



Determinants Affecting Kompas100 Index Companies' Publication of Sustainability Reports (2019–2023)

Felicia Hartono¹ and Henny Wirianata^{2*}

¹ Business and Economy Faculty, Universitas Tarumanagara, Jakarta, Indonesia

² Business and Economy Faculty, Universitas Tarumanagara, Jakarta, Indonesia
hennyw@fe.untar.ac.id

Abstract. Sustainability report disclosure shows that corporations incorporate sustainability into their business strategies as global awareness grows. Sustainability reporting has attracted investors in Indonesia who value financial success and sustainability. Some KOMPAS100 companies still engage in unsustainable activities, and Indonesia's sustainability reporting remains minimal. This study examines sustainability report disclosure criteria using GRI 2021 guidelines. The corporate sustainability reporting disclosure Index—the percentage of GRI 2021 items disclosed—is the dependent variable. Institutional, foreign, managerial, and liquidity ownership are independent variables. The study uses quantitative methods and annual and sustainability report data. The sample comprises KOMPAS100 index companies listed on the IDX from 2019-2023, selected through purposive sampling. The findings from 197 observational data prove that foreign and institutional ownership do not significantly influence sustainability reporting. Managerial ownership has a significant negative effect on sustainability reporting. Liquidity positively influences sustainability reporting.

Keywords: Foreign Ownership, Institutional Ownership, Managerial Ownership, Liquidity, Sustainability Reporting

1. Introduction

As global awareness grows, companies increasingly integrate sustainability into their business strategies [12]. In Indonesia, the past decade has seen rising investor focus on disclosure of sustainability reports, reflecting corporate social and environmental accountability. This shift is evident as investors now consider sustainability factors alongside traditional financial metrics: income statements, statements of financial position, and cash flow reports [10]. Embracing social and environmental responsibility can enhance investor interest and attract capital inflows. Companies must adopt a holistic approach that balances the Triple Bottom Line, which emphasizes profit, people, and planet while acknowledging the economic impact of their operations [9].

Indonesia lags behind other countries in sustainability reporting, with only 72% of companies disclosing reports in 2014, compared to Japan (90%), India (88%), and South Korea (85%) [17]. While reports increased from 110 in 2019 to 154 in 2021, industries and financial sectors still face challenges in adopting sustainable practices. KOMPAS100 corporations, known for their liquidity and market capitalization, have been linked to unsustainable activities, such as PT ABM Investama Tbk's involvement in a tin corruption case [32] and major banks continuing to fund coal projects [33]. Additionally, PT GoTo Gojek Tokopedia Tbk's platform-based mobility contributes

90% of its greenhouse gas emissions [34], and several SOEs, including PT Aneka Tambang Tbk, operate without forestry permits [35].

The dependent variable is sustainability report disclosure index, measured using GRI Standards 2021. This index evaluates the ratio of a company's GRI disclosures to the required sustainability report elements. Sustainability reporting addresses the needs of various external and internal stakeholders while reflecting good corporate governance. Share ownership significantly impacts the comprehensiveness, accuracy, and quality of sustainability reporting [30]. Institutional ownership represents the percentage of shares held by entities such as mutual funds, insurance companies, pension funds, securities firms, and other large investors [22].

As key players in global financial markets, institutional investors are expected to promote transparency, including sustainability report disclosure [23]. High institutional ownership enhances oversight and motivates management to disclose environmental, social, and economic policies [6], offering assurance regarding sustainability practices. However, [21] found that institutional ownership may impede sustainability report disclosure in some instances.

Foreign ownership refers to the percentage of shares owned by individuals or entities outside Indonesia. Companies with foreign ownership often prioritize social responsibility disclosure to build a positive public image [11]. While [19] found that foreign ownership enhances disclosure, [3] reported no significant impact.

Managerial ownership is the percentage of stocks a company's management holds, such as directors and commissioners [26]. Greater managerial ownership is associated with better sustainability disclosure, as it drives managers to be more engaged and accountable, prioritizing long-term impacts over short-term gains [7]. Conversely, [18] argues that managerial ownership may negatively impact sustainability report disclosure.

