Reflections on Teaching Innovation in University Courses

Zhihua Feng* and Xiaoyuan Wang

School of Science, Dalian Jiaotong University, Dalian, 116028, China
*fzh@djtu.edu.cn

Abstract. With the transformation of higher education from extensive development to connotative improvement, the quality of course teaching has become a core indicator for evaluating talent cultivation in universities. Teaching innovation in university courses is not only an important approach to improving classroom effectiveness and student learning outcomes, but also an inevitable response to technological change and evolving social demands. Based on the background of higher education reform in the new era, this paper systematically examines the connotations and practical motivations of course teaching innovation. From the perspectives of teaching modes, teaching content, teaching methods, teaching means, and course assessment, it elaborates on specific paths for classroom teaching innovation and summarizes the outcomes achieved through teaching practice. The research indicates that course teaching innovation centered on student development, guided by teachers, and supported by information technology can effectively stimulate students' learning interest, enhance autonomous learning ability and comprehensive competence, while also strengthening teachers' sense of professional fulfillment and achievement. Finally, the paper concludes with reflections and prospects for the sustained advancement of teaching innovation.

Keywords: Course Teaching, Teaching Innovation, Classroom Reform, Higher Education

1 Introduction

Course teaching constitutes the core component of instructional activities in higher education and serves as the primary carrier for achieving talent cultivation objectives. For a long time, university classrooms have been dominated by teacher-centered lecturing, emphasizing the systematic and complete transmission of disciplinary knowledge. This model played a positive role during specific historical periods. However, with profound transformations in socio-economic structures and rapid technological advancement, the traditional transmissive teaching model, characterized by "teachers teach and students learn", has become increasingly inadequate for meeting the demands of cultivating high-quality, innovative talents in the new era [1]. Teaching innovation in university courses has thus emerged and continued to deepen within this context.

S. Garcia-Esteban et al. (eds.), *Proceedings of the 2026 5th International Conference on Social Sciences and Humanities and Arts (SSHA 2026)*, Advances in Social Science, Education and Humanities Research 1014,
https://doi.org/10.2991/978-2-38476-577-5_61

From the external environment, social demands for higher education have undergone significant changes. Contemporary talent cultivation places greater emphasis on students' practical abilities, innovative awareness, and lifelong learning capacity [2]. Employers are no longer concerned solely with what students have learned, but increasingly with what they are capable of doing and whether they possess sustainable development potential. These changes pose higher requirements for course objectives, content selection, and instructional implementation. Teaching activities must shift from mere knowledge transmission to guiding students in active thinking, problem analysis, and problem solving [3].

From the perspective of educational technology, rapid technological development has provided a solid foundation for teaching innovation. Online teaching platforms, smart classroom systems, learning management systems, and the integration of artificial intelligence have greatly expanded the temporal and spatial boundaries of classroom instruction. Teaching is no longer confined to physical classrooms; instead, students can participate in learning before, during, and after class through multiple channels. This creates favorable conditions for constructing student-centered teaching models.

At the level of educational philosophy, teaching concepts in higher education are undergoing profound transformation. Modern pedagogy places increasing emphasis on students' central role in the learning process, highlighting the transition of teachers from "knowledge transmitters" to "learning facilitators" and "learning service providers". In transmissive teaching models, teachers primarily focus on controlling the classroom and ensuring content coverage, whereas in guided teaching models, greater attention is paid to students' learning experiences and outcomes. Teachers are encouraged to consider how instructional design and academic support can better promote effective learning. This transformation reflects the progress of classroom teaching and constitutes the core value orientation of teaching innovation.

2 Specific Measures for Classroom Teaching Innovation

2.1 Transforming Teaching Modes and Building an Integrated In-Class and Out-of-Class Learning System

Teaching innovation is first manifested in the transformation of teaching modes. Modern course teaching is no longer limited to face-to-face classroom instruction of fixed duration, but instead integrates classroom teaching with extracurricular learning to form an integrated "pre-class, in-class, and post-class" instructional model. While the classroom remains the primary arena of teaching, extracurricular learning has become increasingly important.

