Digital Death Investigation: Uncovering Limitations in Virtual Autopsy and Pathways to Innovation

Arul Darshini A[1]* Intamil Karthika S[1] Iyda Jenifer D[1]
[1]Division of Criminology and Science,
Karunya Institute of Technology and Sciences, (Deemed University),
Karunya Nagar, Coimbatore - 641 114, Tamil Nadu, India

E-mail: aruldarshinia@karunya.edu.in*, intamilkarthikas@karunya.edu.in,
iydajenifer@karunya.edu

Abstract:

Virtual autopsy, employing post-mortem computed tomography (PMCT), post-mortem magnetic resonance imaging (PMMRI), and AI-assisted digital pathology, represents a major advancement in forensic medicine by enabling non-invasive, rapid, and reproducible post-mortem evaluations. Despite its increasing application, several limitations restrict its ability to fully replace traditional autopsy. Virtual autopsy exhibits reduced sensitivity for subtle soft-tissue lesions, early inflammatory processes, diffuse organ pathology, and microscopic changes that require histological confirmation. PMCT is limited by beam-hardening and metal artefacts, challenges in detecting vascular injuries without PMCTA, and difficulty in differentiating antemortem from post-mortem artefacts. PMMRI, while superior for soft-tissue visualization, remains time-consuming, expensive, and technically demanding, with reduced efficacy in decomposed bodies. Additionally, injuries such as minor lacerations, early myocardial infarction, pulmonary embolism, and infections may remain occult without invasive sampling.Operational barriers include high infrastructural cost, need for continual calibration, dependence on trained radiologists and forensic pathologists, and limited availability in low-resource regions. Machine-learning and digital pathology tools offer enhanced diagnostic capabilities but are constrained by small forensic datasets, lack of standardized imaging protocols, potential algorithmic bias, and medico-legal uncertainties regarding evidentiary admissibility. Moreover, virtual findings alone are insufficient in homicide cases, where courts continue to demand corroboration through classical dissection, toxicology, and histopathology.Thus, while virtual autopsy serves as a powerful adjunct and effective screening tool—especially in trauma cases, mass disasters, infectious-risk situations, and culturally sensitive contexts—it currently cannot substitute the diagnostic completeness of invasive autopsy. Ongoing advancements in PMCTA, AI-driven analytics, and integrated digital pathology are essential to overcoming these limitations and strengthening its medico-legal reliability.

Keywords: Virtual autopsy, digital pathology, machine learning, limitations, medico-legal, post-mortem angiography.

D. R. Reddy et al. (eds.), *Proceedings of the First International Conference on Advances in Forensics and Cyber Technologies (ICFACT 2025)*, Advances in Computer Science Research 127,
https://doi.org/10.2991/978-94-6239-610-4_50

1. Introduction

Postmortem examination remains vital in forensic science, yet the use of traditional autopsy has declined due to cultural objections, emotional concerns, legal issues, and limited specialist availability. These challenges have encouraged the adoption of less invasive methods such as virtual autopsy, which employs PMCT, PMMRI, and PMCTA to visualize internal structures without dissection. Virtopsy preserves the body's integrity, provides high-resolution images of fractures, vascular injuries, and foreign bodies, and is often preferred in communities where conventional autopsy is restricted. Although it performs well in assessing bone and vascular lesions, it still cannot fully replace histology for soft-tissue and microscopic evaluation. Its value is especially evident in mass disasters, infectious outbreaks, and pediatric cases where traditional autopsy is frequently declined. This review aims to compare the diagnostic accuracy, limitations, and practical utility of virtopsy and conventional autopsy, highlighting how both can be integrated to strengthen medico-legal investigations [1].

Forensic imaging uses medical imaging technologies to address forensic questions through non-invasive examination. Virtual autopsy, or virtopsy, has gained attention as an alternative to traditional dissection, using PMCT, PMCTA, and PMMRI to determine cause of death and support identification while preserving the body. Its relevance increased during the COVID-19 pandemic by reducing infection risks in postmortem work. As its use grows, it is important to assess whether virtopsy can replace conventional autopsy or function mainly as a complementary tool. This review evaluates its applications, limitations, and overall role in modern forensic medicine [2].