High liquidity within a company signifies a robust capacity to fulfil short-term debt obligations promptly. As perceived by external parties, a company's credibility is indicated by its high liquidity level, which is bolstered by comprehensive information disclosure [4]. Conversely, research by [29] indicates that liquidity negatively impacts sustainability reports disclosure.

Sustainability report disclosure has been widely studied, yet findings remain inconsistent. This study focuses on companies listed in Kompas100 Index on IDX from August to October 2024, using data from 2019 - 2023.

2. Theoretical Review

2.1 Stakeholder Theory

Stakeholder theory emphasizes that companies should consider not only internal interests but also the concerns of various stakeholders [15]. Stakeholders play a crucial role in corporate sustainability, as their support is essential for long-term success [3]. Sustainability report disclosure demonstrates accountability and commitment to environmental, governance, and social principles, fostering trust and strengthening

stakeholder relationships. Transparent reporting is especially vital amid rising scrutiny from shareholders, creditors, customers, local communities, and environmental activists, enhancing corporate reputation [19].

2.2 Legitimacy Theory

Legitimacy Theory suggests companies seek social acceptance by showcasing their commitment to sustainability [5]. To secure long-term survival, businesses must align with societal norms and respond to stakeholder pressure, particularly from shareholders, by disclosing sustainability reports. This transparency helps gain public trust and reinforces community legitimacy, as failing to meet these expectations can jeopardize a company's reputation and continuity [16].

2.3 Foreign Ownership

Stakeholder theory suggests that foreign ownership, measured by the percentage of stocks owned by foreigners, pushes companies to prioritize social and environmental concerns. Foreign investors often bring stricter corporate social responsibility standards from their home countries, influencing companies to adopt higher disclosure practices [2]. Studies by [1], [18], [19], [21], and [25] show that foreign ownership has a positive and significant impact on sustainability report disclosure.

H1: Foreign ownership has a significant positive influence on sustainability report disclosure.

2.4 Institutional Ownership

Stakeholder theory asserts that institutional investors are crucial in driving corporate social and environmental responsibility. Their strategic influence pushes companies to enhance sustainability disclosures, promoting greater accountability and alignment with stakeholder expectations. Previous studies [2], [13], and [18] found that institutional ownership positively and significantly impacts sustainability report disclosure.

H2: Institutional ownership has a significant positive influence on sustainability report disclosure.

2.5 Managerial Ownership

Managerial ownership acts as a regulatory mechanism that aligns managers' actions with shareholders' interests, especially when managers see themselves as vital to the company's success [14]. Studies by [7], [21], and [31] suggest that managerial ownership can significantly improve sustainability report disclosure. According to the theory of stakeholder, managers with ownership stakes are more driven to meet stakeholder expectations. In line with legitimacy theory, they are more inclined to promote transparency and accountability in environmental and social practices, enhancing the legitimacy of the company.

H3: Managerial ownership has a significant positive influence on sustainability report disclosure.

2.6 Liquidity

Strong financial performance reflects the capability of a company to meet its financial obligations, including short-term dues [16]. High liquidity, indicating a solid financial position, motivates firms to provide more comprehensive disclosures, such as sustainability reports, reassuring stakeholders about their long-term viability and

sustainability commitment [17]. This transparency can further enhance financial performance. Studies by [11], [27], [16], and [25] show that liquidity drives greater transparency in sustainability disclosures.

H4: Liquidity has a significant positive influence on sustainability report disclosure.

The conceptual framework of this study is illustrated in the following figure:




Figure 1. Research Model

3. Research Method

Using a descriptive design, this study analyzes data to map out key traits of objects, events, or situations [28]. It explores relationships between variables to understand specific phenomena, relying on quantitative data from sustainability and annual reports of KOMPAS 100 index companies from 2019 to 2023. The study uses purposive sampling, selecting companies that meet predefined criteria.

Table 1. Sampling Criteria.

