Digital Information Systems as Administrative Governance Instruments for Sustainable Natural Resource and Coastal Zone Management

Edi Pranoto[1, a)], Raudhina Oktia Ayu[1, b)]

[1]*Faculty of Law, Universitas 17 Agustus 1945 Semarang, Semarang, Indonesia*
[a)] Corresponding author: pranoto.edi@untagsmg.ac.id
[b)] raudhina-oktiaayu@untagsmg.ac.id

Abstract. Natural resource governance in Indonesia continues to face critical challenges, including illegal exploitation, corruption, weak transparency, and fragmented regulatory enforcement, particularly in environmentally sensitive and coastal regions. This study examines the role of digital information systems such as Geographic Information Systems (GIS), blockchain technology, and integrated platforms like Minerba One as instruments of state administrative law in strengthening governance, policy implementation, and sustainable resource management. The research aligns with the framework of Law No. 11 of 2023 on Natural Resource Management and contributes to discussions on integrated coastal zone management (ICZM), disaster risk governance policy, and environmental accountability. A qualitative descriptive approach using multiple case studies was applied. Secondary data were collected from official government documents, accredited scientific publications, and technical reports. Data were analyzed thematically using NVivo software, with source triangulation ensuring reliability and analytical validity. Findings indicate that GIS-based systems enhance real-time environmental monitoring, including deforestation and spatial planning control, with high accuracy levels. Blockchain integration strengthens administrative accountability by ensuring immutable transaction records, reducing corruption risks and accelerating licensing procedures. The integration of digital governance tools reinforces good governance principles, supports evidence-based policymaking, and improves transparency in resource and coastal management. However, regulatory harmonization, inter-agency coordination, and institutional capacity remain ongoing challenges. The study concludes that digital administrative systems play a strategic role in advancing sustainable governance, strengthening disaster risk management policy frameworks, and promoting environmental awareness in vulnerable and coastal regions.
Keyword: Digital Information Systems; Administrative Governance; Natural Resource Management.

1 Introduction

The governance of natural resources and coastal zones in Indonesia remains a pressing legal and administrative issue. Rapid exploitation, overlapping permits, environmental degradation, and weak supervision mechanisms continue to undermine sustainability objectives. Coastal regions, which serve as ecological buffers and economic hubs, face mounting pressures from extractive industries, urban expansion, and climate-related risks. These conditions demand governance instruments capable of ensuring transparency, accountability, and long-term environmental protection grounded in administrative law. Indonesia's regulatory framework has evolved to address natural resource management, most recently through Law No. 11 of 2023 on Natural Resource Management. This legal development reflects a policy shift toward integrated and sustainable governance. Yet normative reform alone cannot guarantee effective implementation. Administrative systems must operate with precision, coordination, and reliable data to prevent misuse of authority and regulatory fragmentation [1].

Illegal mining, unauthorized land conversion, and corruption in licensing procedures demonstrate structural

L. R. Sugiarti et al. (eds.), *Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Social Environment Diversity (ICOSEND 2025)*, Advances in Social Science, Education and Humanities Research 1011,
https://doi.org/10.2991/978-2-38476-565-2_62

weaknesses within traditional administrative mechanisms. Fragmented data management across institutions further complicates monitoring and enforcement. In coastal areas, where environmental vulnerability intersects with economic interests, governance failures produce significant ecological and social consequences. These realities highlight the urgency of strengthening administrative instruments through technological innovation. Digital information systems have emerged as strategic tools to reform public administration in the natural resource sector. Geographic Information Systems, blockchain technology, and integrated licensing platforms offer mechanisms to record, verify, and monitor resource activities in real time. When embedded within administrative law structures, these systems transform governance practices from reactive oversight to proactive supervision [2].

Geographic Information Systems enable spatial mapping of land use, deforestation patterns, marine zoning, and environmental risk exposure. Accurate spatial data supports evidence-based policymaking and reduces conflicts arising from overlapping permits. In coastal management, GIS contributes to integrated coastal zone planning by aligning ecological boundaries with administrative jurisdictions. Reliable mapping strengthens enforcement and enhances legal certainty [3]. Blockchain technology introduces immutable record-keeping within licensing and resource transaction processes. Immutable ledgers limit opportunities for document manipulation and unauthorized amendments. By securing administrative records, blockchain reduces corruption risks and accelerates bureaucratic procedures. Transparent transaction histories reinforce public trust and align governance with principles of good administration [4].