Virtual autopsy represents a contemporary, non-invasive approach to forensic investigation, using imaging modalities such as CT and MRI to assess internal findings after death. These technologies generate detailed 3D visualizations that support accurate documentation, reconstruction, and analysis without the need for surgical dissection. As its use expands, virtual autopsy has become an important complement to traditional methods in forensic practice. This paper reviews its evolution, current forensic applications, and emerging advancements, while also considering the challenges and limitations of post-mortem imaging [3].

Digital pathology, including whole-slide imaging and AI-assisted analysis, is transforming forensic histopathology by enabling high-resolution imaging, remote collaboration, and more accurate interpretation. While it enhances diagnostic efficiency and evidence preservation, its adoption is still limited by financial, technical, and legal challenges. This review explores the progress, benefits, and constraints of digital pathology in modern medico-legal investigations [4].

Determining the cause of death is central to forensic pathology, yet traditional autopsies remain limited by their invasive nature and cultural constraints. Emerging techniques—such as virtual autopsy, biochemical analysis, AI-assisted evaluations, and genetic testing—offer more precise, non-invasive alternatives for postmortem investigations. This article reviews these modern innovations, their applications, and the challenges involved in integrating them into forensic practice [5].

2. Objectives of the Paper

The main objectives of this paper are:

1. To trace the development and current advances in virtual autopsy technologies.
2. To evaluate global trends and compare them with the Indian context.
3. To identify major scientific, technical, legal, and operational limitations associated with virtual autopsy.
4. To outline potential innovations and future pathways that can strengthen virtual autopsy as a reliable forensic tool.
5. To propose recommendations for integrating digital methods with conventional autopsy practices.

3. Methodology:

The study conducted a structured review of scientific articles published between 2015 and 2023 in English and Portuguese, with additional relevant works outside this range included when necessary. Only human-based forensic studies in medicine and forensic radiology were considered, while hospital-based research was excluded. Literature from textbooks and legal medicine references was also examined. Searches were performed in PubMed using specific MeSH terms ("postmortem imaging", "forensic imaging", "autopsy", "virtual autopsy") and complemented by queries in Web of Science, ÍndexRMP, and Acta Médica Portuguesa [2].

This study incorporated multiple forensic approaches, including post-mortem imaging, biochemical marker assessment, AI-assisted analysis, and genetic investigations, to enhance accuracy in determining cause of death. Morphological and microscopic examinations were integrated with analytical findings to create a comprehensive forensic assessment. Statistical tools were applied to validate results and ensure the reliability of the interpretations [5].

Overview:

3.1 Postmortem Computed Tomography (PMCT)

Postmortem computed tomography (PMCT) is a non-invasive imaging modality increasingly adopted in forensic death investigations to visualize the internal anatomy of a deceased individual without physical dissection. Utilizing X-rays, PMCT rapidly generates high-resolution images that clearly reveal skeletal structures, foreign objects, gas accumulations, and fluid distributions, making it especially useful for trauma analysis and detailed whole-body documentation.

Clinical experience shows PMCT's role in supporting forensic pathologists by helping decide whether a full autopsy is necessary. In some cases, particularly those with clear imaging indicators, PMCT has reduced the need for traditional autopsy, aligning with cultural or religious preferences for non-destructive examination [6] PMCT is often integrated into workflows to complement autopsies, either identifying major pathology or focusing dissection to specific areas of interest [8].

PMCT can also be paired with advanced visualization (2D/3D reconstructions) to enhance interpretation and communication of findings [9].

3.2 Postmortem Magnetic Resonance Imaging (PMMR) – including 3 T & 7 T MRI

Postmortem magnetic resonance imaging (PMMR) uses powerful magnetic fields and radiofrequency signals to create detailed images of soft tissues. Unlike PMCT, PMMR excels in differentiating soft tissue contrast and identifying subtle organ-level pathology [10]. PMMR is particularly valuable in forensic contexts where soft tissue characterization—such as ischemic changes or internal hemorrhage—is critical, and it is widely used in examinations of fetuses, neonates, and infants.

PMMR remains more resource-intensive than PMCT and is less widespread due to cost, limited availability, and the need for specialized interpretation, though its enhanced soft tissue sensitivity can reveal findings that PMCT may not detect [10].

Higher field strength MRI systems, such as 3 T (3 Tesla) and experimental 7 T (7 Tesla) scanners, allow even greater spatial resolution and signal-to-noise ratio. These capabilities support quantitative assessments of brain structures and finer soft tissue detail, potentially bridging insights between imaging and histopathological findings in forensic neuropathology [11].