Required Criteria	Total
Companies listed in the KOMPAS100 index	100
Companies that conducted IPOs during the research period	(15)
Companies lacking consistent sustainability reporting during 2019-2023	(41)
Companies non-compliant with GRI reporting standards from 2019-2023	(4)
Total companies	40
The observation period from 2019-2023	x 5
Total data obtained	200
Outlier	(3)
The number of company data that meets all the sample selection criteria	197

The research data comprises secondary sources from annual and sustainability reports of the respective firms under study. The validity of the regression model will be assessed through feasibility testing using SPSS version 25.

Table 2. Operational Variable.

Variable	Measurement	Scale	Source
Sustainability Report Disclosure	$SRD Ia = \frac{\sum X ia}{na}$	Ratio	[16]
Foreign Ownership	$FOWN = \frac{\text{Number of shares owned by foreign}}{\text{Number of shares outstanding}}$	Ratio	[21]
Institutional Ownership	$IOWN = \frac{\text{Number of shares owned by institutional}}{\text{Number of shares outstanding}}$	Ratio	[21]
Managerial Ownership	$MOWN = \frac{\text{Number of shares owned by managerial}}{\text{Number of shares outstanding}}$	Ratio	[8]
Liquidity	$CR = \frac{\text{Current Assets}}{\text{Current Liabilities}}$	Ratio	[16]

4. Results and Discussions

4.1 Descriptive Statistics

Table 3. Descriptive Statistics
Source: Processed by SPSS 25

Variables	N1	Min	Max	Mean	Std. Deviation
Foreign Ownership	197	.00	.93	.2787	.30253
Institutional Ownership	197	.00	1.00	.6748	.33181
Managerial Ownership	197	.00	.73	.0220	.11323
Liquidity	197	.22	5.65	1.6058	1.21438
Corporate Sustainability Report Disclosure	197	.12	0.99	.5293	.20767
Observations	197				

The descriptive statistics reveal 197 observations, with foreign ownership ranging from 0% to 93%, averaging 27.87% and a standard deviation of 30.25%. The distribution of institutional ownership is broad (0-100%), centred at 67.48% with a standard deviation of 33.18%, reflecting diverse ownership structures among the sampled firms. Managerial ownership displays considerable variation across firms, from 0% to 73%, with an average holding of 2.2% and a standard deviation of 11.32%, reflecting generally low managerial share ownership. Corporate liquidity varies from 0.22 - 5.65, averaging 1.61, with a standard deviation of 1.21, highlighting differences in short-term financial health. Sustainability disclosure scores range from 0.12-0.99 (Mean = 0.5293, SD = 0.2077), indicating substantial company variability.

4.2 Classical assumption test

Classical assumption tests validate the regression model. The One-Sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test shows normalcy with an Asymp—sig (2-tailed) of 0.064 (>0.05). Heteroscedasticity is absent because all variables regressed against their absolute residuals have Sig—values over 0.05. The Durbin-Watson (DW) score of 1.814, between dU (1.8083) and 4-dU (2.1917), indicates no autocorrelation. Multicollinearity

is also ruled out by VIF values below 10 and tolerance values above 0.10 for all independent variables.

4.3 Regression Test Results

The multiple regression analysis results in the following predictive equation:

$$SRDIa = 0.508 + 0.072FOWN - 0.073IOWN - 0.484MOWN + 0.038CR + \varepsilon \quad (1)$$

The equation shows that the average sustainability report disclosure index is 0.508, even without foreign ownership, institutional ownership, managerial ownership, or liquidity, indicating that companies disclose sustainability information regardless of these factors. A one-unit increase in foreign ownership raises the disclosure index by 0.072, while institutional and managerial ownership decreases it by 0.073 and 0.484, respectively. In contrast, a one-unit rise in liquidity increases the index by 0.038, assuming other variables remain constant.

Simultaneous Test

The ANOVA analysis reveals the model’s strong predictive capability ($p = 0.001 < 0.05$), demonstrating statistically significant effects of all independent variables on the dependent variable.