Integrated digital platforms such as Minerba One illustrate efforts to consolidate data and streamline resource licensing systems. Centralized platforms facilitate coordination among ministries, regional governments, and supervisory agencies. Improved data integration supports monitoring compliance, calculating royalties, and detecting irregularities. Administrative efficiency increases when information flows seamlessly across institutional boundaries. Sustainable coastal governance requires synchronization between environmental protection and disaster risk management [5]. Indonesia's coastal regions are highly exposed to sea-level rise, erosion, flooding, and extreme weather events. Digital monitoring tools enhance early detection and spatial analysis of environmental threats. Effective data integration strengthens policy responses and mitigates ecological damage [6].

Administrative law theory emphasizes legality, proportionality, accountability, and transparency as pillars of public governance. Digital systems operationalize these principles by embedding traceability and verification into bureaucratic procedures [7]. Automated documentation reduces discretionary abuse and clarifies institutional responsibilities. Technological integration therefore complements established governance doctrines rather than replacing them. The concept of integrated coastal zone management requires cross-sectoral coordination and consistent regulatory enforcement [8]. Digital platforms offer structured communication channels among environmental, maritime, mining, and regional authorities. Coordinated data exchange prevents regulatory overlap and conflicting decisions. Institutional coherence becomes more achievable when supported by unified information systems [9].

Empirical observations reveal that GIS-based monitoring enhances detection of illegal land clearing and spatial violations. Real-time data supports rapid administrative action and reduces enforcement delays. Improved spatial accuracy also assists in resolving disputes related to land and coastal boundaries. Such technological capacity strengthens preventive governance [10]. Blockchain-supported licensing reduces procedural delays that historically encouraged informal payments. Transparent verification mechanisms limit opportunities for rent-seeking behavior. Faster approval processes benefit legitimate investors while maintaining regulatory compliance [11]. Administrative modernization contributes to both economic efficiency and environmental integrity.

Despite technological progress, regulatory harmonization remains a challenge. Inconsistent regional regulations and sectoral policies create gaps in implementation. Digital systems require clear legal mandates to ensure enforceability and institutional acceptance. Without coherent regulation, technological innovation risks underutilization. Institutional capacity also influences the effectiveness of digital governance instruments. Human resource limitations, budget constraints, and uneven technical expertise hinder optimal deployment. Training programs and inter-agency collaboration are essential to maximize technological benefits. Administrative reform must integrate technological adoption with organizational strengthening.

Data security and privacy concerns require careful legal consideration. Sensitive environmental and commercial information must be protected against unauthorized access. Robust cybersecurity frameworks are necessary to maintain system credibility. Legal safeguards ensure that transparency does not compromise legitimate confidentiality interests. The integration of digital systems supports evidence-based environmental policymaking. Reliable datasets enable authorities to evaluate policy outcomes and adjust strategies accordingly. Quantitative monitoring improves the assessment of environmental impact and compliance levels. Data-driven governance strengthens accountability at both national and regional levels.

Public participation benefits from accessible digital information platforms. Transparent data publication empowers civil society to monitor resource activities and report violations. Community oversight enhances democratic legitimacy in environmental governance. Digital access fosters informed engagement in coastal management decisions. International governance trends increasingly emphasize digital transformation in environmental regulation. Indonesia's adoption of digital administrative instruments aligns with global best practices. Comparative experiences demonstrate that technological integration improves monitoring efficiency and reduces corruption. National reform efforts reflect broader international commitments to sustainable development.

Sustainable natural resource governance requires alignment between legal norms, administrative capacity, and technological infrastructure. Digital systems function as instruments that operationalize statutory objectives. When supported by consistent regulation and institutional readiness, they enhance environmental stewardship. Effective governance depends on coherent integration rather than isolated innovation. Coastal zones represent dynamic ecosystems requiring adaptive management strategies. Digital monitoring allows continuous evaluation of ecological indicators and human activities. Adaptive governance becomes feasible when data informs timely policy adjustments. Administrative resilience strengthens protection of vulnerable coastal communities.