3.3 Postmortem CT Angiography (PMCTA) / Multiphase PMCTA

PMCT angiography (PMCTA) is an extension of conventional PMCT where a contrast medium is externally perfused through the vascular system of a corpse to visualize blood vessels and assess vascular integrity. Since the heart does not pump post-mortem, artificial circulation systems are used to distribute contrast, enabling high-resolution imaging of vascular structures [8].

PMCTA is particularly useful for locating sources of bleeding, arterial ruptures, aneurysms, and other vascular injuries in cases of sudden death or unexplained trauma [8]. Multiphase PMCTA protocols have been developed to enhance visualization of the entire circulatory system during different contrast phases, improving diagnostic clarity over single-phase imaging [12].

3.4 3D Surface Scanning & Photogrammetry

Three-dimensional (3D) surface scanning and photogrammetry capture the external morphology of the body in precise digital form. These techniques are crucial for documenting external injuries, wounds, and body surface features that may not be adequately captured by internal imaging alone [10].

3D surface scanning uses specialized optical scanners to record exact surface geometry. When dedicated scanners are unavailable, photogrammetry—reconstructing 3D models from multiple 2D photographs—is a cost-effective alternative. These external 3D datasets can be merged with internal imaging (PMCT/PMMR) to create a comprehensive digital representation of the decedent [10].

3.5 Image-Guided Minimally Invasive Autopsy (Image-guided PM Biopsy)

Image-guided minimally invasive autopsy (MIA) techniques involve using imaging modalities (often PMCT or PMMR) to precisely target and extract tissue samples for histopathological examination. This approach enables core biopsies or fluid sampling with minimal disruption to the body, preserving external integrity while collecting diagnostic material [10].

MIAs bridge the gap between purely imaging-based investigation and conventional autopsy by providing histological confirmation of suspected findings identified in imaging. These techniques are increasingly valued where cultural, religious, or legal considerations limit full autopsy, and they strengthen cause-of-death determination while leveraging imaging guidance to enhance accuracy [10].

3.6 Endoscopic / Video Autopsy Techniques

Endoscopic or video autopsy introduces minimally invasive visual inspection of internal cavities using endoscopic tools, often informed by prior imaging data (e.g., PMCT). By inserting small scopes through natural orifices or tiny incisions, forensic practitioners can directly view internal structures and collect targeted biopsies without extensive dissection[13].

When combined with imaging, endoscopic techniques allow precise navigation to areas of interest identified on scans. This can reduce invasiveness, preserve body aesthetics, and provide supplementary visual evidence that enhances traditional methods or confirms imaging findings[13].

3.7 AI-assisted Forensic Imaging

Artificial intelligence (AI) and computational tools are transforming forensic imaging analysis by enabling automated detection, quantitative evaluation, and advanced pattern recognition. In forensic radiology, machine learning models can support fracture detection, segmentation of anatomical structures, quantitative analysis, and reduction of observer bias [11].

Beyond radiology, digital pathology integrated with AI improves histological analysis by scanning glass slides into whole-slide images, facilitating remote interpretation, faster diagnosis, objective pattern detection, and large-scale data mining [11]. However, AI deployment faces challenges including legal admissibility, standardization, and computational infrastructure requirements [11].

3.8 Integrated Multi-modal Imaging Workflow

An integrated multi-modal imaging workflow combines PMCT, PMMR, PMCTA, 3D surface scanning, image-guided biopsies, and AI analysis into a coordinated platform for forensic investigation. Such workflows allow a comprehensive evaluation: rapid whole-body overview from PMCT, soft tissue detail from PMMR, vascular detail from PMCTA, external documentation via 3D scanning, and targeted sampling by image-guided biopsy[7].

Integrating these modalities enhances diagnostic accuracy, supports minimally invasive processes, and produces rich datasets for education, courtroom presentation, and future re-analysis. The multi-modal approach respects both scientific rigor and ethical considerations by balancing depth of investigation with non-invasiveness[7].

4. Global Adoption of Advanced Virtual Autopsy Techniques

The adoption of advanced virtual autopsy (virtopsy) technologies has expanded globally, driven by the need for objective documentation, minimally invasive alternatives to conventional autopsy, and improved medico-legal reliability. Countries have implemented virtopsy at varying levels depending on legal frameworks, healthcare infrastructure, cultural acceptance, and governmental support. The following subsections highlight country-specific implementation models, operational standards, and institutional integration.