Partial Test

Table 4. The Result of Hypothesis Testing
Source: Processed by SPSS 25

	Hypothesis	t-Statistics	Prob.	Conclusion
1	Foreign ownership has a significant positive influence on sustainability report disclosure	1.352	0.178	H ₁ rejected
2	Institutional ownership has a significant positive influence on sustainability report disclosure	-1.356	0.177	H ₂ rejected
3	Managerial ownership has a significant positive influence on sustainability report disclosure	-3.543	0.000	H ₃ rejected
4	Liquidity has a significant positive influence on sustainability report disclosure	2.968	0.003	H ₄ accepted

Coefficient of Determination

Table 5. Coefficient of Determination
Source: Processed by SPSS 25

Model	R²	Adjusted R²
1	.308 ^a	.076

The adjusted R² in Table 5 reveals that 7.6% of sustainability disclosure variability is explained jointly by managerial, foreign, and institutional ownership and liquidity, with

the remaining 92.4% being influenced by factors beyond this study. Unexamined elements like government regulations, stakeholder pressure, and corporate culture may significantly impact sustainability reporting, contributing to the low adjusted R Square. This aligns with previous studies showing similarly low values when analyzing a limited number of independent variables, highlighting the need for future research to incorporate additional factors for a more comprehensive understanding.

4.4 Discussions

The Effect of Foreign Ownership on Sustainability Report Disclosure

Hypothesis 1 analysis shows foreign ownership has no significant impact on sustainability disclosure ($p > 0.05$), aligning with findings from [2], [20], and [24]. While foreign shareholders from regions with strong sustainability regulations, like Europe and North America, may encourage better disclosure practices, not all foreign investors come from such backgrounds [20]. In Indonesia, sustainability reporting has been largely voluntary, with mandatory disclosure for financial institutions, public enterprises, and listed companies only taking effect in 2019. However, enforcement was delayed until 2021 due to the COVID-19 pandemic [36]. As these regulations are relatively new, their influence between 2019 and 2023 may not be substantial. Additionally, foreign ownership in the sampled companies is relatively low, limiting foreign investors' ability to influence corporate policy, including sustainability disclosure [24]. Notably, some companies with minimal foreign ownership have improved their sustainability reporting over time, indicating that factors beyond foreign ownership may drive these changes.

The Effect of Institutional Ownership on Sustainability Report Disclosure

The analysis of Hypothesis 2 indicates no statistically significant relationship between institutional ownership and sustainability report disclosure. This aligns with [21] but contradicts findings from [2], [13], and [18]. According to [21], companies with higher institutional ownership tend to disclose less sustainability information, possibly due to differing investment goals. Short-term institutional investors often prioritize immediate financial returns and may perceive sustainability initiatives as costs that reduce short-term profitability. In contrast, long-term institutional investors, such as pension funds, may value corporate reputation and sustainability. Multiple low-institutional-ownership firms increased sustainability reporting, suggesting a more significant impact from external pressures (government, communities, activists) than from institutional shareholders.

The Effect of Managerial Ownership on Sustainability Report Disclosure

The test of the third hypothesis indicates that managerial ownership negatively affects sustainability report disclosure, aligning with [13] and [18]. Higher ownership among directors and board members reduces sustainability transparency, indicating an imbalance in stakeholder prioritization where shareholder financial interests dominate broader societal concerns, particularly in South Asia [18]. Contrary to Stakeholder Theory, which suggests that increased managerial ownership aligns managers with stakeholder interests, this study finds that greater ownership leads to diminished sustainability transparency, implying a focus on personal or short-term benefits. Firms with high managerial ownership showed minimal improvements in reporting, while

those with low or no ownership demonstrated significant progress, underscoring the need for governance reforms to enhance sustainability accountability.

The Effect of Liquidity on Sustainability Report Disclosure

Testing the final hypothesis reveals that liquidity significantly enhances sustainability report disclosure, consistent with findings from [11], [16], and [27]. Organizations with improved liquidity demonstrated more significant increases in sustainability reporting, as higher liquidity enables firms to allocate more resources to sustainability initiatives and transparency efforts. Financially robust companies face more significant pressure from investors and regulators to disclose sustainability activities, given their capacity to prioritize long-term commitments. Stakeholder Theory suggests that strong liquidity facilitates high-quality transparency that builds stakeholder trust, whereas Legitimacy Theory posits that CSR reporting serves dual purposes of image enhancement and social legitimacy preservation. Strong liquidity ensures operational stability and signals the ability to commit resources to sustainability, reinforcing stakeholder trust in the company's ethical and environmental practices.