With the support of information technology, online teaching platforms can be conveniently established to systematically integrate syllabi, instructional videos, presentation materials, case studies, and extended readings, thereby providing students with resources for autonomous learning [4]. Teachers release learning tasks and guidance through these platforms, encouraging students to preview materials before class and

consolidate learning after class. During the learning process, students can interact with teachers through online discussions and message boards to resolve learning difficulties.

Furthermore, with the development of artificial intelligence, AI-based learning assistants can be introduced into courses to provide immediate feedback and personalized learning support [5]. When encountering difficulties during independent study, students can obtain basic explanations from intelligent assistants, thus improving learning efficiency. Through the coordinated integration of classroom teaching and online learning, instructional modes shift from single-direction lecturing to a multidimensional, process-oriented learning support system.

2.2 Optimizing Teaching Content to Enhance Timeliness and Practical Relevance

Teaching content lies at the heart of course quality. Teaching innovation does not negate the role of textbooks; rather, it involves optimizing and expanding content while maintaining the foundational and systematic nature of disciplinary knowledge. Teachers should align content design with program objectives and course positioning, appropriately incorporating frontier research achievements and representative technologies to enhance the timeliness of course content.

In teaching practice, key knowledge points can be combined with current disciplinary developments and typical application cases, enabling students to understand the real-world value of what they learn. By integrating the latest technologies and engineering practices emerging in society and industry, abstract theories can be contextualized and concretized, helping students establish connections between theoretical knowledge and practical problems.

In addition, teachers are encouraged to integrate their own research outcomes and engineering experience into classroom teaching. By transforming research questions, experimental ideas, and engineering cases into instructional materials, students are guided to learn how to apply theoretical knowledge to analyze scientific and engineering problems. This approach not only enhances the academic depth and practical relevance of courses, but also stimulates students' interest in research and innovation.

2.3 Innovating Teaching Methods to Enhance Classroom Interaction and Learning Depth

In terms of teaching methods, teaching innovation emphasizes the integrated use of diverse instructional approaches [6]. Problem-based learning, case-based teaching, discussion-based instruction, and lecturing each have distinct advantages and should be flexibly employed according to course characteristics and instructional objectives.

Problem-based learning uses carefully designed questions to guide students toward active thinking and exploration, cultivating their ability to analyze and solve problems. Case-based teaching deepens students' understanding of theoretical concepts through the analysis of real or typical cases, enhancing authenticity and engagement. Discussion-based teaching emphasizes communication and collaboration among students, broadening perspectives and improving expressive competence.

Lecturing remains indispensable in university classrooms, but its form and connotation require continuous improvement. In practice, emphasis should be placed on the integration of presentation slides and blackboard writing. Slides are mainly used to present knowledge frameworks, charts, and case materials, while blackboard writing is employed to derive key conclusions and display reasoning processes, helping students follow the instructor's line of thought. The combined use of multiple teaching methods effectively enhances classroom interaction and learning depth.

2.4 Improving Teaching Means and Leveraging Modern Technology

Innovation in teaching means provides important support for teaching reform. Modern course teaching should make effective use of various instructional software and technological tools to improve visualization and learning efficiency.

When conditions permit, simulation technologies and virtual experiment platforms can be employed to help students understand complex processes and abstract concepts. By simulating system operations, students can engage in exploratory learning within a safe and low-cost environment. For content involving spatial structures or operational procedures, sand-table models, physical demonstrations, or video presentations can be utilized to provide more intuitive learning experiences. The appropriate application of these teaching means compensates for the limitations of traditional classrooms in terms of demonstration and experiential learning, thereby enhancing student interest and comprehension.

2.5 Reforming Course Assessment to Promote Comprehensive Student Development

Course assessment is an essential component of the teaching process and plays a significant guiding role in student learning behavior. Under the context of teaching innovation, assessment should shift from a single summative evaluation to a combination of process-oriented and outcome-oriented evaluation.

In practice, peer assessment of student assignments can be introduced, enabling students to reflect on their own learning while evaluating others' work, thereby enhancing evaluative competence and responsibility. Students can be encouraged to participate in test design and completion to examine their understanding of knowledge structures and key concepts. Additionally, students may be required to write course learning reports that apply theoretical knowledge to analyze and solve practical problems, thus comprehensively assessing their integrative abilities. Through diversified assessment approaches, course evaluation places greater emphasis on learning processes and competence development, contributing to students' holistic growth.