4.1 Switzerland (Origin of VIRTOPSY)

Switzerland is widely recognized as the birthplace of the **VIRTOPSY concept**, developed at the University of Zurich under the leadership of Thali and colleagues. The Swiss model pioneered the systematic use of **PMCT, PMMR, 3D surface scanning, and image-guided sampling** as a structured forensic workflow rather than isolated imaging tools.

Implementation Strategy

Virtopsy in Switzerland was introduced as a complementary method to conventional autopsy, later evolving into a validated investigative pathway for selected cases such as trauma, firearm injuries, and unexplained deaths. The Swiss approach emphasizes **full-body PMCT as the first-line examination**, followed by targeted PMMR or PMCTA when indicated.

Standard Operating Procedures

Swiss SOPs define standardized scanning parameters, body positioning, image reconstruction protocols, and reporting formats. Imaging is performed before any invasive procedure, ensuring preservation of original forensic evidence.

Legal Integration

Swiss courts accept virtopsy findings as admissible forensic evidence, particularly when supported by radiological documentation and expert interpretation. Digital datasets are archived for re-evaluation, supporting judicial transparency.

Workforce Training

Forensic radiology training programs integrate radiologists, forensic pathologists, and imaging technicians. Interdisciplinary education is a hallmark of the Swiss model.

Government & Institutional Support

Virtopsy development was strongly supported by academic institutions and public forensic services, enabling long-term validation and international dissemination [3],[10],[13].

4.2 Germany

Germany adopted virtopsy as part of a **hybrid forensic investigation framework**, integrating postmortem imaging with conventional autopsy rather than replacing it entirely.

Implementation Strategy

German forensic institutes routinely employ PMCT for **trauma reconstruction, skeletal injuries, and foreign body localization**, with PMMR used selectively for soft-tissue and neurological assessment.

Standard Operating Procedures

SOPs emphasize case-based imaging selection. PMCT is commonly mandated prior to autopsy in complex trauma or mass fatality scenarios.

Legal Integration

Virtopsy findings are accepted as supplementary expert evidence. Imaging results often guide autopsy strategy, reducing unnecessary dissection.

Workforce Training

Germany emphasizes dual-competency training, where forensic pathologists receive formal exposure to forensic radiology interpretation.

Government & Institutional Support

Federal and state-level forensic institutions support imaging infrastructure, particularly in university-based medico-legal centers [2],[9],[13].

4.3 United Kingdom (Perinatal PMMR Network)

The United Kingdom represents a unique model where virtopsy, particularly **postmortem MRI**, has been extensively implemented in **perinatal and pediatric death investigations**.

Implementation Strategy

PMMR is routinely offered as a **non-invasive alternative** to conventional autopsy for fetuses and infants. This approach addresses parental consent concerns while maintaining diagnostic accuracy.

Standard Operating Procedures

National PMMR protocols define imaging sequences, interpretation criteria, and correlation with placental and clinical findings.

Legal Integration

PMMR findings are recognized in coronial investigations and are frequently used to determine cause of death without invasive procedures.

Workforce Training

Specialized training programs exist for radiologists and perinatal pathologists, emphasizing pediatric imaging interpretation.

Government & Institutional Support

The National Health Service (NHS) supports PMMR through funded networks, standardized reporting systems, and public health guidelines [2],[3],[13].

4.4 United States (Hybrid Autopsy Models)

In the United States, virtopsy is primarily implemented through **hybrid autopsy models**, where imaging complements traditional medico-legal autopsies.

Implementation Strategy

PMCT is increasingly used for **trauma assessment, firearm injuries, and mass disaster investigations**. Imaging serves as a triage and documentation tool rather than a standalone diagnostic method.

Standard Operating Procedures

Protocols vary by jurisdiction, but many medical examiner offices mandate PMCT before autopsy in selected cases.

Legal Integration

Virtopsy findings are admissible in court when interpreted by qualified forensic experts. Digital imaging enhances courtroom visualization and injury reconstruction.

Workforce Training

Training occurs through continuing medical education programs and forensic radiology collaborations rather than formal national certification.

Government & Institutional Support

Adoption is driven at the state and county levels, often supported by academic–forensic partnerships [6],[8].

4.5 Japan (High-Field MRI & Pediatric Applications)

Japan is notable for its advanced use of **high-field MRI systems** in postmortem investigations, particularly in pediatric and neurological cases.

