Peer-Review Statements

Kinanti Wijaya[1*], Muhammad Dominique Mendoza[2], Olnes Yosefa Hutajulu[3], Tansa Trisna Astono Putri[4]

[1,2,3,4] Universitas Negeri Medan, Medan, Indonesia

[*]Editor-in-Chief of the 7th ACEIVE. Email: kinanti.w@unimed.ac.id

All articles included in this proceedings volume were presented at the 7th Annual Conference of Engineering and Implementation on Vocational Education (ACEIVE 2025), held on 11 November 2025 at Universitas Negeri Medan (UNIMED). Each manuscript underwent a peer-review process coordinated by the International Scientific Review Committee of ACEIVE 2025 and was subsequently approved by the Editor-in-Chief, who confirms that this volume accurately reflects the conference's review and selection procedures.

1. REVIEW PROCEDURE

The reviews were single-blind. Each submission was examined by 2 reviewers independently. The submissions were first screened for generic quality and suitableness. After the initial screening, they were sent for peer review by matching each paper's topic with the reviewers' expertise, taking into account any competing interests. A paper could only be considered for acceptance if it had received favourable recommendations from the two reviewers.

Authors of a rejected submission were given the opportunity to revise and resubmit after addressing the reviewers' comments. The acceptance or rejection of a revised manuscript was final.

© The Author(s) 2026
K. Wijaya et al. (eds.), *Proceedings of the 7th Annual Conference of Engineering and Implementation on Vocational Education (ACEIVE 2025)*, Advances in Social Science, Education and Humanities Research 1003,
https://doi.org/10.2991/978-2-38476-557-7_1

2. QUALITY CRITERIA

Reviewers were instructed to assess the quality of submissions solely based on the academic merit of their content along the following dimensions:

1. Pertinence of the article's content to the scope and themes of the conference;
2. Clear demonstration of originality, novelty, and timeliness of the research;
3. Soundness of the methods, analyses, and results;
4. Adherence to the ethical standards and codes of conduct relevant to the research field;
5. Clarity, cohesion, and accuracy in language and other modes of expression, including figures and tables.

In addition, all of the articles have been checked for textual overlap in an effort to detect possible signs of plagiarism by the publisher. The reviewer also checked all of the research paper with Turnitin.

3. KEY METRICS

Total submissions	121
Number of articles sent for peer review	42
Number of accepted articles	20
Acceptance rate	16,52 %
Number of reviewers	5

Open Access This chapter is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits any noncommercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if changes were made.

The images or other third party material in this chapter are included in the chapter's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the chapter's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.