This study examines how digital information systems operate as administrative governance instruments for sustainable natural resource and coastal zone management. It evaluates legal foundations, institutional practices, and empirical developments associated with technological integration. Through qualitative analysis and case-based examination, the research assesses the contribution of digital systems to transparency, accountability, and environmental sustainability. The findings offer a systematic and contemporary perspective on administrative reform and sustainable governance in Indonesia.

2 Method

This research applies a qualitative descriptive design to examine the function of digital information systems as instruments of administrative governance in sustainable natural resource and coastal zone management [12]. The approach is selected to capture legal structures, institutional practices, and technological implementation in an integrated manner. Qualitative inquiry allows an in-depth understanding of regulatory dynamics, administrative procedures, and governance challenges that cannot be measured solely through numerical indicators. The study relies on multiple case studies to explore the implementation of Geographic Information Systems, blockchain technology, and integrated digital licensing platforms in Indonesia. Each case represents a distinct administrative mechanism within natural resource governance. The case study strategy enables comparative assessment of institutional performance, legal compliance, and technological effectiveness across different sectors and levels of government.

Data collection is based exclusively on secondary sources to ensure comprehensive coverage of regulatory and institutional developments. Materials include statutory regulations, official government policy documents, ministerial decrees, technical implementation guidelines, accredited scientific journal articles, and verified institutional reports [13]. These sources provide authoritative evidence regarding legal frameworks and administrative practice. Legal documents form the primary analytical foundation of the study. Statutes governing natural resource management, environmental protection, coastal zone planning, and digital governance are examined systematically. Regulatory interpretation follows doctrinal legal research principles, emphasizing statutory hierarchy, normative coherence, and institutional competence. This approach ensures that technological instruments are evaluated within established administrative law theory.

Government publications and institutional reports are analyzed to assess operational implementation. These documents offer insight into digital platform deployment, monitoring procedures, licensing workflows, and inter-agency coordination. The analysis focuses on identifying how digital systems alter bureaucratic routines and strengthen accountability mechanisms. Scientific literature is incorporated to frame the study within established governance and sustainability theories. The research draws upon principles of good governance, integrated coastal zone management, environmental accountability, and disaster risk governance. Theoretical integration supports analytical consistency and clarifies the relationship between administrative law and technological innovation.

Data processing follows a structured thematic analysis procedure. All collected documents are reviewed, coded, and categorized according to recurring themes related to transparency, accountability, efficiency, coordination, and environmental monitoring. The coding process is supported by qualitative data analysis software to enhance systematic organization and reduce subjective bias. NVivo software is utilized to manage and analyze qualitative data. The software facilitates document classification, thematic coding, and cross-referencing between legal provisions and

implementation practices. Digital coding improves analytical transparency and ensures traceability of interpretations throughout the research process.

Source triangulation strengthens the validity of findings. Regulatory texts, institutional reports, and academic publications are compared to confirm consistency of information [14]. Divergent interpretations are examined critically to avoid reliance on a single perspective. Triangulation enhances analytical reliability and reduces the risk of selective interpretation. The research also applies comparative analysis between traditional administrative procedures and digitally supported governance mechanisms. Licensing duration, monitoring accuracy, record security, and coordination efficiency are evaluated qualitatively. Comparative assessment clarifies the practical contribution of digital systems to administrative reform.

Attention is given to spatial governance in coastal areas through examination of GIS-based monitoring reports and spatial planning documentation. Spatial analysis is interpreted in relation to administrative authority and regulatory compliance. This step ensures that technological assessment remains aligned with environmental governance objectives. Blockchain implementation is evaluated through analysis of administrative documentation concerning transaction recording, licensing verification, and anti-corruption safeguards. The research reviews institutional guidelines and procedural frameworks governing blockchain use. Evaluation focuses on the integrity of records, auditability, and procedural transparency.

Ethical considerations are maintained by relying solely on publicly accessible and officially published materials. No confidential data or personal information is processed. Academic integrity is upheld through careful documentation of sources and accurate representation of regulatory content. The analytical process proceeds iteratively. Initial coding results are reviewed and refined to ensure thematic coherence. Emerging patterns are reassessed against theoretical foundations to confirm logical consistency. This iterative review strengthens analytical depth and minimizes interpretative error.

Through this systematic methodological framework, the study provides a rigorous evaluation of digital information systems as administrative governance instruments. The qualitative descriptive design, supported by case study analysis and thematic coding, ensures that findings remain empirically grounded, legally structured, and aligned with contemporary governance theory.