Implementation Strategy

PMMR is frequently used when conventional autopsy consent is limited. High-resolution imaging supports detailed soft-tissue evaluation.

Standard Operating Procedures

Protocols emphasize MRI-based cause-of-death assessment, especially for brain and cardiac pathology.

Legal Integration

PMMR findings are used to support medico-legal conclusions, particularly in hospital-based forensic investigations.

Workforce Training

Radiologists receive specialized training in postmortem imaging interpretation, often within academic medical centers.

Government & Institutional Support

Hospital-driven initiatives and advanced imaging infrastructure support virtopsy integration [2],[3],[13].

4.6 Middle East (PMCTA in Traffic Fatalities)

Several Middle Eastern countries have adopted **PMCT and PMCTA** to investigate **road traffic fatalities and sudden deaths**, aligning with cultural preferences for minimally invasive procedures.

Implementation Strategy

PMCTA is widely used to identify vascular injuries, hemorrhage sources, and trauma patterns without extensive dissection.

Standard Operating Procedures

Imaging-first protocols prioritize full-body PMCT followed by PMCTA in suspected vascular deaths.

Legal Integration

Virtopsy findings are increasingly accepted as primary medico-legal evidence, particularly where autopsy refusal is common.

Workforce Training

Training is often conducted through international collaborations and visiting expert programs.

Government & Institutional Support

Strong governmental investment in forensic imaging infrastructure has accelerated adoption [5],[10],[13].

5. Limitations of Virtual Autopsy (Global and Indian Perspective)

Despite significant technological advancements, virtual autopsy (virtopsy) remains subject to several inherent limitations. These constraints affect its diagnostic accuracy, medico-legal reliability, and large-scale implementation, particularly in low- and middle-income countries such as India. The following subsections critically examine the major global and regional limitations.

1. Difficulty in Detecting Microscopic Pathology and Cellular-Level Changes

One of the fundamental limitations of virtual autopsy is its inability to directly visualize **microscopic and cellular pathology**. Imaging modalities such as PMCT and PMMR lack the resolution required to detect subtle histopathological changes, including inflammatory infiltrates, early myocardial ischemia, glomerular damage, or cellular degeneration.

Although imaging may suggest organ-level abnormalities, definitive diagnosis often requires histological confirmation. As a result, virtopsy cannot fully replace conventional autopsy in cases where cause of death depends on microscopic findings[1],[2],[3],[10].

2. Poor Differentiation Between Antemortem and Postmortem Clots

Another recognized limitation is the challenge in distinguishing **antemortem thrombi from postmortem coagulation**. Imaging findings such as intravascular filling defects may appear similar regardless of whether clot formation occurred before or after death.

Although PMCTA improves vascular visualization, radiological criteria alone are often insufficient to conclusively establish clot vitality. This limitation has important medico-legal implications, especially in cases involving suspected pulmonary embolism or sudden cardiac death [3],[8],[13].

3. Challenges in Detecting Poisoning and Toxicological Changes

Virtopsy has limited capability in identifying **poisoning or toxicological causes of death**. Most chemical agents, drugs, and toxins do not produce characteristic radiological changes, particularly in early or low-dose exposures.

While imaging may detect indirect findings such as pulmonary edema or gastric distension, toxicological confirmation still relies on chemical analysis of biological samples. Consequently, virtual autopsy alone is inadequate in suspected poisoning cases[2],[5],[18].

4. Soft-Tissue Limitations of CT and Diagnostic Gaps in Fetal and Neonatal Cases

PMCT has well-recognized limitations in soft-tissue contrast resolution. Conditions involving subtle soft-tissue injury, early ischemia, or inflammatory processes may be overlooked.

Although PMMR improves soft-tissue evaluation, its availability is limited, and standardized interpretation criteria for fetal and neonatal cases remain under development. In India, access to high-quality PMMR for perinatal death investigation is extremely limited, widening the diagnostic gap [12],[13],[16].

5. Interpretation Variability and Lack of Trained Forensic Radiologists

Accurate interpretation of postmortem imaging requires **specialized training in forensic radiology**, which is not uniformly available worldwide. Observer variability remains a significant concern, particularly when radiologists lack experience in postmortem artifacts such as gas redistribution, lividity-related changes, and decomposition effects.

In India, formal forensic radiology training programs are scarce, and most medico-legal autopsies are conducted without imaging support, limiting consistent interpretation [9],[13],[17].

