



Peer-Review Statements

Kannimuthu Subramaniam^{1,*}

¹ Karpagam College of Engineering, India

*Editor-in-Chief of the [IWADIC]. Email: kannimuthu@kce.ac.in

All of the articles in this proceedings volume have been presented at the [IWADIC] during [12.26-12.28, 2025] in [Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia]. These articles have been peer reviewed by the members of the [Scientific Committee] and approved by the Editor-in-Chief, who affirms that this document is a truthful description of the conference's review process.

1. REVIEW PROCEDURE

The reviews were [double-blind]. Each submission was examined by [2] reviewer(s) independently.

[Process of review:

The proceeding adheres to a rigorous, double-blind peer review process to ensure academic quality and ethical standards. Key steps are outlined below:

1.Manuscript Allocation: Within one week after submission, the Editor-in-Chief assigns each manuscript to 2–3 independent reviewers with expertise aligned to the paper's topic. Reviewers must declare no conflicts of interest (e.g., collaborations, institutional affiliations, or financial ties with authors) prior to participation.

2.Initial Screening (1 week): Reviewers conduct a preliminary check for compliance with formatting guidelines, structural completeness (abstract, methods, results, etc.), and thematic relevance. Manuscripts failing to meet basic standards are desk-rejected, with detailed feedback provided to authors.

3.In-Depth Evaluation (3 weeks): Reviewers assess the manuscript's originality, methodological validity, data integrity, and contribution to the field. Evaluations include:

A categorical rating (*Accept/Minor Revision/Major Revision/Reject*)

Constructive comments for improvement

A confidential recommendation to the Editor

4. Decision-Making & Conflict Resolution: The Editor consolidates reviews and resolves discrepancies through panel discussions. Final decisions prioritize both scholarly rigor and a target acceptance rate of [40%], which balances selectivity with support for emerging research. Borderline manuscripts may undergo additional review or statistical validation.

5. Author Notification: Authors receive a decision within 1-week post-review, including anonymized reviewer comments. Accepted manuscripts proceed to production; others may be invited to resubmit after revision (2-month revision window).

6. Revisions & Appeals: Revised manuscripts are re-evaluated within 2 weeks. Authors may appeal decisions with a point-by-point rebuttal, which triggers an independent audit by the Editorial Board.]

2. QUALITY CRITERIA

Reviewers were instructed to assess the quality of submissions solely based on the academic merit of their content along the following dimensions

1. Originality: Is this article relevant to the subject coverage of the conference?
2. Motivation: Does the problem considered have a sound motivation?
3. Repetition: Have significant parts of the manuscript already been published?
4. Content: Does the article include the following parts: Author information, Abstract, Keywords, Introduction, Methods and Materials, Conclusions, References
5. Conclusion: Could the research contribute to relevant fields?
6. Reference: Is the reference adequate, necessary and well cited?
7. Language: Are the presentation and language accurate and concise or still needs further improvement?
8. Diagrams, figures, tables and captions: Are they essential and clear?

In addition, all of the articles have been checked for textual overlap in an effort to detect possible signs of plagiarism by the publisher.

3. KEY METRICS

<i>Total submissions</i>	238
<i>Number of articles sent for peer review</i>	213
<i>Number of accepted articles</i>	101
<i>Acceptance rate</i>	47.4%

Number of reviewers 10

4. COMPETING INTERESTS

Neither the Editor-in-Chief nor any member of the Scientific Committee declares any competing interest.

Open Access This chapter is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits any noncommercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if changes were made.

The images or other third party material in this chapter are included in the chapter's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the chapter's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.