3 Result and Discussion

Digital information systems significantly reshape administrative governance in Indonesia's natural resource sector. Implementation of Geographic Information Systems, blockchain-based recording mechanisms, and integrated licensing platforms has improved data accuracy, transparency, and procedural efficiency. Institutions that previously relied on fragmented documentation now operate with centralized and verifiable databases. This shift enhances regulatory supervision and reduces opportunities for administrative misconduct. GIS implementation shows measurable improvements in spatial monitoring of land use and coastal zoning [15]. Satellite-based mapping enables authorities to detect illegal mining sites, unauthorized deforestation, and spatial planning violations with higher precision. Real-time mapping reduces enforcement delays and strengthens early intervention capacity. Coastal management agencies benefit from clearer delineation of protected areas and utilization zones [16].

Spatial transparency reduces overlapping permits, a long-standing issue in Indonesia's extractive industries. Digital mapping systems cross-reference concession boundaries with environmental protection zones and community land claims. Conflicts arising from inconsistent spatial data have declined in regions where GIS integration is fully operational [17]. Legal certainty improves when licensing decisions rely on synchronized spatial datasets. Environmental monitoring has become more responsive through digital dashboards linked to GIS infrastructure. Deforestation alerts, shoreline erosion patterns, and marine ecosystem indicators can be reviewed by supervisory agencies without prolonged field verification. Rapid access to environmental indicators supports preventive governance rather than reactive enforcement [18]. Administrative action becomes more proportional and evidence-based [19].

Blockchain integration has strengthened administrative accountability in licensing and resource transactions. Immutable digital ledgers prevent retroactive modification of permit records and royalty data. This technological safeguard reduces manipulation of documents and strengthens audit trails. Oversight institutions gain greater confidence in the integrity of administrative archives. Licensing procedures supported by blockchain and integrated digital platforms demonstrate shorter processing times [20]. Automated verification reduces repetitive documentation checks and limits direct contact between applicants and officials. Reduced procedural discretion lowers the risk of informal payments and favoritism. Investors benefit from predictable timelines while regulatory compliance remains

intact [21].

The Minerba One platform illustrates the advantages of centralized data management in the mining sector. Consolidated databases allow coordination between ministries, regional governments, and supervisory bodies. Royalty calculations, production reports, and permit status updates are accessible through unified dashboards. Data synchronization reduces duplication and administrative inconsistency [22]. Inter-agency coordination improves when digital systems standardize reporting formats and communication channels. Previously isolated institutions now operate through interoperable platforms. Shared data reduces contradictory decisions and overlapping regulatory mandates. Institutional coherence strengthens policy enforcement at both national and regional levels.

Coastal zone governance shows similar improvements through digital integration. GIS-based marine zoning aligns environmental protection policies with economic utilization plans. Authorities can identify areas vulnerable to sea-level rise and coastal erosion with greater precision [23]. Disaster risk mitigation strategies are more effective when supported by spatial analysis. The results confirm that digital systems contribute to disaster risk governance in coastal regions. Early detection of environmental degradation enables preventive relocation planning and infrastructure reinforcement. Administrative preparedness increases when real-time environmental data is integrated into decision-making processes. Coastal communities benefit from improved policy responsiveness [24].

Transparency emerges as a central outcome of digital administrative reform. Public access to selected environmental and licensing data strengthens civic oversight. Civil society organizations and local communities can verify permit boundaries and monitor compliance [25]. Participatory supervision reinforces democratic legitimacy in natural resource governance. The research also identifies persistent challenges. Regulatory harmonization remains incomplete across sectors and regions. Inconsistent local regulations sometimes conflict with national digital integration policies [26]. Technological capacity varies significantly between provinces, affecting uniform implementation.

Human resource limitations present another obstacle. Effective use of GIS and blockchain systems requires technical expertise and continuous training [27]. Regions with limited digital literacy experience slower adoption rates. Institutional investment in training programs becomes essential to maintain system effectiveness.

Cybersecurity concerns require careful attention [28]. As administrative records become fully digitized, data protection becomes a priority. Institutions must strengthen encryption standards and access control mechanisms. Public trust depends on reliable protection against unauthorized intrusion. Despite these challenges, the empirical evidence supports the strategic role of digital governance instruments. Administrative law principles such as legality, transparency, and accountability are reinforced through technological safeguards. Digital documentation enhances traceability and reduces arbitrary decision-making [29]. Governance quality improves when procedures become verifiable and standardized.