6. Effects of Decomposition on Imaging Accuracy

Postmortem decomposition introduces artifacts that complicate image interpretation. Gas formation, tissue collapse, and fluid redistribution can mimic pathological findings or obscure true lesions.

Advanced decomposition significantly reduces the diagnostic reliability of PMCT and PMMR, particularly for soft-tissue evaluation. This limitation is especially relevant in regions with delayed body recovery or inadequate cold storage facilities [3],[10],[18].

7. High Cost of Equipment and Operational Infrastructure

The financial burden associated with virtopsy remains a major barrier to widespread adoption. High-resolution CT and MRI scanners, PMCTA equipment, dedicated facilities, and trained personnel require substantial investment.

In India, public forensic institutions often lack access to advanced imaging infrastructure, making routine virtopsy impractical outside select academic or private centers [1],[2],[13].

8. Legal Acceptance and Medico-Legal Constraints

Legal acceptance of virtopsy varies significantly across jurisdictions. While some countries recognize imaging findings as primary medico-legal evidence, others treat virtopsy as supplementary to traditional autopsy.

In India, statutory frameworks continue to emphasize conventional autopsy, and virtual autopsy lacks explicit legal recognition. Concerns regarding admissibility, expert testimony, and standardization further limit its routine medico-legal application [11],[13],[14].

6. Advantages and Forensic Significance of Virtual Autopsy

Virtual autopsy (virtopsy) represents a major advancement in forensic medicine by integrating radiological imaging, digital documentation, and minimally invasive techniques into death investigation. Its advantages extend beyond technical efficiency, significantly influencing ethical practice, legal proceedings, disaster management, and public health safety.

1. Non-Invasive and Culturally Acceptable Approach

One of the most significant advantages of virtual autopsy is its **non-invasive nature**, as it avoids extensive dissection of the body. This characteristic enhances cultural and religious acceptability, particularly in communities where conventional autopsy is opposed due to beliefs regarding bodily integrity after death.

Virtopsy allows forensic investigations to proceed while respecting family sentiments, thereby increasing consent rates and cooperation. This aspect has proven particularly valuable in regions with strong religious traditions and in pediatric or maternal deaths [1].[2],[3],[14].

2. Superior Visualization of Fractures and Traumatic Injuries

Postmortem computed tomography (PMCT) provides **exceptional visualization of skeletal injuries**, including complex fractures, dislocations, and ballistic trauma. Three-dimensional reconstructions allow precise documentation of fracture patterns and injury trajectories that may be difficult to demonstrate during conventional autopsy.

Virtopsy is particularly effective in detecting occult fractures, spinal injuries, and internal trauma, contributing to accurate reconstruction of events leading to death [3],[8],[9],[10].

3. Preservation of Permanent Digital Records for Court Proceedings

Virtual autopsy generates **high-resolution digital datasets** that can be archived indefinitely. These records allow repeated review, expert consultation, and retrospective analysis without further disturbance to the body.

In legal contexts, digital images, 3D models, and reconstructions enhance courtroom communication, making forensic findings more comprehensible to judges and juries.

The objectivity and reproducibility of digital evidence strengthen medico-legal credibility [4],[9],13].

4. Faster and More Efficient Workflow

Virtopsy enables rapid whole-body assessment, significantly reducing the time required for initial forensic evaluation. Imaging can be performed shortly after death, often before autopsy authorization, thereby accelerating cause-of-death determination.

This efficiency is especially valuable in high-case-load forensic centers and during public health emergencies, where rapid decision-making is critical [2],[6],17].

5. Crucial Role in Mass Disaster Investigations

In mass fatality incidents, such as natural disasters, accidents, or terrorist attacks, virtopsy offers a **systematic, scalable, and non-destructive method** for victim documentation and identification.

PMCT assists in assessing trauma patterns, locating foreign objects, and supporting disaster victim identification (DVI) efforts. Digital imaging enables parallel analysis by multiple teams, improving coordination and efficiency [3],10,[18].

6. Higher Acceptance in Infectious Disease-Related Deaths

Virtual autopsy significantly reduces the risk of pathogen exposure for forensic personnel, making it particularly valuable in deaths associated with **highly infectious diseases**.

By minimizing direct contact with bodily fluids and tissues, virtopsy supports biosafety protocols while maintaining diagnostic effectiveness. This advantage gained global relevance during recent infectious disease outbreaks [3],[5],[17].

