



Peer-Review Statements

Ali Musa Bozdoğan^{1,*}, Aybegün Ton², Melis Çelik Güney³, Kadriye Hatioğlu³,
Burak Dalkiliç⁴, Mahmut Özçetin⁵, Tuba Esatbeyoğlu⁶

¹Department of Agricultural Machinery and Technology Engineering, Cukurova University, Adana, Turkiye

²Department of Field Crops, Cukurova University, Adana, Turkiye

³Department of Zootechnology, Cukurova University, Adana, Turkiye

⁴Department of Biosystem Engineering, Malatya Turgut Ozal University, Malatya, Turkiye

⁵Department of Agricultural Structures and Irrigation, Cukurova University, Adana, Turkiye

⁶Institute of Food and One Health, Leibniz University Hannover, Hannover, Turkiye

*Editor-in-Chief of the [I-CRAFT 2025]. Email: amb@cu.edu.tr

All of the articles in this proceedings volume have been presented at the *I-CRAFT 2025* during *20-23 October 2025* in *GANJA*. These articles have been peer reviewed by the members of the *Scientific Committee* and approved by the Editor-in-Chief, who affirms that this document is a truthful description of the conference's review process.

1. REVIEW PROCEDURE

The reviews were *double-blind*. Each submission was examined by, *at least 2* reviewer(s) independently.

The conference submission management system was WP-ABSTRACT.

The submissions were first screened for generic quality and suitability. After the initial screening, they were sent for peer review by matching each paper's topic with the reviewers' expertise, taking into account any competing interests. A paper could only be considered for acceptance if it had received favourable recommendations from the two reviewers.

Authors of a rejected submission were given the opportunity to revise and resubmit after addressing the reviewers' comments. The acceptance or rejection of a revised manuscript was final.

[Any efforts in improving peer review should also appear in this section; for example, how reviewers are recused from the handling of papers by closely related authors, steps taken to reduce unconscious bias, etc.]

© The Author(s) 2026

A. M. Bozdoğan et al. (eds.), *Proceedings of the 5th International Conference on Research of Agricultural and Food Technologies (I-CRAFT 2025)*, Atlantis Highlights in Sustainable Development 8,

https://doi.org/10.2991/978-94-6239-666-1_1

2. QUALITY CRITERIA

Reviewers were instructed to assess the quality of submissions solely based on the academic merit of their content along the following dimensions [*Note: please summarise your criteria and order them by importance; the following list is an example*]:

1. Pertinence of the article’s content to the scope and themes of the conference;
2. Clear demonstration of originality, novelty, and timeliness of the research;
3. Soundness of the methods, analyses, and results;
4. Adherence to the ethical standards and codes of conduct relevant to the research field;
5. Clarity, cohesion, and accuracy in language and other modes of expression, including figures and tables.

In addition, all of the articles have been checked for textual overlap in an effort to detect possible signs of plagiarism by the publisher.

3. KEY METRICS

<i>Total submissions</i>	136
<i>Number of articles sent for peer review</i>	136
<i>Number of accepted articles</i>	66
<i>Acceptance rate</i>	48.5%
<i>Number of reviewers</i>	24

[Any additional information about article statistics belongs to this section, but the listing should suffice in most situations. More rows can be added if necessary, but please do not delete any existing row. Numbers are for example only. “Acceptance rate” is (number of accepted articles) divided by (number of total submissions).]

4. COMPETING INTERESTS

The Editors-in-Chief and the Program Committee of ICRAFT 2025 declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in these proceedings. All papers were subject to a rigorous double-blind peer-review process managed by individuals independent of the authors. Neither the Editor-in-Chief nor any member of the Scientific Committee declares any competing interest.

All authors and reviewers are required to disclose their funding sources, and those research works that were funded by Acme have been reviewed by members of the Scientific Committee with no personal interests in the company.

Open Access This chapter is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits any noncommercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if changes were made.

The images or other third party material in this chapter are included in the chapter's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the chapter's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.