5. Conclusions and Suggestions

This study concludes that foreign ownership has an insignificant positive effect on sustainability report disclosure, while institutional ownership has a negative yet insignificant impact. In contrast, managerial ownership exhibits a negative and significant effect, as higher ownership may lead managers to prioritize short-term profitability over sustainability transparency. Liquidity, however, demonstrates a positive and significant influence, enabling firms to allocate resources to sustainability initiatives and meet stakeholder expectations. The study's limitations include the sample size, limited independent variables, and the five-year timeframe (2019–2023) focusing on Kompas100 Index firms. Future research should incorporate additional variables, extend the study period to identify long-term trends, and account for external factors like the pandemic. Qualitative approaches could uncover deeper motivations behind sustainability disclosure decisions while expanding the sample beyond the Kompas100 Index would provide broader insights into sustainability reporting practices across Indonesia's capital market sectors.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST. The researchers affirm no competing interests related to this study.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. The author thanks everyone who helped finish this journal, including academics, practitioners, and institutions, for contributing data and resources for this study. The author also thanks academic advisors' advice and support throughout the study. Thank you to everyone who helped publish this journal. This work strives to provide readers with helpful information.

References

- [1] Amidjaya, P. G., & Widagdo, A. K. (2020). Sustainability reporting in Indonesian listed banks: Do corporate governance, ownership structure and digital banking matter? *Journal of Applied Accounting Research*, 21(2), 231–247. <http://doi.org/10.1108/JAAR-09-2018-0149>
- [2] Andesto, R., Ahnan, Z. M., & Saebani, A. (2022). Does the Owner Characteristic Affect Sustainability Disclosure and Firm ' s Performace ? (Empirical Evidence From the Indonesia Stock Exchange). *Dinasti International Journal of Management Science*, 4(1),