7. Ethical Advantages in Pediatric and Maternal Deaths

Virtopsy offers profound ethical benefits in cases involving **children, fetuses, and pregnant women**. Postmortem MRI, in particular, provides detailed soft-tissue evaluation without invasive procedures, addressing parental concerns and emotional distress.

This approach has been shown to improve parental acceptance while still yielding clinically and forensically meaningful findings, especially in congenital anomalies and perinatal deaths [2],[13],[16].

7. Discussion

The growing adoption of virtual autopsy (virtopsy) reflects a paradigm shift in digital death investigation, driven by advances in postmortem CT, MRI, angiography, and computational analysis. Multiple studies confirm that virtopsy has significantly improved the non-invasive assessment of skeletal trauma, gas distributions, foreign bodies, and certain vascular pathologies, offering reproducible and court-admissible digital documentation. However, despite its technological sophistication, current evidence indicates that virtual autopsy remains complementary rather than fully substitutive to conventional autopsy in many medico-legal contexts [1],[2],[3],13,[14].

A key limitation repeatedly highlighted in the literature is the reduced sensitivity of imaging-based autopsy for subtle soft-tissue pathology, early myocardial ischemia, inflammatory processes, and microscopic organ changes. Studies comparing virtopsy with traditional autopsy demonstrate that while CT and MRI excel in detecting fractures, hemorrhage, and gross organ abnormalities, they cannot reliably identify cellular-level pathology without tissue sampling. This diagnostic gap underscores the continued necessity of histopathology and targeted biopsies in determining cause of death, particularly in natural and complex medical deaths [1],[2],[6],[12],[16].

Another critical challenge lies in postmortem imaging interpretation itself. Postmortem changes such as hypostasis, gas formation, fluid redistribution, and decomposition can mimic pathological findings, potentially leading to diagnostic ambiguity. The absence of standardized interpretation criteria across institutions further increases inter-observer variability. Reviews of postmortem CT visualization techniques emphasize the need for structured reporting systems, forensic-specific imaging training, and validated reference datasets to reduce misinterpretation [9],[10],[13],[18].

From a technological standpoint, unequal access to advanced imaging infrastructure remains a major barrier to widespread virtopsy implementation. High-field MRI (3T/7T), multiphase postmortem CT angiography, and specialized software are often limited to well-funded forensic centers. This disparity raises concerns about global inequity in digital death investigation, particularly in low- and middle-income regions, where conventional autopsy continues to be the primary investigative tool [2],[5],[10],[17].

The integration of artificial intelligence and digital pathology has been proposed as a promising pathway to overcome several current limitations of virtual autopsy. AI-assisted image segmentation, pattern recognition, and automated anomaly detection show potential in enhancing diagnostic accuracy, reducing observer bias, and improving workflow efficiency. Nevertheless, existing studies caution that AI systems are highly dependent on data quality, population diversity, and transparent validation. Legal admissibility, algorithmic bias, and explainability remain unresolved medico-legal concerns that must be addressed before routine forensic deployment [4],[11],[15].

Ethical and societal considerations further shape the future role of virtopsy. Virtual autopsy is consistently reported as more acceptable in cases involving infectious disease deaths, religious objections, children, and pregnant women. Prenatal and perinatal imaging studies suggest that minimally invasive imaging offers valuable diagnostic insights while preserving bodily integrity, reinforcing virtopsy's ethical advantages. However, ethical acceptance does not negate the obligation for diagnostic accuracy, reinforcing the need for hybrid investigative models [3],[16],[17].

Overall, the literature converges on the view that the future of digital death investigation lies in **integrated multimodal workflows**, combining virtopsy, image-guided biopsy, molecular analysis, digital pathology, and AI-driven decision support. Rather than replacing traditional autopsy, virtual autopsy is evolving into a central component of a layered investigative framework that balances technological innovation with forensic reliability, legal robustness, and ethical responsibility [1],[3],[10],[13],[18].

