Lodhia, “Key issues and challenges in stakeholder engagement in sustainability reporting,” *Pacific Account. Rev.*, vol. 31, no. 1, pp. 2–18, Jan. 2019, doi: 10.1108/PAR-11-2017-0092.
- [43] L. Schmidhuber, A. Ingrams, and D. Hilgers, “Government Openness and Public Trust: The Mediating Role of Democratic Capacity,” *Public Adm. Rev.*, vol. 81, no. 1, pp. 91–109, 2021, doi: 10.1111/puar.13298.
- [44] S. Verbruggen, J. Christiaens, and K. Milis, “Can resource dependence and coercive isomorphism explain nonprofit organizations’ compliance with reporting standards?,” *Nonprofit Volunt. Sect. Q.*, vol. 40, no. 1, pp. 5–32, 2011, doi: 10.1177/0899764009355061.
- [45] Ü. Päril, E. Paemurru, K. Paemurru, and H. Kivisoo, “Dialogical turn of accounting and accountability integrated reporting in non-profit and public-sector organisations,” *J. Public Budgeting, Account. Financ. Manag.*, vol. 34, no. 1, pp. 27–51, Jan. 2022, doi: 10.1108/JPBAFM-11-2019-0178.
- [46] P. K. Ozili, “Making Sustainable Finance Sustainable,” *SSRN Electron. J.*, 2021, doi: 10.2139/ssrn.3799945.
- [47] N. Hidayah *et al.*, “MENINTEGRASIKAN NILAI ETIKA LINGKUNGAN DALAM AKUNTANSI : TINJAUAN FILSAFAT ILMU DAN,” vol. 21, no. 2022, pp. 334–343, 2024.
- [48] W. Puspitasari and R. N. Dewi, “Analisis Pengaruh Implementasi Sistem Manajemen Akuntansi Berkelanjutan Terhadap Kinerja SDM,” *J. Econ. Bus. UBS*, vol. 12, no. 6, pp. 3425–3437, 2023.
- [49] N. Costari and P. A. Belinda, “Pentingnya Implementasi Akuntansi Sektor Publik Dalam Suatu Instansi Pemerintahan,” *Jamanta J. Mhs. Akunt. Unita*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 58–77, 2021, doi: 10.36563/jamanta_unita.v1i1.421.
- [50] S. N. Pasaribu, Y. S. J. Nasution, and H. Harmain, “Pengelolaan Keuangan Desa Dan Sistem Akuntansi Keuangan Desa Dalam Rangka Meningkatkan Kinerja Pemerintah Desa Sibito,” *J. Ilm. Manajemen, Ekon. Akunt.*, vol. 7, no. 3, pp. 95–111, 2023, doi: 10.31955/mea.v7i3.3307.
- [51] J. Pasaribu, “Study of the Impact of Electronic Administration Policy Implementation and The Use of Artificial Intelligence on Bureaucratic Transformation in the Local Government Environment,” vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 887–903, 2022.
- [52] C. Suchitwarasan, E. Cinar, C. Simms, and M. A. Demircioglu, “Innovation for sustainable development goals: a comparative study of the obstacles and tactics in public organizations,” *J. Technol. Transf.*, pp. 2234–2259, 2024, doi: 10.1007/s10961-024-10101-w.
- [53] Y. K. Dwivedi *et al.*, ““So what if ChatGPT wrote it?” Multidisciplinary perspectives on opportunities, challenges and implications of generative conversational AI for research, practice and policy,” *Int. J. Inf. Manage.*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 2–63, 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2023.102642.
- [54] R. O. Iliemena, “Role of Accountants in Achieving the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGS): Do Accountants Really Matter for Sustainable Development Goals?,” *Eur. J. Bus. Manag.*, vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 14–25, 2023, doi: 10.7176/ejbm/15-2-02.
- [55] Keith L. Whittingham, Alessia Argiolas, Dante I. Leyva-de la Hiz, and Andrew G. Earle, “Mapping Liability of Origin and Mimeticism in MNE Engagement Across the UN Sustainable Development Goals: An Analysis of Sustainability Reports,” *Bus. Soc.*, vol. 64, no. 4, pp. 804–847, Jun. 2024, doi: 10.1177/00076503241255040.
- [56] G. W. Zheng, A. B. Siddik, M. Masukujjaman, and N. Fatema, “Factors affecting the sustainability performance of financial institutions in Bangladesh: The role of green finance,” *Sustain.*, vol. 13, no. 18, pp. 1–27, 2021, doi: 10.3390/su131810165.
- [57] L. Zheng, D. Shepherd, and M. E. Batuo, “Variations in the determinants of regional

- development disparities in rural China,” *J. Rural Stud.*, vol. 82, pp. 29–36, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.jrurstud.2020.08.011.
- [58] T. Landau, “Action and progress on sustainability challenges: case examples from Marriott, Booking and Soneva,” *Worldw. Hosp. Tour. Themes*, vol. 12, no. 5, pp. 563–573, Jan. 2020, doi: 10.1108/WHATT-06-2020-0042.
- [59] L. F. Widyawati, A. Istiqomah, and Y. Yolamalinda, “Perkembangan Penelitian Indikator Kota Berkelanjutan Menggunakan Bibliometric Analysis dan Systematic Literature Review,” *Reksabumi*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 102–115, 2024, doi: 10.33830/reksabumi.v2i2.5313.2023.
- [60] R. H. Susiatiningsih, “#SDGs 5, 12, 17: Perempuan Sebagai Agen Perubahan Penanganan Sampah Rumah Tangga di Desa Jatibogor, Kabupaten Tegal,” *J. Pengabd. Masy. Indones.*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 227–233, 2024, doi: 10.52436/1.jpmi.2040.
- [61] R. Alimi and R. S. Darwis, “Penerapan community based tourism di desa wisata mengarah pada keberlanjutan lingkungan,” *J. Pembelajaran Pemberdaya. Masy.*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 436–443, 2023, doi: 10.33474/jp2m.v4i2.20377.
- [62] D. I. Burhany, “Akuntansi Manajemen Lingkungan, Alat Bantu untuk Meningkatkan Kinerja Lingkungan dalam Pembangunan Berkelanjutan,” *Ekon. dan Keuang.*, vol. 17, no. 80, pp. 279–298, 2012.
- [63] I. Firmansyah, “Peran Akuntan terhadap Implementasi Sustainable Development Goals: Perspektif Akademisi,” *J. Ilm. Akunt. dan Bisnis*, p. 242, 2019, doi: 10.24843/jiab.2019.v14.i02.p09.

Open Access This chapter is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits any noncommercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if changes were made.

The images or other third party material in this chapter are included in the chapter's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the chapter's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.