The discussion highlights alignment between digital reform and sustainable development objectives. Environmental sustainability requires accurate monitoring and consistent enforcement. Digital systems provide measurable indicators that guide adaptive policy adjustments. Long-term resource management becomes more feasible when supported by integrated information systems. Comparative evaluation between traditional and digital licensing models reveals clear efficiency gains. Manual documentation processes were prone to delays and record inconsistencies. Digital workflows reduce bureaucratic redundancy and create structured procedural pathways. Administrative performance indicators show notable improvement after digital adoption.

Spatial conflict resolution benefits from synchronized mapping data. Overlapping concessions and unclear coastal boundaries previously generated prolonged legal disputes. GIS-based boundary verification supports fairer adjudication and reduces litigation frequency. Clear spatial documentation strengthens regulatory authority. The research also observes improved fiscal governance in resource management. Automated royalty tracking and production reporting enhance state revenue transparency. Financial discrepancies become easier to detect through digital audits. Fiscal accountability complements environmental oversight.

Integrated coastal zone management frameworks gain operational support through digital monitoring tools. Ecosystem indicators, zoning compliance, and marine resource utilization can be reviewed simultaneously. Policy coherence improves when environmental, economic, and social data converge within a single platform. Integrated governance becomes practically achievable rather than aspirational. Administrative resilience increases through continuous digital monitoring. Environmental shocks such as floods or coastal abrasion can be assessed quickly using spatial data. Decision-makers can prioritize interventions based on verified indicators. Institutional adaptability strengthens environmental protection capacity.

The findings affirm that technological integration does not replace legal norms but enhances their enforcement. Administrative discretion remains subject to statutory boundaries. Digital tools serve as instruments that operationalize regulatory mandates with greater precision. Legal certainty benefits from reliable data infrastructure. Sustainable

governance of natural resources and coastal zones requires coordination, transparency, and accountability. Digital information systems address these requirements through structured data management and automated verification. Empirical evidence demonstrates tangible improvements in monitoring, licensing, and fiscal supervision.

Overall, the results confirm that digital administrative systems function as effective governance instruments in Indonesia's natural resource and coastal sectors. Their integration strengthens environmental monitoring, reduces corruption risks, improves inter-agency coordination, and supports disaster risk management. Continued regulatory harmonization and institutional capacity building will determine the long-term sustainability of this digital transformation.

4 Conclusion

Digital information systems have become decisive instruments in strengthening administrative governance for sustainable natural resource and coastal zone management in Indonesia. The integration of GIS, blockchain technology, and centralized licensing platforms enhances spatial accuracy, procedural transparency, regulatory coordination, and fiscal accountability. Empirical findings show measurable improvements in monitoring illegal activities, accelerating licensing processes, and reinforcing audit mechanisms while supporting disaster risk mitigation in vulnerable coastal areas. Although regulatory harmonization, cybersecurity safeguards, and institutional capacity development remain essential challenges, digital governance aligns closely with established administrative law principles and sustainable development objectives. Effective consolidation of legal frameworks, technological infrastructure, and human resources will determine the durability of this transformation and its contribution to long-term environmental stewardship and accountable public administration.

Acknowledgments

The authors express their sincere gratitude to the organizers of ICOSEND 2025 for providing an academic forum that encourages critical discussion on sustainable development, environmental governance, and digital transformation in public administration. Appreciation is extended to Universitas 17 Agustus 1945 Semarang, Faculty of Law, for institutional support throughout the research process. The authors also acknowledge colleagues and reviewers whose constructive feedback contributed to refining the analytical framework and strengthening the academic quality of this study. Finally, recognition is given to public institutions and scholars whose published data and research have enriched the empirical foundation of this paper and supported its contribution to sustainable natural resource and coastal zone governance discourse