Reference:

1. Author, A. (2025). Virtopsy vs Traditional Autopsy: A Review of Accuracy, Limitations and Future Potential. Research Archive API. Available at: https://api.researcharchive.org/v1/papers/virtopsy-traditional-autopsy-review

2. Lopes, M. P. F., Real, F. C., & Monteiro, C. P. (2024). Virtual Autopsies in the Forensic Field: Achievements and Limitations. Acta Radiológica Portuguesa, 36(2), 10–16. https://doi.org/10.25748/arp.34897

3. Gascho, D. (2025). VIRTual autOPSY—applying CT and MRI for modern forensic death investigations. Frontiers in Radiology, 5, 1557636. https://doi.org/10.3389/fradi.2025.1557636

4. Singh, J. P., Tyagi, S., Sharma, A., Chanana, A., & Basra, S. (2025). Digital pathology and AI in forensic medicine: advances, limitations, and medico-legal utility. Academia Medicine, 2, 1–7. https://doi.org/10.20935/AcadMed7799

5. Sheraliyev, A. A. (2025). Innovative methods for accurate determination of the causes of death. Journal of IQRO, 14(02), 561–567. http://www.wordlyknowledge.uz

6. Kanal, K., Zamora, D., Harruff, R., Robinson, J., Shuman, W., Sahani, D., Lacy, J. M., Yarid, N., & Medverd, J. (2025). Early experience with postmortem CT imaging. **Journal of Computer Assisted Tomography, 49**(5), 785–792. https://doi.org/10.1097/RCT.0000000000001732 — retrieved from PubMed https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/40268276/

7. Dominic Gascho et al., VIRTual autOPSY—applying CT and MRI for modern forensic death investigations (Front. Radiol., 2025) DOI

8. Lee, H., Lee, S., Cha, J. G., Baek, T., & Yang, K. M. (2019). Postmortem computed tomography and computed tomography angiography: Cardiothoracic imaging applications in forensic medicine. Journal of Thoracic Imaging, 34(5), 286–298. https://doi.org/10.1097/RTI.0000000000000398

9. Ebert, L. C., Franckenberg, S., Sieberth, T., Schweitzer, W., Thali, M., Ford, J., & Decker, S. (2021). A review of visualization techniques of post-mortem computed tomography data for forensic death investigations. International Journal of Legal Medicine, 135(5), 1855–1867. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00414-021-02581-4

10. Grabherr, S., Egger, C., Vilarino, R., Campana, L., Jotterand, M., & Dedouit, F. (2017). Modern post-mortem imaging: An update on recent developments. Forensic Sciences Research, 2(2), 52–64. https://doi.org/10.1080/20961790.2017.1330738 and https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6197109/

11. Singh, J. P., Tyagi, S., Sharma, A., Chanana, A., & Basra, S. (2025). Digital pathology and AI in forensic medicine: Advances, limitations, and medico-legal utility. Academia Medicine, 2(3). https://doi.org/10.20935/AcadMed7799

12. Mansharan, K. C., Mohd Noor, M. H., Azaini Ibrahim, M., Siew, S. F., & Lai, P. S. (2020). Usefulness of unenhanced post-mortem computed tomography — findings in post-mortem computed tomography. The Malaysian Journal of Medical Sciences, 75(4). https://www.e-mjm.org/2020/v75n4/post-mortem-computed-tomography.pdf

13. Dedouit, F., Ducloyer, M., Elifritz, J., Adolphi, N. L., Wong, G. Y.-L., Decker, S., Ford, J., Kolev, Y., & Thali, M. (2025). The current state of forensic imaging – post mortem imaging. International Journal of Legal Medicine, 139(3), 1141–1159. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00414-025-03461

14. Dirnhofer, R., Jackowski, C., Vock, P., & Thali, M. J. (2006). VIRTOPSY: Minimally invasive, imaging-guided virtual autopsy. Radiographics, 26(5), 1305–1333. https://doi.org/10.1148/rg.265065001

15. Galante, N., Cotroneo, R., Furci, D., Lodetti, G., & Casali, M. B. (2023). Applications of artificial intelligence in forensic sciences: Current potential benefits, limitations and perspectives. International Journal of Legal Medicine, 137, 445–458. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00414-022-02928-5.

16. Khalil, A., et al. (Year). Title of prenatal/postmortem imaging study. Prenatal Diagnosis. https://doi.org/10.1002/pd.5457

17. Zhang, M. (2022). Forensic imaging: A powerful tool in modern forensic investigation. Forensic Science Research, 7(3), 385–392. https://doi.org/10.1080/20961790.2021.2008705

18. Cafarelli, F. P., Grilli, G., Zizzo, G., Bertozzi, G., Giuliani, N., Mahakkanukrauh, P., Pinto, A., & Guglielmi, G. (2019). Postmortem imaging: An update. Seminars in Ultrasound, CT and MRI, 40(1), 86–93. https://doi.org/10.1053/j.sult.2018.10.012