- 90–100. <http://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.31933/dijms.v4i1>
- [3] Anggarsari, A., & Prasajo. (2022). Relationship between Financial Performance and Ownership Structure on Sustainability Disclosure in SRI-KEHATI Index Firms. *Journal of Accounting Inquiry*, 3(1), 15–32. <http://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.14421/jai.2024.3.1.001-009>
- [4] Ariyani, A. P., & Hartomo, O. D. (2018). Analysis of Key Factors Affecting the Reporting Disclosure Indexes of. *International Journal of Business, Economics and Law*, 16(1), 15–25.
- [5] Deegan, C. (2002). Introduction: The legitimising effect of social and environmental disclosures – a theoretical foundation. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 15(3), 282–311. <http://doi.org/10.1108/09513570210435852>
- [6] Delfy, & Bimo, I. D. (2021). Institutional Ownership and Disclosure of Sustainability Report with Environmental Uncertainty as Moderation Variables. *Accounting Analysis Journal*, 10(2), 143–149. <http://doi.org/10.15294/aa.j.v10i2.45731>
- [7] Deliar, A. A., & Meiden, C. (2020). Pengaruh Good Corporate Governance dan Kinerja Keuangan Terhadap Pengungkapan Sustainability Report Perusahaan Perbankan yang Terdaftar di Bursa Efek Indonesia Periode 2018-2020. *Jurnal Akuntansi Kwik Kian Gie*, 1–19. Diambil dari <http://eprints.kwikkiangie.ac.id/3384/10/resume.pdf>
- [8] Du, S., & Ma, L. (2022). Non-actual controllers and corporate innovation: Evidence from China. *China Journal of Accounting Research*, 15(3), 100253. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.cjar.2022.100253>
- [9] Elkington, J. (1997). *Cannibals with Forks: The triple bottom line of 21st century business* (Vol. 1). Capstone Publishing Limited.
- [10] Farhana, S., & Adelina, Y. E. (2019). Relevansi Nilai Laporan Keberlanjutan Di Indonesia. *Jurnal Akuntansi Multiparadigma*, 10(3), 615–628. <http://doi.org/10.21776/ub.jamal.2019.10.3.36>
- [11] Fatihah, I. U., Purwohedi, U., & Gurendrawati, E. (2024). Pengaruh Kepemilikan Manajerial, Kepemilikan Asing, dan Likuiditas terhadap Pengungkapan Laporan Keberlanjutan. *Jurnal Akuntansi, Perpajakan dan Auditing*, 4(2), 576–594. <http://doi.org/10.21009/japa.0402.14>
- [12] Gomez-trujillo, A. M., & Gonzalez-perez, M. A. (2021). Digital transformation as a strategy to reach sustainability. *Smart and Sustainable Built Environment Article*, (June). <http://doi.org/10.1108/SASBE-01-2021-0011>
- [13] Hasan, A., Hussainey, K., & Aly, D. (2022). Determinants of sustainability reporting decision: evidence from Pakistan Determinants of sustainability reporting decision: evidence. <http://doi.org/10.1080/20430795.2021.1964813>
- [14] Hidayat, W. W., Widayastuti, T., & Prasetyo, E. T. (2024). The Influence of Ownership Structure on Sustainability Report Disclosure in Indonesian Consumer Goods Companies: The Mediating Role of Firm Size. *Journal of Logistics, Informatics and Service Science*, 11(8), 251–267. <http://doi.org/10.33168/jliss.2024.0815>
- [15] Karlina, W., Mulyati, S., & Putri, T. E. (2019). the Effect of Company's Size, Industrial Type, Profitability, and Leverage To Sustainability Report Disclosure. *JASS (Journal of Accounting for Sustainable Society)*, 1(1), 32. <http://doi.org/10.35310/jass.v1i01.68>
- [16] Karundeng, M. G., & Salim, S. (2023). Factors Influencing Sustainability Report Disclosure On Mining Companies Listed In Idx. *International Journal of Application on Economics and Business (JJAEB)*, 1(3), 1038–1049. <http://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.24912/ijaeb.v1.i3.1038-1049>
- [17] Laskar, N. (2018). Impact of corporate sustainability reporting on firm performance: an empirical examination in Asia. *Journal of Asia Business Studies*, 12(4), 571–593. <http://doi.org/10.1108/JABS-11-2016-0157>
- [18] Masud, A. K., Nurunnabi, M., & Bae, S. M. (2018). The effects of corporate governance on environmental sustainability reporting: empirical evidence from South Asian countries. *Asian Journal of Sustainability and Social Responsibility*, 3(3), 1–26.