3 Outcomes of Teaching Innovation

With the continuous and systematic implementation of teaching innovation, a range of positive outcomes has gradually emerged, reflecting both immediate improvements and

long-term developmental benefits. First, students' interest in learning has increased significantly. Through the integration of diversified instructional formats—such as blended learning, case-based discussions, collaborative projects, and problem-oriented tasks classroom activities have become more engaging and interactive. Practice-oriented content closely connected to real-life contexts and professional applications enables students to perceive the value and relevance of the courses more clearly. As a result, students are more willing to participate in discussions and classroom activities, demonstrating a clear shift from passive reception of knowledge to active exploration and inquiry.

Second, students' awareness of autonomous learning and their overall learning abilities have been markedly enhanced. The use of online learning platforms, digital resources, and diversified assessment methods provides students with greater flexibility and responsibility in managing their studies. They are encouraged to plan their learning time more effectively, consult academic resources independently, reflect critically on key issues, and summarize their learning experiences. Gradually, students develop more scientific and self-regulated learning strategies, which contribute to sustained academic growth beyond the classroom.

Third, learning outcomes have improved noticeably. Students show a deeper and more systematic understanding of core knowledge, a clearer grasp of the internal connections among concepts, and a stronger ability to apply theoretical knowledge to analyze and solve practical problems. Feedback from student evaluations consistently indicates high levels of satisfaction with teaching quality and instructional effectiveness.

From the teachers' perspective, teaching innovation has also generated positive professional impacts. Teachers experience a stronger sense of professional fulfillment and achievement. Moreover, a virtuous cycle integrating teaching, research, and practice is gradually formed, thereby promoting sustained professional development and continuous improvement in instructional quality.

4 Conclusions

Teaching innovation in university courses is a systematic and long-term endeavor that permeates all stages of instructional practice. Regardless of changes in instructional forms, clear, accessible, and engaging classroom explanations remain the foundation of high-quality teaching. Within the context of course-centered higher education, teachers must continuously update pedagogical concepts while remaining grounded in classroom realities, exploring teaching innovation paths suited to course characteristics.

In the new era, course teaching innovation should remain student-centered and quality-oriented. Through ongoing reflection and improvement in practice, teachers can steadily enhance teaching standards and achieve mutual growth for both teachers and students in the process of education.

Acknowledgments

This study was funded by the Applied Basic Research Program Foundation of Department of Science & Technology of Liaoning Province of China (grant number 2023JH2/101300221).

References

1. Yuan,Y.: Exploration of innovative teaching models for photography courses based on artificial intelligence technology. Applied and Computational Engineering, 170, 94-100 (2025). DOI: https://doi.org/10.54254/2755-2721/2025.26433
2. Muhid, A., Amalia, E. R., Hilaliyah, H., Budiana, N., Wajdi, M. B. N.: The effect of metacognitive strategies implementation on students' reading comprehension achievement. International Journal of Instruction, 13(2), 847-862 (2020). DOI: https://doi.org/10.29333/iji.2020.13257a
3. Shi F., Wang L., Liu Y.: How to improve modelling-based teaching: a case study of teaching improvement. International Journal for Lesson and Learning Studies, 15(1), 19-38 (2026). DOI: https://doi.org/10.1108/IJLLS-02-2025-0052
4. Tao Y., Wang D., Liu D., Chen G: Video-based teacher professional development for dialogic teaching: A systematic review. Educational Research Review, 50,100763 (2026). DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.edurev.2026.100763
5. Bi W.: Optimization of AI-enhanced project-based practical teaching path for applied English major in vocational colleges. Scientific and Social Research, 7(12), 104-111 (2025). DOI: https://doi.org/10.26689/SSR.V7I12.13368.
6. Vuong, T. L. and Steklács, J.: Trends in research on reciprocal teaching: a systematic review. Cogent Education, 12(1), 2491963 (2025). DOI: https://doi.org/10.1080/2331186X.2025.2491963.