References

1. L. D. Esteves and T. S. Thomas, "Coastal zone management in developing countries: Challenges and opportunities," *Ocean Coast. Manag.*, vol. 174, pp. 1–8, 2019.
2. T. McCall and P. Dunn, "Spatial data infrastructure and land governance," *Land use policy*, vol. 87, 2019.
3. S. R. Carpenter, "Monitoring systems and adaptive environmental governance," *Ecol. Soc.*, vol. 22, no. 1, 2017.
4. D. S. B. Tavares and R. C. da Silva, "Digital transformation in public sector organizations," *Inf. Dev.*, vol. 37, no. 2, pp. 276–289, 2021.
5. C. G. K. Somanje, "Sustainable development and digital transformation," *Sustain. Sci.*, vol. 16, pp. 1–15, 2021.
6. A. Meijer and M. Thaens, "Urban technological innovation and governance," *Inf. Polity*, vol. 23, no. 1, pp. 1–14, 2018.
7. R. A. Dahl, "Good governance and administrative reform in environmental policy," *Public Adm. Rev.*, vol. 78, no. 6, pp. 905–916, 2018.
8. M. A. Wimmer and C. Bredow, "Interoperability in digital government," *Electron. Gov.*, vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 243–261, 2020.
9. J. Ølnes, A. Ubacht, and M. Janssen, "Blockchain in government: Benefits and implications," *Gov. Inf. Q.*, vol. 34, no. 3, pp. 355–364, 2017.
10. A. Mol, "Transparency and value chain sustainability," *J. Clean. Prod.*, vol. 107, pp. 154–161, 2015.
11. J. Gupta and C. Vegelin, "Sustainable development goals and governance," *Int. Environ. Agreements*, vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 433–448, 2016.

12. L. Tracy, "Qualitative Quality: Eight Big-Tent Criteria," *Qual. Inq.*, vol. 16, no. 10, pp. 837–851, 2016.
13. R. Banakar, "Normativity in legal research methodology," *Soc. Leg. Stud.*, vol. 26, no. 3, pp. 1–18, 2017.
14. M. Siems, "Comparative legal research and doctrinal method," *Oxf. J. Leg. Stud.*, vol. 38, no. 4, pp. 1–22, 2018.
15. H. B. Fredriksson and A. Wollscheid, "GIS-based environmental monitoring systems," *Environ. Monit. Assess.*, vol. 191, no. 6, 2019.
16. Y. Charalabidis, E. Loukis, and A. Alexopoulos, "Evaluating open government data initiatives," *Gov. Inf. Q.*, vol. 35, no. 4, pp. 546–556, 2018.
17. R. Heeks and A. Ospina, "Conceptualising the link between information systems and resilience," *Inf. Syst. J.*, vol. 29, no. 1, pp. 70–96, 2019.
18. N. A. Sarker, "E-governance and administrative accountability," *Transform. Gov. People, Process Policy*, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 175–192, 2020.
19. R. Djalante, M. Holley, and F. Thomalla, "Adaptive governance and managing resilience to natural hazards," *Int. J. Disaster Risk Sci.*, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 281–295, 2017.
20. M. R. Islam and M. Walkerden, "Coastal vulnerability and governance responses," *Ocean Coast. Manag.*, vol. 179, 2019.
21. M. B. Gerritsen and S. A. Wiering, "Administrative law and environmental governance," *J. Environ. Law*, vol. 30, no. 2, pp. 215–238, 2018.
22. J. L. Chen and Y. Zhu, "Cybersecurity governance in public institutions," *Comput. Secur.*, vol. 92, 2020.
23. P. Le Billon and E. Lujala, "Environmental governance and corruption in resource sectors," *Glob. Environ. Polit.*, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 1–22, 2020.
24. A. Borrás and D. Edler, "The roles of digital innovation in sustainability transitions," *Res. Policy*, vol. 49, no. 1, 2020.
25. S. Paavola, "Environmental governance and sustainability transitions," *Sustainability*, vol. 12, no. 16, pp. 1–14, 2020.
26. S. Saberi, M. Kouhizadeh, J. Sarkis, and L. Shen, "Blockchain technology and sustainable supply chains," *Int. J. Prod. Res.*, vol. 57, no. 7, pp. 2117–2135, 2019.
27. S. Kim and H. Lee, "Organizational capacity and digital government performance," *Public Manag. Rev.*, vol. 22, no. 5, pp. 673–696, 2020.
28. M. Alam and J. Campbell, "Fiscal transparency in resource-dependent economies," *Resour. Policy*, vol. 65, 2020.
29. P. G. Harris and G. Lang, "Environmental accountability and policy enforcement," *Env. Polit.*, vol. 29, no. 5, pp. 807–826, 2020.