- <http://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1186/s41180-018-0019-x>
- [19] Nguyen, A. H., & Nguyen, L. H. (2020). Determinants of sustainability disclosure: Empirical evidence from vietnam. *Journal of Asian Finance, Economics and Business*, 7(6), 73–84. <http://doi.org/10.13106/JAFEB.2020.VOL7.NO6.073>
- [20] Nugraheni, P., Indrasari, A., & Hamzah, N. (2022). The Impact of Ownership Structure on CSR Disclosure: Evidence from Indonesia. *Journal of Accounting and Investment*, 23(2), 229–243. <http://doi.org/10.18196/jai.v23i2.14633>
- [21] Nuhu, Y., & Alam, A. (2024). Ownership structure and sustainability reporting : emerging economies evidence. *International Journal of Accounting & Information Management*, 32(5), 883–908. <http://doi.org/10.1108/IJAIM-09-2023-0228>
- [22] Pirzada, K., Mustapha, M. Z. Bin, & Wickramasinghe, D. (2015). Firm Performance, Institutional Ownership and Capital Structure: A Case of Malaysia. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 211, 170–176. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2015.11.025>
- [23] Qasem, A., AL-Duais, S. D., Wan-Hussin, W. N., Bamahros, H. M., Alquhaif, A., & Thomran, M. (2022). Institutional Ownership Types and ESG Reporting: The Case of Saudi Listed Firms. *Journal MDPI*, 14(18), 1–23. <http://doi.org/10.3390/su141811316>
- [24] Rahmat, K. (2022). Pengaruh Kinerja Keuangan, Implementasi Good Corporate Governance dan Struktur Kepemilikan terhadap Pengungkapan Sustainability Report. *ACCOUNTHINK: Journal of Accounting and Finance*, 7(02), 223–236. <http://doi.org/10.35706/acc.v7i2.7223>
- [25] Razaq, A. G., & Ame, J. (2023). Effect of Corporate Attributes on Sustainability Reporting of Listed Non- financial Firms in Nigeria. *FUDMA JOURNAL OF ACCOUNTING AND FINANCE RESEARCH [FUJAFR]*, 1(2), 156–170. <http://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.33003/fujaf-2023.v1i2.46.156-170> Abstract
- [26] Rivandi, M. (2020). Pengaruh Struktur Kepemilikan Terhadap Pengungkapan Corporate Social Responsibility Pada Perusahaan High Profile di BEI. *Akuntabilitas: Jurnal Ilmu Akuntansi*, 13(2), 205–220. <http://doi.org/10.15408/akt.v13i2.17336>
- [27] Ruhana, A., Hidayah, N., & Buana, U. M. (2020). The Effect of Liquidity , Firm Size , and Corporate Governance Toward Sustainability Report Disclosures. *Journal Atlantis Press*, 120(Icmeb 2019), 279–284. <http://doi.org/10.2991/aebmr.k.200205.048>
- [28] Sekaran, U. & Bougie, R. (2016). *Research Methods for Business: A Skill Building Approach*. 7th Edition. New Jersey: Wiley.
- [29] Sonia, D., & Khafid, M. (2020). The Effect of Liquidity , Leverage , and Audit Committee on Sustainability Report Disclosure with Profitability as a Mediating Variable. *Accounting Analysis Journal*, 9(2), 95–96. <http://doi.org/10.15294/aa.v9i2.31060>
- [30] Trisnawati, R., Wardati, S. D., & Putri, E. (2022). The Influence of Majority Ownership, Profitability, Size of the Board of Directors, and Frequency of Board of Commissioners Meetings on Sustainability Report Disclosure. *Riset Akuntansi dan Keuangan Indonesia*, 7(1), 94–104. <http://doi.org/10.23917/reaksi.v7i1.17783>
- [31] Tyasa, E. F., & Taqwa, S. (2023). Pengaruh Kepemilikan Manajerial, Media Visibility dan Umur Perusahaan terhadap Pengungkapan Sustainability Report pada Perusahaan yang Terdaftar di Bursa Efek Indonesia Tahun 2018 – 2022. *Jurnal Nuansa Karya Akuntansi*, 1(3), 287–299. <http://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.24036/jnka.v1i3.41>
- [32] Emitennews Website, <https://emitennews.com/news/abm-investama-abmm-akui-ada-kontrak-kerja-dengan-harvey-moeis>, last accessed 2025/02/15
- [33] Tempo Website, <https://www.tempo.co/ekonomi/riset-icw-dan-trend-asia-6-perusahaan-batu-bara-terima-rp-163-triliun-dari-bank-nasional-26958>, last accessed 2025/02/18
- [34] Insight Kontan Website, <https://insight.kontan.co.id/news/antar-sesuai-titik-goto-menuju-target-tiga-nol-di-2030>, last accessed 2025/02/23
- [35] Industri Kontan Website, <https://industri.kontan.co.id/news/semen-indonesia-hingga-antam-masuk-list-perusahaan-tak-berizin-di-bidang-kehutanan>, last accessed 2025/02/23
- [36] PWC Website, <https://www.pwc.com/id/en/media-centre/press-release/2023/indonesian-trend-dan-arrah-sustainability-report-indonesia-di-masa-mendatang.html>, last accessed 2025/02/27

Open Access This chapter is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits any noncommercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if changes were made.

The images or other third party material in this chapter are included in the chapter's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the chapter's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.

